

7. Other Business

#### 8. Adjournment Next Regular Meeting: Thursday, December 17, 2020 - 1:30 PM

\* Please note that AMATS will be unable to provide an opportunity for live public comment regarding agenda items or other transportation-related issues due to technological limitations. AMATS Director Baker will instead read any email or written correspondence that the agency has received from the public regarding agenda items.

All mailout material is available on the AMATS Web Site at <u>www.amatsplanning.org</u>



### Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study Technical Advisory Committee Virtual Meeting

Thursday, September 17, 2020 1:30 p.m.

|    | Agenda   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Call to Order<br>A. Determination of a Quorum  | Oral                                   |
| 2. | Minutes<br>A. May 6, 2020 Meeting – Motion Required  | Attachment 2A                          |
| 3. | <ul> <li>Staff Reports</li> <li>A. Financial Progress Report – Motion Required</li> <li>B. Technical Progress Report</li> <li>C. AMATS Federal Funds Report</li> </ul>   | Attachment 3A<br>Oral<br>Attachment 3C |
| 4. | Old Business   |  |
| 5. | New Business<br>A. 2020 Transit Plan – Motion Requested  | Attachment 5A                          |
|    | B. 2020 Freight Plan – Motion Requested  | Attachment 5B                          |
|    | C. Draft Congestion Management Process Report – Discussion Only  | Attachment 5C                          |
| 6. | <ul> <li>Resolutions</li> <li>A. Resolution 2020-11 – Approving FY 2021 Elderly and Disabled Program<br/>Project Recommendations (FY 2021-2024 TIP Amendment #1).</li> <li>– Motion Required</li> </ul>  | Attachment 6A                          |
|    | B. Resolution 2020-12 – To Add ODOT and FTA Funds in FY 2021 for PARTA - (FY 2021-2024 TIP Amendment #2). – Motion Required  | Attachment 6B                          |
|    | C. <b>Resolution 2020-13</b> – Approving Amendment #3 to the FY 2021-2024<br>Transportation Improvement Program to cancel one existing project, add<br>two new projects, and revise the funding and scope of work to one existing<br>project and one of the new projects. – <b>Motion Required</b> | Attachment 6C                          |
|    | D. Resolution 2020-14 – Approving the FY 2020 Year End Completion<br>Report. – Motion Required   | Attachment 6D                          |
|    | E. <b>Resolution 2020-15</b> – Authorizing the AMATS Staff to adjust Transportation<br>Planning Work Program and Budget element allocations. – <b>Motion Required</b>  | Attachment 6E                          |
|    | F. Resolution 2020-16 – CMAQ Performance Plan Mid-Period Progress Report.<br>– Motion Required   | Attachment 6F                          |

7. Other Business

### 8. Adjournment

Next Regular Meeting: Thursday, December 10, 2020 - 1:30 PM

All mailout material is available on the AMATS Web Site at <u>www.amatsplanning.org</u>.



### Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study Citizens Involvement Committee Virtual Webinar AMATS Facebook Page - AMATSPlanning

Thursday, September 17, 2020 6:30 p.m.

Agenda

#### 1. Welcome

#### 2. Introductions and Virtual Webinar Orientation

3. Items

A. Presentation regarding the 2020 Transit Plan.

- B. Presentation regarding the 2020 Freight Plan.
- C. Presentation regarding the Draft Congestion Management Process Report.

#### 4. Open Discussion

5. Adjournment 7:45 P.M.

Next Regular Meeting: Thursday, December 10, 2020 - 6:30 p.m.

All mailout material is available on the AMATS Web Site at www.amatsplanning.org

| From:    | <u>William Maki</u>                   |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| То:      | Prater, Kerry                         |
| Subject: | [External]Freight Transportation Plan |
| Date:    | Thursday, August 27, 2020 2:12:04 PM  |

Good document. Since we are looking into the future I think the following should be addressed:

- Lack of truck drivers. On the news I saw self driving trucks that go from terminal to terminal. Should we have special lanes? Will self driving trucks increase the number of trucks on the road? Should these trucks only travel at night?

- Separation of RR crossings and streets/highways. Technology has a way of surprising us. Perhaps, in addition to the to the lights, bells and gate arms, a small transmitter could be put at each crossing. It would send out a signal to all cell phones. The signal could be a train whistle sound and the words "Train approaching crossing" Once the train has gone by the transmitter signal would stop. Area of coverage about a quarter mile?

- Fuel costs. again, technology. I see a hydrogen vehicle being touted as having a 1000 mile range. I think the trucking companies will rush to embrace this or other types of fuel Less emissions

- Airlander 10 This is basically a blimp. It can transport 10 tons of cargo. Where could such an airship land? The old mall in SW Akron where Amazon is putting in a warehouse. Akron Airport. Other large areas now abandoned from their original purpose. Isn't technology fun?

- I still think with a bit of eminent domain there could be two left turn lanes on Rt 8 south at the Howe road exit. Instead of cars and TRUCKS backing up on Rt \*.

- Table 4-2. Non interstate NHS TTR why was 2014 - 15 - 16 so low, and 2017 and 2018 so high. An explanation of the change would be nice

This email originated outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

#### Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study Policy Committee Thursday, May 14, 2020 – 1:30 p.m.

#### **Minutes of Meeting**

Recordings of AMATS committee meetings are available in the Podcast section of the agency web site at www.amatsplanning.org/category/meetings/.

#### I. <u>Call to Order</u>

A. Chairwoman Clark called the meeting to order in Ballroom A of the Hilton Garden Inn. The attending members constituted a quorum.

**Chairwoman Clark** asked for the meeting attendees to introduce themselves. Introductions were made.

#### **B.** Audience Participation

None.

#### II. <u>Minutes – Motion Required</u>

#### A. Approval of Minutes

Members were asked to approve the minutes of the March 11, 2020 meeting.

<u>Motion</u> David G. Kline made a motion to approve the minutes and it was seconded by Rick Bissler. <u>The motion was approved by a voice vote.</u>

#### III. <u>Staff Reports</u>

#### A. Financial Progress Report

Curtis Baker presented Attachment 3A.

#### <u>Motion</u>

*Lou Bertrand* made a motion to approve the Financial Progress Report and it was seconded by *Connie Krauss*. *The motion was approved by a voice vote.* 

#### **B.** Technical Progress Report

**Mr. Baker** said that the AMATS Technical Staff is working remotely from their homes in response to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

**Mr. Baker** noted that the May TAC meeting had 35 attendees participating. **Mr. Baker** said that there has not been a decision to cancel the June committee meetings yet. **Mr. Baker** said that in-person committee meetings may resume in September. The 2020 AMATS Annual Meeting in October has been cancelled due to the difficulties posed by the COVID-19 Pandemic with regards to scheduling guest speakers and possible social distancing concerns.

#### C. AMATS Federal Funds Report

**Mr. Baker** presented tables concerning STBG, CMAQ and TASA Funding Program and Balances dated April 20, 2020.

#### IV. Old Business

None.

#### V. <u>New Business</u>

None.

#### VI. <u>Resolutions</u>

## A. Resolution 2020-05 – Approving an Amendment to Transportation Outlook 2040.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6A.

<u>Motion</u>

*Jim McCleary* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-05 and it was seconded by *Bobbie Beshara*. *The motion was approved*.

# B. Resolution 2020-06 – Approving the Transportation Improvement Program FY 2021-2024.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6B.

<u>Motion</u>

*Connie Krauss* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-06 and it was seconded by *David G. Kline*. *The motion was approved*.

C. Resolution 2020-07 – Reaffirming the Approval of the Regional Transportation Plan and the Transportation Improvement Program, and Affirming the Consistency between the Regional Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program, and the State Implementation Plan.

**Resolution 2020-08 – Certification of the Urban Transportation Planning Process.** 

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6C.

#### **Motion**

**Paul Adamson** made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-07 and it was seconded by **Bobbie Beshara**. <u>The motion was approved</u>.

#### <u>Motion</u>

**Paul Adamson** made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-08 and it was seconded by **Jim McCleary**. <u>The motion was approved</u>.

## D. Resolution 2020-09 – Approving the FY 2021 Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6D.

Motion

*Rick Bissler* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-09 and it was seconded by *David G. Kline*. <u>The motion was approved</u>.

# E. Resolution 2020-10 – Opposing the elimination of the Akron Metropolitan Statistical Area by combining it with the Cleveland Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6E.

Mr. Baker unmuted the meeting participants to allow for their comments.

**Mayor Bertrand** suggested that the members consider pursuing the merger of the Akron and Canton MSAs.

**Mayor Kline** noted that any potential changes to the MSAs may be bad timing due to the ongoing 2020 U.S. Census. **Mayor Kline** stated that he opposed the merger of the Akron and Cleveland MSAs.

**Mr. Baker** noted that the planning officials that he has discussed the issue with in Canton and Stark County have indicated that they strongly support their separate Canton MSA and prefer to maintain the three separate MSA designations of Akron, Canton and Cleveland. **Mayor Kline** concurred.

**Connie Krauss** noted that the Akron MSA presently performs better statistically in the MSA designations in such categories as poverty and economic GDP than if it were to merge with Cleveland.

**Chad Root** noted that, as the ODOT District 4 representative, he would abstain during the vote on the motion.

#### **Motion**

**Bobbie Beshara** made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-10 and it was seconded by **Chris Papp**. <u>The motion was approved with Mr. Root abstaining</u>.

#### VII. <u>Other Business</u>

A. Mr. Baker said that it was likely that AMATS would cancel its June committee meetings, but noted that it was possible that AMATS would resume in-person meetings in September.

#### VIII. Adjournment

#### A. <u>Motion</u>

**Bobbie Beshara** made a motion to adjourn the meeting and it was seconded by **Rick Bissler**. <u>The motion was approved</u>.

The next regularly scheduled Policy Committee meeting is tentatively scheduled for **1:30 p.m**. on **Thursday, June 25, 2020**.

#### AMATS POLICY COMMITTEE 2020 ATTENDANCE

| M Denotes Member Present<br>A Denotes Alternate Present           | Jan<br>23 | Mar<br>11 | May<br>14 | June<br>25 | Sept<br>24 | Dec<br>17 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
|   |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| AKRON - Mayor Dan Horrigan (Hardy) (DiFiore)                      | A         | Α         | Α         |            |            |           |
| AURORA - Mayor Ann Womer Benjamin (Stark) (Januska)               | A         |           |           |            |            |           |
| BARBERTON - Mayor William B. Judge (Stefan) (Vinay)               | М         | M         | М         |            |            |           |
| BOSTON HEIGHTS - Mayor Bill Goncy (Polyak)                        |           | М         |           |            |            |           |
| CLINTON - Mayor Clarissa Allega                                   |           |           | M         |            |            |           |
| CUYAHOGA FALLS - Mayor Don Walters (Zumbo)                        |           | Α         | Α         |            |            |           |
| DOYLESTOWN - Mayor Terry Lindeman (Kerr)                          | Α         |           |           |            |            |           |
| FAIRLAWN - Mayor William Roth (Spagnuolo) (Staten)                |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| GARRETTSVILLE - Mayor Rick Patrick (Klamer)                       |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| GREEN - Mayor Gerard Neugebauer (Wax Carr)                        |           |           | М         |            |            |           |
| HIRAM - Mayor Lou Bertrand (J. McGee)                             | Μ         | М         | М         |            |            |           |
| HUDSON - City Mgr. Jane Howington (Comeriato) (Hannan) (Sheridan) | Α         | Α         | А         |            |            |           |
| KENT – City Mgr. David Ruller (Baker) (Bowling)                   |           | А         | Α         |            |            |           |
| LAKEMORE – Mayor Rick Justice (Fast)                              |           | А         |           |            |            |           |
| MACEDONIA - Mayor Nick Molnar (Gigliotti) (Sheehy)                |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| MANTUA - Mayor Linda Clark (Iafelice) (Trew)                      | М         | М         | М         |            |            |           |
| METRO – Dawn Distler (Shea)                                       | А         | М         | М         |            |            |           |
| MOGADORE - Mayor Michael Rick                                     |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| MUNROE FALLS - Mayor James W. Armstrong (Bowery)                  |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| NEW FRANKLIN - Mayor Paul Adamson (Kepler) (Kochheiser)           | М         | М         | М         |            |            |           |
| NORTHFIELD – Mayor Jesse Nehez (Magistrelli)                      |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| NORTON - Mayor Mike Zita (Fowler)                                 |           | А         |           |            |            |           |
| ODOT – Gery Noirot (Rebillot) (Root)                              | М         | А         | А         |            |            |           |
| PARTA – Rick Bissler (Amrhein) (Baba) (Popik) (Schrader)          | А         | А         | М         |            |            |           |
| PENINSULA - Mayor Douglas Mayer                                   |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY COMM Kathleen Clvde (Hairston)                     | А         | А         | М         |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY COMM. – Vicki Kline (Long)                         |           | A         |           |            |            |           |
| <b>PORTAGE COUNTY COMM.</b> - Sabrina Christian-Bennett (Hlad)    | А         | A         | А         |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY ENGINEER - Michael Marozzi (Jenkins)               | M         | M         | M         |            |            |           |
| <b>RAVENNA</b> - Mayor Frank Seman (Finney)                       | A         | A         |           |            |            |           |
| <b>REMINDERVILLE</b> - Mayor Sam Alonso (Krock)                   | 11        |           |           |            |            |           |
| RICHFIFLD - Mayor Michael Wheeler (Darwish) (Pann)                | М         | М         | Δ         |            |            |           |
| <b>RITTMAN</b> – City Mor. Bobbie Beshara (Robertson) (Heater)    | M         | 141       | M         |            |            |           |
| SILVER LAKE - Mayor Bernie Hovey (Housley)                        | 111       |           | 111       |            |            |           |
| STOW - Mayor John Prihonic (Kurtz) (McCleary)                     | Δ         |           | Δ         |            |            |           |
| STREFTSBORO - Mayor Glenn M. Broska (Cieszkowski)                 | 11        | М         | 11        |            |            |           |
| SICAP RUSH KNOLLS Mayor John Guidubaldi                           |           | 141       |           |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY ENCINEED Al Brubaker (Fulton) (Daradica)            | ٨         | ٨         | ٨         |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY EXECUTIVE Ilene Shapira (Miller Dawson)             | A         | A         | A         |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY EAECOTIVE - Hene Shapito (Miner-Dawson)             | A         |           | м         |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY COMM & ECON, DEV Connie Klauss                      |           |           | M         |            |            |           |
| TALLMADCE Mover Devid C Vling (Vidder)                            | ١ſ        | NÆ        |           |            |            |           |
| TWINSDUDC - Mayor Ted Votes (Maler) (Eirst)                       |           |           |           |            |            |           |
| I WINSDUKG - Mayor Led Yates (Monr) (Finch)                       | А         | А         | А         |            |            |           |
| WAYNE COUNTY ENGINEED G # A N <sup>(1)</sup>                      |           | 14        |           |            |            |           |
| WAYNE COUNTY ENGINEER – Scott A. Miller                           |           | Μ         |           |            |            |           |
| WINDHAM - Mayor Deborah Blewitt                                   |           |           |           |            |            |           |

#### AMATS POLICY COMMITTEE 2020 ATTENDANCE

#### **OBSERVERS AND STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

#### NAME

#### **REPRESENTING**

Mr. Curtis Baker Mr. Jeffrey Gardner Mr. Darryl Kleinhenz Mr. Kerry Prater AMATS AMATS AMATS AMATS

#### Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study Technical Advisory Committee Thursday, May 6, 2020 – 1:30 p.m.

#### **Minutes of Meeting**

Recordings of AMATS committee meetings are available in the Podcast section of the agency web site at www.amatsplanning.org/category/meetings/.

#### I. Call to Order

A. Chairman John H. Cieszkowski, Jr. called the virtual meeting to order using Zoom, the video conferencing platform. The attending members constituted a quorum.

#### II. <u>Minutes – Motion Required</u>

#### A. Approval of Minutes

Members were asked to approve the minutes of the March 5, 2020 meeting.

#### **Motion**

*Jim McCleary* made a motion to approve the minutes and it was seconded by *Bradley Kosco*. *The motion was approved by a voice vote*.

#### III. Staff Reports

#### A. Financial Progress Report

Curtis Baker presented Attachment 3A.

#### Motion

*Wayne Wiethe* made a motion to approve the Financial Progress Report and it was seconded by *Jim McCleary*. <u>The motion was approved by a voice vote.</u>

#### **B.** Technical Progress Report

**Mr. Baker** said that the AMATS Technical Staff is working remotely from their homes in response to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

**Mr. Baker** noted that the May TAC meeting has 35 attendees participating. **Mr. Baker** said that there has not been a decision to cancel the June committee meetings yet. **Mr. Baker** said that in-person committee meetings may resume in September. The 2020 AMATS Annual Meeting has been cancelled due to the difficulties posed by the COVID-19 Pandemic with regards to scheduling guest speakers and possible social distancing concerns.

#### C. AMATS Federal Funds Report

**Mr. Baker** presented tables concerning STBG, CMAQ and TASA Funding Program and Balances dated April 20, 2020.

#### IV. Old Business

None.

#### V. <u>New Business</u>

None.

#### VI. <u>Resolutions</u>

A. Resolution 2020-05 – Approving an Amendment to Transportation Outlook 2040.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6A.

<u>Motion</u>

**Bobbie** Beshara made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-05 and it was seconded by Wayne Wiethe. <u>The motion was approved.</u>

## B. Resolution 2020-06 – Approving the Transportation Improvement Program FY 2021-2024.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6B.

#### <u>Motion</u>

*Chris Papp* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-06 and it was seconded by *Amy Mohr*. *The motion was approved.* 

C. Resolution 2020-07 – Reaffirming the Approval of the Regional Transportation Plan and the Transportation Improvement Program, and Affirming the Consistency between the Regional Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program, and the State Implementation Plan.

**Resolution 2020-08 – Certification of the Urban Transportation Planning Process.** 

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6C.

<u>Motion</u>

**Tony Demasi** made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-07 and it was seconded by **Jim Bowling**. <u>The motion was approved</u>.

#### **Motion**

*Wayne Wiethe* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-08 and it was seconded by *Bobbie Beshara*. *The motion was approved*.

## D. Resolution 2020-09 – Approving the FY 2021 Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6D.

<u>Motion</u>

*Jim McCleary* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-09 and it was seconded by *Jim Bowling*. *The motion was approved*.

E. Resolution 2020-10 – Opposing the elimination of the Akron Metropolitan Statistical Area by combining it with the Cleveland Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Mr. Baker presented Attachment 6E.

#### <u>Motion</u>

*Wayne Wiethe* made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-10 and it was seconded by *Jim Bowling*. *The motion was approved with no abstentions*.

#### VII. Other Business

None.

#### VIII. Adjournment

A. Chairman Cieszkowski said that the status of the June meeting of the TAC has yet to be determined. Mr. Baker said that AMATS would discuss the necessity of a June meeting with officials at the ODOT District 4 office.

#### <u>Motion</u>

*Wayne Wiethe* made a motion to adjourn and it was seconded by *Robert Finney*. *The motion was approved.* 

The next regularly scheduled TAC meeting will be at 1:30 p.m. on **Thursday**, **June 18, 2020**.

# AMATS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2020 ATTENDANCE

| M Denotes Member Present<br>A Denotes Alternate Present                       | Jan<br>16 | Mar<br>5 | May<br>6    | June<br>18 | Sept<br>17 | Dec<br>10 |
|---|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| AKRON ENCINEERING BUREAU, Michael I. Teodecki (Jonke)                         |           | М        | М           |            |            |           |
| AKRON PLANNING DEPT _ Mark Moore (Tomic)                                      |           | 111      | 111         |            |            |           |
| AKRON TRAFFIC ENCINEERING Michael Lunica                                      |           | М        | М           |            |            |           |
| AURORA Harry Stark (Czekai)(Cooper)   |           | IVI      | 1 <b>V1</b> |            |            |           |
| <b>BADREDTON</b> Malissa MaEaddan   |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| <b>BADERTON</b> Greg Troov  |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| CUVAHOCA FALLS Ered Guerra (Daul)   |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| CUVAHOCA FALLS - Tieu Ouella (Faul)   |           | М        | М           |            |            |           |
| DOVIESTOWN Eng Ageog Donny Dortz  |           | 11/1     | IVI         |            |            |           |
| EAIDLAWN Nicholog Snogrouple (Staten)   | ٨         |          |             |            |            |           |
| CDEEN Wayne Wiethe (Lering)   | A         |          | М           |            |            |           |
| <b>GREEN</b> - wayne whether (Haring)   | IVI       |          | M           |            |            |           |
| GREEN - Paul Pickeu (Schemansky)  | М         | М        | M           |            |            |           |
| HUDSON – Nick Sugar (Hannan)  | IVI<br>M  | M        | M           |            |            |           |
| HUDSON – Nale WORSICK (KOSCO)   |           |          | M           |            |            |           |
| KENT - Jim Bowling<br>KENT - Jan Ciaminta (Dalaar)                            | IVI       | IVI      | IVI         |            |            |           |
| <b>NENT</b> - Jon Giaquinio (Baker)<br>LAVEMODE Meyer Dichard Cala Ir. (East) |           |          | ٨           |            |            |           |
| LAREMORE – Mayor Richard Cole, Jr. (Fast)                                     |           |          | A           |            |            |           |
| MACEDONIA - Joseph Orghout (Sheeny)   | ٨         | ٨        |             |            |            |           |
| METRO - Dawn Disuer (Baarson) (Snea)  | A         | A        | A           |            |            |           |
| MUNDOF FALLS Vecent   |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| NIUNKUE FALLS – vacant  | ٨         | •        | ٨           |            |            |           |
| NEW EDANKLIN – Draw Kerler (Cener)  | A         | A        | A           |            |            |           |
| NODTHEFELD Diskord S. Wasselw   | IVI       |          | IVI         |            |            |           |
| NORTHFIELD - Richard S. Wasosky   |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| 1000000000000000000000000000000000000   | М         | •        | •           |            |            |           |
| <b>DADTA</b> (les lis Angleis (Bele) (Beril) (Seles les)                      |           | A        | A           |            |            |           |
| PARIA – Claudia Amrnein (Baba) (Popik) (Schrader)                             | А         | A        | M           |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY ENGINEER – Larry Jenkins (Kusner)                              |           | IVI      | M           |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE CO. REG. PLANNING COMM Todd Peetz (McGee)                             |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY SMALL VILLAGES – John Trew                                     |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY TOWNSHIP ASSOC – John Kovacich (Greener)                       | M         | M        | 14          |            |            |           |
| RAVENNA - Robert Finney (Jeners)  | M         | M        | M           |            |            |           |
| RICHFIELD - Chris Papp (Frantz) (Neumeyer)                                    |           | M        | M           |            |            |           |
| <b>KITIMAN</b> – Bobbie Beshara (Kobertson)                                   |           |          | M           |            |            |           |
| SILVER LAKE – John Tutak  |           |          | 14          |            |            |           |
| STOW – James McCleary (Donovan)   | M         | M        | M           |            |            |           |
| STOW – Mike Jones (Sisson)  | M         |          | 14          |            |            |           |
| STREETSBORD – John H. Cieszkowski, Jr. (Broska)                               | M         | Μ        | M           |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT CO. COMM. & ECON. DEV. – Connie Krauss (Tubbs)                         |           |          | <u>M</u>    |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY ENGINEER - Alan Brubaker (Fulton) (Paradise)                    | A         | Α        | A           |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY SMALL VILLAGES - Vacant   |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY TOWNSHIP ASSOC Richard Reville (Funk)                           |           |          | 1.6         |            |            |           |
| IALLMADGE - Andrea Kidder (Kline)   |           |          | M           |            |            |           |
| I WINSBURG - Amy Mohr (Muter)   | Μ         |          | Μ           |            |            |           |
| WAYNE CUUNTY ENGINEER – Scott A. Miller                                       |           |          |             |            |            |           |
| WINDHAM – Deborah Blewitt (Brown)   |           |          |             |            |            |           |

# AMATS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2020 ATTENDANCE

| M Denotes Member Present<br>A Denotes Alternate Present     | Jan<br>16 | Mar<br>5 | May<br>6 | June<br>18 | Sept<br>17 | Dec<br>10 |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| NON-VOTING MEMBERS  |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| AKRON CANTON AIRPORT - Renato Camacho                       |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| AKRON REG. AIR QUALITY MGT. DISTRICT – Sam Rubens           |           | М        |          |            |            |           |
| AMATS - Curtis Baker  | М         | М        | М        |            |            |           |
| CUYAHOGA VALLEY NATIONAL PARK – Vacant                      |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| ENVIRONMENTAL COMMUNITY REP Kurt Princic                    |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| GREATER AKRON CHAMBER - Gregg Cramer                        |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| OHIO TURNPIKE COMMISSION – Anthony Yacobucci                |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE COUNTY PORT AUTHORITY – Vacant                      |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| PORTAGE PARK DISTRICT - Christine Craycroft                 |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION PROVIDER (CYC) – Deb Stolfo (Posten) |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| RAILROAD INDUSTRY REP William A. Callison (Davis)           |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT COUNTY PORT AUTHORITY – Vacant                       |           |          |          |            |            |           |
| SUMMIT METRO PARKS – Mark Szeremet (King)                   | М         | М        | М        |            |            |           |
| TRUCKING INDUSTRY – Vacant                                  |           |          |          |            |            |           |

#### **OBSERVERS AND STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

#### NAME

#### **REPRESENTING**

Ms. Clarissa Allega Ms. Carmen Stemen Village of Clinton FHWA

#### **STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

| Mr. Seth Bush    | AMATS |
|------------------|-------|
| Mr. Jeff Gardner | AMATS |
| Mr. Kerry Prater | AMATS |
| Mr. Dave Pulay   | AMATS |

#### FINANCIAL PROGRESS REPORT AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY JULY 31, 2020

|            | Description   | Annual<br>Budget | Year-to-Date<br>Expenses | % Budget<br>Expended | July<br>Expenses |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| I.         | Short Range Planning  | \$289,700        | \$38,352                 | 13%                  | \$38,352         |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 14,700           | 14,683                   |                      | 14,683           |
|            | FY2021  | 275,000          | 23,669                   |                      | 23,669           |
| II.        | Transportation Improvement Program                                    | \$361,100        | \$9,683                  | 3%                   | \$9,683          |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 86,100           | 9,683                    |                      | 9,683            |
|            | FY2021  | 275,000          | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| III.       | Continuing Planning & Data Collection<br>Transportation System Update | \$187,600        | \$77,195                 | 41%                  | \$77,195         |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 7,600            | 6,874                    |                      | 6,874            |
|            | FY2021  | 180,000          | 70,321                   |                      | 70,321           |
| IV.        | Long Range Plan Activity  | \$486,000        | \$21,660                 | 4%                   | \$21,660         |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 86,000           | 21,660                   |                      | 21,660           |
|            | FY2021  | 400,000          | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| <b>V</b> . | Service   | \$460,500        | \$20,795                 | 5%                   | \$20,795         |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 110,500          | 20,795                   |                      | 20,795           |
|            | FY2021  | 350,000          | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| VI.        | OhioRideshare and AQ Advocacy   | \$347,000        | \$12,848                 | 4%                   | \$12,848         |
|            | FY2020 OhioRideshare Carryover  | 47,000           | 5,199                    |                      | 5,199            |
|            | FY2021 OhioRideshare  | 80,000           | 0                        |                      | 0                |
|            | FY2020 Air Quality Carryover  | 100,000          | 7,649                    |                      | 7,649            |
|            | FY2021 Air Quality  | 120,000          | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| VII.       | Local   | \$25,000         | \$0                      | 0%                   | \$0              |
|            | AMATS local Costs   | 25,000           | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| VIII.      | AMATS Transportation Quarterly  | \$105,488        | \$5,416                  | 5%                   | \$5,416          |
|            | FY2020 Carryover  | 46,900           | 5,416                    |                      | 5,416            |
|            | FY2021  | 58,588           | 0                        |                      | 0                |
| IX.        | GRAND TOTAL AMATS BUDGET  | \$2,262,388      | \$185,949                | 8%                   | \$185,949        |

#### AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

#### **MEMORANDUM**

- TO: Policy Committee Technical Advisory Committee Citizens Involvement Committee
- FROM: AMATS Staff
- **RE: AMATS Federal Funds Report**
- DATE: September 10, 2020

The first quarter of FY 2021 is already nearly over. We are pleased to report that one of AMATS largest projects, Cleveland Massillon Road in Fairlawn, has sold and is nearing the start of construction. AMATS provided \$477,000 of STBG funds and \$4,462,924 of CMAQ funds for this project.

The FY 2021 balances in AMATS funding are in good shape. We currently have a small balance of \$230,375 in STBG funds, a slight deficit of -\$152,708 in TASA funds, and a deficit of -\$4,104,438 in CMAQ funds. The fiscal year is still young and at this point we will just monitor the balances. The statewide CMAQ committee could be contacted to request assistance with the CMAQ deficit if necessary.

### AMATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM STBG Funding Program and Balances September 8, 2020

| ODOT   |  |                           |                    |             | arter |             |                   |             |             |
|--------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| PID    | STBG PROJECT NAME                                | SPONSOR                   | PHASE              | FY 2021     | Qu    | FY 2022     | FY 2023           | FY 2024     | FY 2025     |
|        | Sold   |                           |                    |             |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 103293 | Cleveland Massillon Rd                           | Fairlawn                  | (R)C               | \$477,000   | 1     |             |                   |             |             |
| 101264 | SR 18-4.91/7.98 curb ramps                       | Akron                     | С                  | \$82,991    | 1     |             |                   |             |             |
| 93819  | Howe Ave*  | Cuy Falls                 | (P)(R)C            | \$0         | 1     |             |                   |             |             |
| 107794 | South Main St South & North Resurfacing*         | New Franklin              | С                  | \$49,646    | 1     |             |                   |             |             |
|        | Pending  |                           |                    |             |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 107761 | Aurora Citywide Signal Improvement               | Aurora                    | R(C)               | \$60,000    |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 107761 | Aurora Citywide Signal Improvement               | Aurora                    | (R)C               | \$2,717,597 |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 107689 | Mill Rd/S. Diamond St Resurfacing                | Ravenna                   | С                  | \$268,000   |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 84397  | Seiberling Way Ph 1                              | Akron                     | P(R)(C)            | \$48,808    |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 108132 | South Hawkins Rd resurfacing                     | Akron                     | С                  | \$700,000   |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 102701 | E. Exchange St-complete street                   | Akron                     | R(C)               | \$240,000   |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 102904 | W. Steels Corners Rd-phase 1 resurfacing         | Cuy Falls                 | С                  | \$700,000   |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 103172 | Massillon Rd (SR 241) Ph 2/Corporate Woods       | Green                     | R                  | \$1,398,346 |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 102234 | SR 14 widening                                   | Streetsboro               | С                  | \$2,170,005 |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 108498 | Wooster Rd resurfacing                           | Norton                    | C                  | \$291,200   |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 108372 | 2nd St SW/Wooster Rd North/Norton Rd resurfacing | Barberton                 | С                  | \$1,175,602 |       |             |                   |             |             |
| 108200 | White Pond Dr resurfacing                        | Summit Co Eng             | С                  |             |       | \$600,000   |                   |             |             |
| 108370 | Wooster Rd/State St reconstruction               | Barberton                 | C                  |             |       | \$1,930,644 |                   |             |             |
| 108454 | Olde Eight Road Resurfacing                      | Summit Co Eng             | C                  |             |       | \$700,000   |                   |             |             |
| 108467 | Cleveland Massillon Rd Part 1 Resurfacing        | Summit Co Eng             | C                  |             |       | \$700,000   |                   |             |             |
| 108468 | Cleveland Massillon Rd Part 2 Resurfacing        | Summit Co Eng             | C                  |             |       | \$700,000   |                   |             |             |
| 108140 | Ravenna Rd Part 1 Resurracing                    | Summit Co Eng             |                    |             |       | \$700,000   |                   |             |             |
| 102701 | E. Exchange St-complete street                   | Akron                     | (R)C               |             |       | \$3,600,000 |                   |             |             |
| 100000 | Chostnut Rive Resultacing                        |                           | C<br>C             |             |       | \$700,000   |                   |             |             |
| 100090 | North River Rd Resultacing                       | Cuy Fails<br>Mubros Follo | C<br>C             |             |       | \$392,000   | ¢559 500          |             |             |
| 107000 | North River Ru Resultacing                       | Nullioe Fails             | C<br>C             |             |       |             | \$336,390         |             |             |
| 108433 | Valley View Bd Besurfacing                       | Summit Co Eng             | C<br>C             |             |       |             | \$700,000         |             |             |
| 108240 | Wooster Rd West Reconstruction                   | Barberton                 | C C                |             |       |             | \$5 507 836       |             |             |
| 108084 | Portage Trail Extension Turn Lane                | Cuv Falls                 | C<br>C             |             |       |             | \$3,649,197       |             |             |
| 106416 | SR 43 Widening                                   | Streetsboro               | C                  |             |       |             | \$858 657         |             |             |
| 84397  | Seiberling Way Ph 1                              | Akron                     |                    |             |       |             | <i>\\</i> 000,001 | \$4 118 390 |             |
| 112755 | New Milford Bd Besurfacing                       | Portage Co Eng            | (i )(i i) <b>c</b> |             |       |             |                   | \$590 882   |             |
| 112757 | Riddle St Resurfacing                            | Ravenna                   | C                  |             |       |             |                   | \$200,000   |             |
| 112756 | S Chestnut St Resurfacing                        | Ravenna                   | C                  |             |       |             |                   | \$192,000   |             |
| 112487 | Romig Rd BRT Study                               | METRO                     | P                  |             |       |             |                   | \$80,000    |             |
| 112745 | Gilchrist Rd Ph 1 Resurfacing                    | Mogadore                  | C                  |             |       |             |                   | \$356,264   |             |
| 112741 | Hopocan Av Resurfacing                           | Barberton                 | C                  |             |       |             |                   | \$281,696   |             |
| 112754 | Johnson Rd Resurfacing                           | Norton                    | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$443,869   |             |
| 112753 | Norton Av Resurfacing                            | Norton                    | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$390,008   |             |
| 112583 | Ravenna Rd Resurfacing                           | Twinsburg                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$432,000   |             |
| 112735 | Snyder Av Resurfacing                            | Barberton                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$611,976   |             |
| 112743 | Terex Rd Resurfacing                             | Hudson                    | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$506,000   |             |
| 112740 | Wooster Rd W Resurfacing                         | Barberton                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$231,808   |             |
| 112549 | S Main St (CR 57-2.62) Resurfacing               | Rittman                   | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$336,588   |             |
| 112543 | E Ohio Av (CR 57-3.91) Resurfacing               | Rittman                   | С                  |             |       |             |                   | \$459,662   |             |
| 113168 | W Steels Corners Rd Ph 2 Resurfacing             | Cuy Falls                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   |             | \$700,000   |
| 113175 | Ravenna Rd Part 2 Resurfacing                    | Summit Co                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   |             | \$600,000   |
| 113176 | Swartz Rd Resurfacing                            | Summit Co                 | С                  |             |       |             |                   |             | \$500,000   |
| 113169 | Munroe Falls Av Resurfacing                      | Munroe Falls              | С                  |             |       |             |                   |             | \$261,190   |
| 113171 | Tallmadge Rd Ph 1 Resurfacing                    | Portage Co                | C                  |             |       |             |                   |             | \$700,000   |
| 112716 | N Main St Complete Streets                       | Akron                     | (R)C               |             |       |             |                   |             | \$6,000,000 |
| 112026 | א אין גענאנן (E Main אנ)                         | rent                      | С<br>U             | 2004        |       | 2022        | 2002              | 2024        | \$3,600,000 |
|        |  |                           |                    | 2021        |       | 2022        | 2023              | 2024        | 2025        |

P = Engineering R = Right-of-Way C = Construction

 Annual STBG Expenditures
 \$10,379,195
 \$10,022,644
 \$11,574,280
 \$9,231,143
 \$12,361,190

 Annual STBG Allocations
 \$10,609,570
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,414
 \$10,633,

#### AMATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM CMAQ Funding Program and Balances

September 8, 2020

| орот   |  |                |               |              | arter |              |             |             |              |
|--------|--|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| PID    | CMAQ PROJECT NAME                          | SPONSOR        | PHASE         | FY 2021      | Que   | FY 2022      | FY 2023     | FY 2024     | FY 2025      |
|        | Sold                                       |                |               |              |       |              |             |             |              |
| 100692 | Air Quality Advocacy Program               | AMATS          |               | \$120,000    | 1     |              |             |             |              |
| 100691 | Rideshare Program                          | AMATS          |               | \$80,000     | 1     |              |             |             |              |
| 103293 | Cleveland Massillon Rd                     | Fairlawn       | (R)C          | \$4,462,924  | 1     |              |             |             |              |
|        | Pending                                    |                | , í           | .,,,         |       |              |             |             |              |
| 93442  | SR 43 (South Water St)*                    | Kent           | R(C)          | \$75,520     |       |              |             |             |              |
| 93433  | Canton Rd/East Market St                   | Akron          | (R)C          | \$788,320    |       |              |             |             |              |
| 102992 | CNG Bus Replacement (2 buses)              | PARTA          | С             | \$832,000    |       |              |             |             |              |
| 98585  | Tallmadge Rd Interchange                   | Portage Co Eng | (R)C          | \$2,868,000  |       |              |             |             |              |
| 103173 | Massillon Rd (SR 241) Ph 3/Boettler        | Green          | R(C)          | \$445,500    |       |              |             |             |              |
| 111426 | Air Quality Advocacy Program               | AMATS          |               |              |       | \$100,000    |             |             |              |
| 111431 | Rideshare Program                          | AMATS          |               |              |       | \$80,000     |             |             |              |
| 111777 | CNG Bus Buy 2022 (2 buses)                 | PARTA          | С             |              |       | \$920,000    |             |             |              |
| 103173 | Massillon Rd (SR 241) Ph 3/Boettler        | Green          | (R)C          |              |       | \$2,827,675  |             |             |              |
| 103172 | Massillon Rd/Corporate Woods Cir PH 2      | Green          | С             |              |       | \$2,606,199  |             |             |              |
| 106445 | SR 91-13.53 (SR 91 South Widening Project) | Hudson         | С             |              |       | \$2,500,000  |             |             |              |
| 111428 | Air Quality Advocacy Program               | AMATS          |               |              |       |              | \$100,000   |             |              |
| 111432 | Rideshare Program                          | AMATS          |               |              |       |              | \$80,000    |             |              |
| 112270 | CNG Bus Buy (3 buses)                      | METRO          | С             |              |       |              | \$1,560,000 |             |              |
| 106416 | SR 43 Widening                             | Streetsboro    | С             |              |       |              | \$3,300,775 |             |              |
| 108084 | Portage Trail Extension Turn Lane          | Cuy Falls      | С             |              |       |              | \$267,202   |             |              |
| 111429 | Air Quality Advocacy Program               | AMATS          |               |              |       |              |             | \$100,000   |              |
| 111433 | Rideshare Program                          | AMATS          |               |              |       |              |             | \$80,000    |              |
| 112245 | METRO CNG Replacements (3 buses)           | METRO          | С             |              |       |              |             | \$1,260,000 |              |
| 112244 | PARTA 2 replacement clean diesel buses     | PARTA          | С             |              |       |              |             | \$779,253   |              |
| 112797 | Valley View & Olde Eight Improvements      | Summit Co Eng  | R(C)          |              |       |              |             | \$32,000    |              |
| 112797 | Valley View & Olde Eight Improvements      | Summit Co Eng  | (R)C          |              |       |              |             | \$228,000   |              |
| 113165 | Ravenna & Shephard Improvements            | Macedonia      | R(C)          |              |       |              |             | \$80,000    |              |
| 113161 | Highland & Valley View Improvements        | Macedonia      | R(C)          |              |       |              |             | \$104,000   |              |
| 112026 | SR 59-2.14 (E Main St)                     | Kent           | С             |              |       |              |             |             | \$6,000,000  |
| 112716 | N Main St Complete Streets                 | Akron          | С             |              |       |              |             |             | \$900,000    |
| 113165 | Ravenna & Shephard Improvements            | Macedonia      | (R)C          |              |       |              |             |             | \$1,289,288  |
| 113161 | Highland & Valley View Improvements        | Macedonia      | (R)C          |              |       |              |             |             | \$1,704,811  |
|        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·      | •              | · · ·         | 2021         | -     | 2022         | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|        | P = Engineering                            | Annual CMAQ    | Expenditures  | \$9,695,565  |       | \$9,033,874  | \$5,307,977 | \$2,663,253 | \$9,894,099  |
|        | R = Right-of-Way                           | Annual CMA     | Q Allocations | \$5,591,127  |       | \$5,591,127  | \$5,591,127 | \$5,591,127 | \$5,591,127  |
|        | C = Construction                           |                | Balance       | -\$4,104,438 |       | -\$3,442,747 | \$283,150   | \$2,927,874 | -\$4,302,972 |

- -

## AMATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TASA Funding Program and Balances

September 8, 2020

| ODOT<br>PID | TASA PROJECT NAME                              | SPONSOR          | PHASE         | FY 2021     | Quarter | FY 2022      | FY 2023     | FY 2024     | FY 2025     |
|-------------|--|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|             | Pending  |                  |               |             |         |              |             |             |             |
| 103834      | Portage Hike and Bike-Brady's Leap Connection  | Kent             | С             | \$700,000   |         |              |             |             |             |
| 107814      | Darrow Rd (SR 91) Sidewalks                    | Stow             | (R)C          | \$516,050   |         |              |             |             |             |
| 105556      | The Portage Trail - Ravenna Rd Bridge          | Portage Parks    | (P)C          |             |         | \$313,600    |             |             |             |
| 99729       | Raber Rd sidewalks                             | Green            | С             |             |         | \$500,000    |             |             |             |
| 102796      | Freedom Trail/Portage Trail Connector          | MetroParks/Talln | С             |             |         | \$700,000    |             |             |             |
| 106539      | Wooster Rd/Robinson (Towpath Trail connector)  | Barberton        | С             |             |         | \$380,376    |             |             |             |
| 107797      | CVNP Ped Bridge & Trail                        | Summit Co Eng    | С             |             |         | \$700,000    |             |             |             |
| 112788      | Cleveland Massillon Rd sidewalk                | Summit Co Eng    | P(R)(C)       |             |         |              | \$120,000   |             |             |
| 107930      | Freedom Trail Phase 4                          | MetroParks       | С             |             |         |              | \$700,000   |             |             |
| 112788      | Cleveland Massillon Rd sidewalk                | Summit Co Eng    | (P)R(C)       |             |         |              |             | \$32,000    |             |
| 113016      | Stow Silver Lake Cuyahoga Falls Bike Connector | Stow             | С             |             |         |              |             |             | \$700,000   |
| 112788      | Cleveland Massillon Rd sidewalk                | Summit Co Eng    | (P)(R)C       |             |         |              |             |             | \$368,000   |
| 113160      | Rubber City Heritage Trail East Side Seg B     | Akron            | С             |             |         |              |             |             | \$700,000   |
|             |  |                  |               | 2021        |         | 2022         | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|             | P = Engineering                                | Annual TASA      | Expenditures  | \$1,216,050 |         | \$2,593,976  | \$820,000   | \$32,000    | \$1,768,000 |
|             | R = Right-of-Way                               | Annual TAS       | A Allocations | \$1,063,342 |         | \$1,063,342  | \$1,063,342 | \$1,063,342 | \$1,063,342 |
|             | C = Construction                               |                  | Balance       | -\$152,708  |         | -\$1,530,634 | \$243,342   | \$1,031,342 | -\$704,658  |

#### AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

#### **MEMORANDUM**

| TO:   | Policy Committee<br>Technical Advisory Committee<br>Citizens Involvement Committee |
|-------|--|
| FROM: | AMATS Staff  |
| RE:   | 2020 Transit Plan  |
| DATE: | September 9, 2020  |

AMATS, in conjunction with its two local transit agencies, is responsible for the periodic development of a regional public transit plan. A primary goal of the AMATS 2020 Transit Plan is to assist in achieving a balance between strengthening the existing system to provide better service to current transit riders, and expanding to key areas to satisfy new needs. This plan contains an analysis of the region's existing transit system and makes recommendations that are eligible for inclusion in the upcoming 2045 Regional Transportation Plan.

As part of the public transit plan development process, AMATS has collected and analyzed a wide variety of data, including several analyses of the existing transit system and demographics likely to use transit. These analyses help guide the recommendations for improving existing service for those who already use public transit, as well as attracting new passengers. The AMATS 2020 Transit Plan encourages increasing headways where appropriate, as well as sidewalk and bus stop improvements to increase access to transit. Additionally, the AMATS 2020 Transit Plan recommends transit agencies and communities work together to find the best solutions that meet the needs of their riders.

To view the entire report, please visit the AMATS website at www.amatsplanning.org.

#### The staff recommends approval of this document.





This report is the product of a study financed (in part) by the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study which is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

Cooperative transportation planning by the Village, City and County governments of Portage and Summit Counties and the Chippewa and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

#### SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

# Table of Contents

| Executive Summary  |
|--|
| Existing System and Coverage   |
| Table 1       METRO RTA Fixed-Route Service 2019 Monthly Ridership       2 |
| Table 2       PARTA Fixed-Route Service 2019 Monthly Ridership       2     |
| Map 1   Transit Coverage 4   |
| Transit Coverage Analysis  |
| Table 3   Total Population Transit Coverage by Community5                  |
| Headway Performance  |
| Headway Performance Analysis   |
| Table 4   METRO RTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis       7       |
| Table 5       PARTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis       8       |
| Capital Assets   |
| PARTA Fleet  |
| METRO Fleet 10   |
| Facilities   |
| Transit Ridership  |
| Elderly Population   |
| Table 6       Elderly Population Transit Coverage by Community       12    |
| Map 2   Transit Coverage of Elderly Population                             |
| Low Income Population  |
| Table 7   Low Income Population Transit Coverage by Community14            |
| Map 3   Transit Coverage of Low Income Population 15                       |
| Minority Population  |
| Table 8   Minority Population Transit Coverage by Community16              |
| Map 4   Transit Coverage of Minority Population                            |

| Disabled Population  |
|--|
| Demand Response Services   |
| Table 9   Disabled Population Over 18 Transit Coverage by Community 18 |
| Map 5   Transit Coverage of Disabled Population Over 18 19             |
| Performance Measures   |
| Conditions   |
| Coverage   |
| Access   |
| Wait Times   |
| Sidewalks  |
| Bus Shelters 21  |
| Map 6   Bus Stops Lacking Sidewalk Access                              |
| Transit-Oriented Development   |
| Recommendations  |
| Coverage   |
| Improve Coverage in Stow, Tallmadge, and Green                         |
| Coverage in Streetsboro  |
| Access   |
| Increased Headways   |
| Sidewalks  |
| Improvements For Transit Stops   |
| Transit-Oriented Development   |
| Implementation   |
| State Grant Programs   |
| Need for Additional State Funding                                      |

# **Executive Summary**

The Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is the metropolitan planning organization responsible for ensuring comprehensive transportation planning for Summit and Portage counties and parts of Wayne County. This responsibility includes coordination with various agencies in Northeast Ohio, not the least of which are two transit providers, METRO RTA in Summit County and the Portage Area Regional Transportation Authority (PARTA) in Portage County. The portions of Wayne County in the AMATS region are not currently served by a public transit provider. In planning for all 700,000 users in the AMATS region, transit provides a necessary tool to ensure mobility access for disabled, elderly, and low income residents. However, transit also provides an opportunity to improve transportation choices for everyone. Providing a strong transit system is essential for a dynamic region preparing for the future.

METRO RTA and PARTA both provide traditional fixed-route service, operating a combined 53 routes, but they also provide demand response services and express bus services. Both agencies have a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) fueling station, as



they include CNG buses in their fleet. METRO's newest station opened in 2016 and PARTA's first station opened in 2018.

Transit serves many purposes. At a minimum, transit provides basic mobility to those without access to vehicles. Transit riders use services to get to work, to get to a doctor, to run errands, to visit family and friends, etc. Some transit users may even choose to ride a bus instead of taking a car, saving wear and tear on their vehicle and avoiding parking fees. Additionally, transit reduces emissions and congestion in metropolitan areas, which is a benefit for all users of the roadways. Lastly, transit can provide a basis for development, spurring economic development along a bus route and adding jobs to an area. Transit-oriented development is defined as a type of urban development that is designed and constructed with transit access in mind. It usually includes mixed-use development and easy access to transit.



SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

1

# Existing System and Coverage

Fixed-route transit service is meant to be reliable, efficient, and timely. That is why the route arrives at and departs from stops at the same time every day. This allows users to plan the transit trips they take each day. These routes tend to be along dense, high-demand travel corridors.

For METRO RTA, over four and a half million riders used its fixed-route service in 2019, with October being the most traveled month of the year. Two routes, West Market (#1) and Arlington (#2), were by far the most popular routes. For PARTA, over one million passengers took advantage of their fixed-routes, with one route on the Kent State University campus (Front Campus/Summit East) totaling over 350,000 passengers for the year. The following tables provide ridership numbers for the entire fixed-route system for both METRO RTA and PARTA.

| Table 1 | METRO RTA | Fixed-Route Service | 2019 Monthly | y Ridership |
|---------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|
|---------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|

| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                 | MONTHLY<br>AVERAGE |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | West Market                 | 42,450             |
| 2       | Arlington                   | 42,143             |
| 3       | Copley Rd / Hawkins         | 22,012             |
| 4       | Delia/N Hawkins             | 10,102             |
| 5       | East Market / Ellet         | 8,109              |
| 6       | East Market / Lakemore      | 20,579             |
| 7       | Cuyahoga Falls Ave          | 12,287             |
| 8       | Kenmore / Barberton         | 19,947             |
| 9       | Wooster / East Ave          | 13,561             |
| 10      | Howard / Portage Trail      | 18,690             |
| 11      | South Akron                 | 2,503              |
| 12      | Tallmadge Hill              | 13,472             |
| 13      | Grant / Firestone Park      | 14,655             |
| 14      | Euclid / Barberton XP       | 21,831             |
| 17      | Brown / Inman               | 15,707             |
| 18      | Thornton / Manchester       | 13,662             |
| 19      | Eastland                    | 14,268             |
| 21      | South Main                  | 2,357              |
| 24      | Lakeshore                   | 3,855              |
| 26      | W Exchange / White Pond     | 5,397              |
| 28      | Merriman Valley             | 3,746              |
| 30      | Goodyear / Darrow           | 9,977              |
| 33      | State Rd / Wyoga Lake       | 5,105              |
| 34      | Cascade Village / Uhler     | 12,794             |
| 50      | Montrose Circulator         | 1,573              |
| 51      | Stow Circulator             | 1,418              |
| 53      | Portage / Graham            | 2,114              |
| 54      | DASH Circulator             | 10,376             |
| 59      | Chapel Hill Circulator      | 1,145              |
| 60      | NCX Chapel Hill / Cleveland | 1,088              |
| 61      | NCX Montrose / Cleveland    | 5,787              |
| 101     | Richfield / Bath            | 1,038              |
| 102     | Northfield Express          | 3,142              |
| 103     | Stow / Hudson               | 3,403              |
| 104     | Twinsburg Creekside         | 2,483              |
| 110     | Green / Springfield         | 2,317              |
|         | AVERAGE MONTHLY TOTAL       | 385,093            |

| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                | MONTHLY<br>AVERAGE |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 30      | Interurban West            | 5,819              |
| 35      | Interurban East            | 13,240             |
| 40      | Suburban North             | 2,737              |
| 45      | Suburban South             | 3,303              |
| 51      | Campus Loop                | 11,637             |
| 53      | Reverse Loop               | 5,217              |
| 55      | Allerton                   | 5,964              |
| 57      | Stadium Loop               | 948                |
| 58      | Front Campus / Summit East | 31,129             |
| 59      | Stadium Night Loop         | 2,170              |
| 60      | Black Squirrel             | 1,063              |
| 70      | Windham / Garrettsville    | 855                |
| 80      | Raven West                 | 356                |
| 85      | Raven East                 | 965                |
| 90      | Akron Express              | 1,573              |
| 100     | Cleveland Express          | 448                |
|         | AVERAGE MONTHLY TOTAL      | 89,018             |

In addition to fixed-route service, METRO provides several other options for residents of Summit County to utilize for everyday travel. METRO's Call-A-Bus service, serving Macedonia, Twinsburg, Townships of Sagamore Hills, Twinsburg, and Northfield Center and the Villages of Northfield and Reminderville, can be used by anyone who calls a day in advance and schedules the ride. The Call-A-Bus service provides curb to curb service for \$4 per ride and is available Monday through Friday. Call-A-Bus services are also available in the City of Green.

SCAT service is origin-to-destination shared rides using a small bus or van. Rides are available Monday through Friday and scheduled a day in advance. SCAT service is \$2 per trip and is available to anyone 62 years old or older, or with a disability. Additionally, METRO runs a free shuttle service through downtown Akron during the week, the Downtown Akron Shuttle (DASH). DASH buses run every 10 minutes and travel a loop around downtown and the RKP Transit Center. Lastly, METRO runs two bus routes from the Akron area to Cleveland every weekday morning and evening. Called the Northcoast Express, one route starts in Cuyahoga Falls and travels through Hudson and Twinsburg on its way to Cleveland. The other route starts at the Transit Center in downtown Akron, heads out to the Fairlawn/Montrose area, and makes its way to Cleveland via the expressway.

PARTA also offers several services in addition to their regular fixed-routes. PARTA's Dial-A-Ride service creates access in areas where fixed-route service has not been expanded to or is not feasible. Dial-A-Ride is available to the general public throughout Portage County, which is especially helpful in the eastern portion of the county where

Table 2 | PARTA Fixed-Route Service 2019 Monthly Ridership

fixed-route service does not reach. This service is offered on small buses with equipment to assist those with disabilities and is \$6 per ride, with reduced fare available for those who qualify. Also, PARTA provides express service from Kent to Akron seven times a day and Kent to Cleveland twice a day. The Kent to Cleveland express service travels through Streetsboro on its way to the Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland's Public Square, Cleveland State University, and other locations.

Both METRO and PARTA offer a specialized service for those with disabilities per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This complementary paratransit service provides transportation for people with disabilities who cannot use fixed-route buses. It is available at the same time as the fixed-route buses, with the pick-up location and destination no further than three quarters of a mile from the fixed-route.



NEORide was created to encourage coordination among member transit agencies in Ohio in order to provide a more comprehensive and collaborative transit system to residents. Both METRO and PARTA are members, as well as GCRTA (Cleveland), MCPT (Medina), SARTA (Canton), WRTA (Youngstown), and several others around the

state of Ohio and Northern Kentucky. Together, these agencies can apply for federal grant programs, promote transit use to the entire state and surrounding regions, combine resources to better serve the communities they represent, and implement services that benefit transit riders.



One recent initiative of NEORide was the introduction of EZFare, a mobile app that makes it easier to buy bus passes, pay your fare before you get on the bus, and even switch between different transit systems. Before EZfare, transit riders had to either swipe their pass or pay bus fare with exact change while getting on the bus. Passes could only be bought at specific locations, making it inconvenient to always have a bus pass. With EZfare, transit riders can download

the EZfare app and purchase their bus passes using a credit card and at their own convenience. Riders simply show the pass on their phone to the driver when they board the bus. NEORide will continue to be an asset to transit agencies in Ohio, providing opportunities for collaboration and growth well into the 21st century.





😔 2020 Transit Plan

SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

Chapter 1 - Existing System and Coverage | 4

## Transit Coverage Analysis

When determining how well the region is served by fixed-route transit, it is helpful to determine the number of residents that live within a comfortable walking distance to a transit line. A quarter mile walk is the typical standard. Comparing the population living within walking distance of a bus route to the overall population gives us a percentage of transit coverage for each community. The above map and following table were produced using data from the American Community Survey - 2017 5 year estimates. Out of the entire AMATS region's population of 713,412 (as of 2010), 317,673 people (almost 44% of the population) have access to fixed-route transit within a quarter mile. It should be mentioned that the quarter mile standard is only part of the picture. A comprehensive multi-modal network includes bus shelters, park and ride lots, bike paths and sidewalks. This integrated approach makes access to transit stops seamless and traveling longer distances to stops more feasible.

The following table (Table 3) shows all of the communities with access to fixed-route transit. Older, established cities with a higher density of development have better transit coverage. Cities such as Akron, Kent, Ravenna, Barberton, and Cuyahoga Falls offer some of the highest levels of transit access in the area. Some smaller suburban communities (Silver Lake, Windham, and Franklin Township) also offer excellent coverage. As expected, there are very low levels of transit access in rural communities such as Charlestown, Nelson, and Shalersville Townships. Those communities in the AMATS region that do not have access to fixed-route service are:

- Atwater
- Aurora
- Chippewa
- Clinton
- Deerfield
- Doylestown
- Edinburg
- Mantua
- Milton
- New Franklin
- Palmyra
- Peninsula
- Randolph
- Rittman
- Rootstown
- Suffield

| Barberton             | 26,230                         | 15,080  | 57.5% |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Bath Twp              | 9,697                          | 2,132   | 22.0% |
| Boston Heights        | 666                            | 281     | 42.2% |
| Boston Twp            | 1,209                          | 13      | 1.1%  |
| Brimfield Twp         | 10,353                         | 1,369   | 13.2% |
| harlestown Twp        | 1,788                          | 5       | 0.3%  |
| Copley Twp            | 17,305                         | 2,641   | 15.3% |
| Coventry Twp          | 10,911                         | 2,730   | 25.0% |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | 49,329                         | 32,149  | 65.2% |
| Fairlawn              | 7,463                          | 4,426   | 59.3% |
| Franklin Twp          | 6,004                          | 3,603   | 60.0% |
| Freedom Twp           | 2,847                          | 236     | 8.3%  |
| Garrettsville         | 2,991                          | 841     | 28.1% |
| Green                 | 25,741                         | 6,410   | 24.9% |
| Hiram                 | 1,294                          | 75      | 5.8%  |
| Hiram Twp             | 2,256                          | 111     | 4.9%  |
| Hudson                | 22,250                         | 6,047   | 27.2% |
| Kent                  | 29,771                         | 19,850  | 66.7% |
| Lakemore              | 3,075                          | 1,163   | 37.8% |
| Macedonia             | 11,715                         | 3,828   | 32.7% |
| Mogadore              | 2,842                          | 78      | 2.7%  |
| Munroe Falls          | 5,065                          | 1,101   | 21.7% |
| Nelson Twp            | 3,123                          | 7       | 0.2%  |
| Northfield            | 3,658                          | 2,071   | 56.6% |
| thfield Center Twp    | 5,840                          | 828     | 14.2% |
| Norton                | 12,038                         | 1,209   | 10.0% |
| Ravenna               | 11,530                         | 7,623   | 66.1% |
| Ravenna Twp           | 9,172                          | 2,550   | 27.8% |
| Richfield             | 3,649                          | 937     | 25.7% |
| Richfield Twp         | 2,515                          | 243     | 9.7%  |
| gamore Hills Twp      | 10,956                         | 403     | 3.7%  |
| halersville Twp       | 5,643                          | 10      | 0.2%  |
| Silver Lake           | 2,450                          | 1,776   | 72.5% |
| Springfield Twp       | 14,581                         | 2,613   | 17.9% |
| Stow                  | 34,743                         | 13,317  | 38.3% |
| Streetsboro           | 16,305                         | 2,686   | 16.5% |
| ıgar Bush Knolls      | 177                            | 23      | 13.0% |
| adge (Summit County)  | 17,276                         | 4,977   | 28.8% |
| adge (Portage County) | 230                            | 99      | 43.0% |
| Twinsburg             | 18,849                         | 3,757   | 19.9% |
| Twinsburg Twp         | 2.879                          | 1,311   | 45,5% |
| Windham               | 1,928                          | 1,182   | 61.3% |
| Windham Twp           | 1,683                          | 229     | 13.6% |
| Estimated To          | otal Pop, with Transit Access: | 317,673 | 43,9% |
|                       |                                |         |       |

Table 3 | Total Population Transit Coverage by Community (Includes Only Communities with Access to Fixed-Route Transit Service)

ESTIMATED

TOTAL POPULATION

198,252

COMMUNITY

NAME

Akron

Nor

ESTIMATED TOTAL

POPULATION W/IN

1/4 MILES OF TRANSIT

165,653

%TRANSIT

COVERAGE

83.6%

Source: American Community Survey – 2017 5-Year Estimates

### Headway Performance

In previous reports, AMATS staff has analyzed the Level of Service of routes for both METRO RTA and PARTA. For this report, a different approach has been used that better characterizes the service that is provided by these transit agencies.

#### Superior Performance (SP)

Frequent service, passengers don't need schedules

Acceptable Performance (AP)

Maximum desirable wait time, service unattractive to riders with other transportation options

Potential Service Improvement (PSI) Extended wait time, service unattractive to all riders

## Headway Performance Analysis

The term "headway" is the amount of time between bus arrivals at a bus stop. A suburban route that has a bus arrival once an hour would have a 60 minute headway. Frequent bus service often has 10-15 minute headways. The Headway Performance Analysis for both METRO and PARTA is shown in Tables 4 and 5 on the following pages.

METRO's Downtown Akron Shuttle (DASH) service is listed as Superior Performance. The DASH is a recent addition to METRO's service, and provides frequent service every 10 minutes between the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. as well as 15 minute service on weekday evenings. The route quite often attracts riders who have a car or another transportation option.

METRO RTA shows the majority of their routes as Potential Service Improvement, which means that passengers wait a minimum of 30 minutes for the bus to pick them up, and some passengers may wait longer. The majority of routes after 6 pm have extended wait times, which create complications for travel, while some routes cease by 6 pm. This creates a burden for those workers whose shift ends after this time. Retail and restaurant workers who very often head home in the evening or late at night are more likely to use transit due to low-wage jobs, and have to wait over an hour for a bus. METRO could work to improve the headways for second-shift workers by adding buses to routes in the evening; however this would require large capital and operating expenses.

METRO is in the process of collecting feedback for their Strategic Plan, which they expect to complete by 2021. Additionally, they are currently exploring the idea of adding a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridor to the Akron area. This could greatly improve the overall headway performance for the agency.

Characteristics of BRT may include:

- Dedicated Bus Lanes
- Signal preemption
- Increased Bus Frequency
- Off-board Fare Collection
- Platform-level boarding

METRO anticipates a decision by the end of 2021 regarding the feasibility of a BRT being added to their system.

PARTA removed three routes and added one since the last time their Headway Performance was studied in 2016. About half of the routes are split between being Superior Performance and Acceptable Performance. This means that riders wait at most 15 minutes for the bus, making the service attractive to most transit riders. The other half of the routes is listed as Potential Service Improvement. Although this type of service is unattractive due to its extended wait times, and riders with other transportation options would likely make another choice, these routes provide the opportunity to increase bus service and attract new riders. In 2016, PARTA had more routes operating frequently, but had some routes that didn't run at all in the evenings. Now, all routes run approximately 16 hours a day on weekdays, with limited service on the weekends. This change could mean that there are fewer buses to go around, reducing the frequency for bus service and contributing to the reduction in performance. More buses and more drivers are a costly solution, but would give the routes increased frequency.

| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                             | AM PEAK<br>(7-9 AM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | DAYTIME<br>(9AM - 4PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE  | PM PEAK<br>(4-6 PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | EVENING<br>(6 PM +)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE |  |
|---------|---|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
|         |   | (  |                        | LOCAL   | ROUTES                  | (2.222.02)                                   |                        | (  |                        |  |
| 1       | 1 West Market 21 AP 21 AP 31 PSI 36 PSI |  |                        |   |                         |  |                        |  |                        |  |
| 2       | Arlington                               | 22   | AP                     | 21  | AP                      | 31   | PSI                    | 38   | PSI                    |  |
| 3       | Copley / Hawkins                        | 24   | AP                     | 21  | AP                      | 29   | AP                     | 69   | PSI                    |  |
| 4       | Delia / N Hawkins                       | 33   | PSI                    | 48  | PSI                     | 29   | AP                     | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 5       | Joy Park / Gilchrist                    | 43   | PSI                    | 51  | PSI                     | 53   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 6       | East Market / Lakemore                  | 30   | AP                     | 33  | PSI                     | 28   | AP                     | 66   | PSI                    |  |
| 7       | Cuyahoga Falls Ave                      | 32   | PSI                    | 36  | PSI                     | 37   | PSI                    | 70   | PSI                    |  |
| 8       | Kenmore / Barberton                     | 35   | PSI                    | 41  | PSI                     | 39   | PSI                    | 70   | PSI                    |  |
| 9       | Vern Odom Blvd / East Ave               | 40   | PSI                    | 37  | PSI                     | 38   | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    |  |
| 10      | Howard / Portage Trail                  | 32   | PSI                    | 46  | PSI                     | 51   | PSI                    | 71   | PSI                    |  |
| 11      | South Akron                             | 87   | PSI                    | 75  | PSI                     | -  | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 12      | Tallmadge Hill                          | 28   | AP                     | 38  | PSI                     | 38   | PSI                    | 57   | PSI                    |  |
| 13      | Grant / Firestone Park                  | 32   | PSI                    | 38  | PSI                     | 44   | PSI                    | 70   | PSI                    |  |
| 14      | Euclid / Barberton Express              | 26   | AP                     | 36  | PSI                     | 34   | PSI                    | 68   | PSI                    |  |
| 17      | Brown / Inman                           | 25   | AP                     | 40  | PSI                     | 58   | PSI                    | 71   | PSI                    |  |
| 18      | Thornton / Manchester                   | 80   | PSI                    | 61  | PSI                     | 77   | PSI                    | 72   | PSI                    |  |
| 19      | Eastland                                | 46   | PSI                    | 43  | PSI                     | 46   | PSI                    | 61   | PSI                    |  |
| 21      | South Main                              | 40   | PSI                    | 40  | PSI                     | 40   | PSI                    | 40   | PSI                    |  |
| 24      | Lakeshore                               | 43   | PSI                    | 34  | PSI                     | 50   | PSI                    | 70   | PSI                    |  |
| 26      | W. Exchange / White Pond                | 37   | PSI                    | 40  | PSI                     | 69   | PSI                    | 80   | PSI                    |  |
| 28      | Merriman Valley                         | 69   | PSI                    | 56  | PSI                     | 40   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 30      | Goodyear / Darrow                       | 40   | PSI                    | 40  | PSI                     | 43   | PSI                    | 70   | PSI                    |  |
| 33      | State Road / Wyoga Lake                 | 60   | PSI                    | 40  | PSI                     | 120  | PSI                    | 95   | PSI                    |  |
| 34      | Cascade Village / Uhler                 | 34   | PSI                    | 34  | PSI                     | 43   | PSI                    | 71   | PSI                    |  |
|         |   |  |                        | CIRCULAT  | OR ROUTES               |  | -                      |  |                        |  |
| 50      | Montrose Circulator                     | 35   | PSI                    | 35  | PSI                     | 35   | PSI                    | 33   | PSI                    |  |
| 51      | Stow Circulator                         | 36   | PSI                    | 36  | PSI                     | 37   | PSI                    | 36   | PSI                    |  |
| 53      | Portage / Graham                        | 44   | PSI                    | 74  | PSI                     | -  | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 59      | Chapel Hill Circulator                  | 50   | PSI                    | 35  | PSI                     | 45   | PSI                    | 33   | PSI                    |  |
|         |   |  |                        | DOWNTOWN  | CIRCULATOR              |  |                        |  |                        |  |
| 54      | DASH                                    | 10   | SP                     | 10  | SP                      | 10   | SP                     | 14   |                        |  |
|         |   |  | NORTH COA              | AST EXPRESS COMM                                | <b>IUTER SERVICE TO</b> | CLEVELAND                                    |                        |  |                        |  |
| 60      | NCX - Cuyahoga Falls to Cleveland       | 35   | PSI                    | -   | PSI                     | 60   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 61      | NCX - RKP to Cleveland                  | 26   | AP                     | 121   | PSI                     | 33   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
|         | TOWN CENTER ROUTES                      |  |                        |   |                         |  |                        |  |                        |  |
| 101     | Richfield / Bath                        | 55   | PSI                    | 104   | PSI                     | -  | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 102     | Northfield                              | 54   | PSI                    | 48  | PSI                     | 41   | PSI                    | 66   | PSI                    |  |
| 103     | Stow / Hudson                           | 113  | PSI                    | 93  | PSI                     | 94   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |
| 104     | Twinsburg / Creekside                   | 91   | PSI                    | 101   | PSI                     | 94   | PSI                    | 68   | PSI                    |  |
| 110     | Green / Springfield                     | 55   | PSI                    | 99  | PSI                     | 53   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |

#### Table 4 | METRO RTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis

| ROUTE #        | DESCRIPTION                        | AM PEAK<br>(7-9 AM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | DAYTIME<br>(9AM - 4PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | PM PEAK<br>(4-6 PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | EVENING<br>(7 PM +)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|
|                |                                    |  |                        | COUNTY  | SERVICE                |  |                        |  |                        |
| 30             | Interurban West (Kent to Stow)     | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 38   | PSI                    |
| 35             | Interurban East (Kent to Ravenna)  | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 38   | PSI                    |
| 40             | Suburban North (Kent)              | 45   | PSI                    | 45  | PSI                    | 45   | PSI                    | 65   | PSI                    |
| 45             | Suburban South (Kent)              | 45   | PSI                    | 45  | PSI                    | 45   | PSI                    | 58   | PSI                    |
| 60             | Black Squirrel                     | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | -  | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |
| 70             | Windham / Garrettsville            | 105  | PSI                    | 105   | PSI                    | 105  | PSI                    | 105  | PSI                    |
| 80             | Raven West (Ravenna)               | 60   | PSI                    | 60  | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    |
| 85             | Raven East (Ravenna)               | 60   | PSI                    | 60  | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    |
| 90             | Akron Express                      | 90   | PSI                    | 105   | PSI                    | 90   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |
| 100            | Cleveland Express                  |  |                        |   |                        |  |                        |  |                        |
| CAMPUS SERVICE |                                    |  |                        |   |                        |  |                        |  |                        |
| 51             | Campus Loop                        | 21   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 15   | AP                     | 30   | AP                     |
| 53             | Reverse Loop                       | 30   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 30   | AP                     |
| 55             | Allerton                           | 15   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 15   | AP                     | 15   | AP                     |
| 57             | Stadium Loop (Summer / KSU Breaks) | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | -  | PSI                    |
| 58             | Summit East / Front Campus         | 8  | SP                     | 8   | SP                     | 12   | SP                     | 31   | PSI                    |
| 59             | Stadium Night Loop                 | -  | PSI                    | -   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    | 30   | AP                     |

#### Table 5 | PARTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis

# **Capital Assets**

#### **PARTA Fleet**

PARTA has 35 fixed-route buses comprised of 32 large buses and 3 small buses/light transit vehicles (LTVs). Additionally, PARTA has 23 LTVs and 5 vans/small transit vehicles (STVs) that provide demand response service.



PARTA Large Transit Bus





PARTA Small Transit Vehicle (STV)



PARTA Light Transit Vehicle (LTV)

#### **METRO Fleet**

METRO has an active fleet of 231 vehicles. Of these, 124 are Compressed Natural Gas (CNG), 103 are diesel, and 4 are hybrid. METRO's fleet is varied and includes 60-foot articulated, 40-foot diesel, 40-foot CNG, and 40-



METRO Large Transit Bus



METRO Articulated Transit Bus



METRO Downtown Akron Shuttle (DASH)





METRO Small Bus (SCAT) SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

foot hybrid buses, as well as smaller vehicles for SCAT and Call-A-Bus services. All METRO buses are equipped with bike racks and are handicap accessible.



METRO North Coast Express Bus



METRO Van

#### Facilities

Both METRO and PARTA have their own CNG fueling stations, with METRO's original station opening in 1997 and a new one in 2016, and PARTA opening the first CNG fueling station in Portage County in 2018. METRO's fleet is approximately 50% CNG today, with a goal of being 100% alternative fuels by 2024. PARTA plans to include more CNG buses in their fleet, having added the fueling station as well as



PARTA Kent Central Gateway

converting part of their facility for the storage and maintenance of these vehicles. CNG fuel positively benefits both transit agencies due to its affordability and consistent price. With both transit agencies having their own CNG fueling stations, we should begin to see more CNG buses in the AMATS area as well as a reduction in operating costs. Incorporating CNG buses into the fleet reduces greenhouse gas emissions significantly and saves the agency on its fuel costs.



PARTA CNG Fueling Station



METRO Robert K. Pfaff Transit Center



PORTAGE AREA REGIONAL

TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY



METRO CNG Fueling Station
# **Transit Ridership**

The people who rely on transit for most of their daily trips tend to fall into one of the following groups:

- Elderly
- Low Income
- Minority
- Disabled

Population data for the above groups was gathered using the American Community Survey (ACS) Estimates that are updated annually. The definition of transit coverage is the percentage of people in the demographic group that are within a quarter mile of a bus route. The quarter mile walking distance is considered convenient for most people, however, studies have shown that transit riders are willing to travel farther to a stop that has high-frequency and reliable service. This distance is also increased if there is a well-lit path, such as a bike path or sidewalk. Using ACS Estimates, it was first determined how many of each population lived within a community. Next, the number of people from this population who live within a quarter mile of a bus route was calculated. From there, a percentage was calculated that tells us how well that population is covered by transit within that community. Simply put, the more a transitdependent population exists, the more comprehensive bus service a community should have. Unfortunately this is not always the case.

Although both METRO and PARTA provide demand response services, sometimes these resources are not available to accommodate those needing assistance due to medical reasons. For that reason, it is important to examine the transit coverage in the AMATS area through the transit agencies fixed-route transit lines, and identify where improvements can be made.

#### **Elderly Population**

As stated earlier, the elderly population is one of the largest populations who use transit as their primary form of transportation. Many elderly individuals may find it difficult to walk over a quarter mile, especially without a robust and accessible sidewalk network. Therefore, transit agencies should continuously look at the population of elderly in the area and adjust their bus routes accordingly. In the AMATS area, Akron, Ravenna, and Silver Lake have the best transit coverage for elderly. Unfortunately, Stow, Green, and Tallmadge have larger elderly populations, but don't have high fixed-route transit coverage. For the AMATS region, there is transit coverage of 39.6% of the elderly population as a whole.

| (Includes Only Communities with Access to Fixed-Route Transit Service) |                                 |  |                       |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY<br>NAME  | ESTIMATED<br>ELDERLY POPULATION | ESTIMATED ELDERLY<br>POPULATION W/IN<br>1/4 MILES OF TRANSIT | % TRANSIT<br>COVERAGE |  |  |  |
| Akron  | 28,094                          | 22,314   | 79.4%                 |  |  |  |
| Barberton  | 4,727                           | 2,566  | 54.3%                 |  |  |  |
| Bath Twp   | 1,843                           | 557  | 30.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Boston Heights   | 141                             | 45   | 31.9%                 |  |  |  |
| Boston Twp   | 200                             | 1  | 0.5%                  |  |  |  |
| Brimfield Twp  | 1,493                           | 170  | 11.4%                 |  |  |  |
| Charlestown Twp  | 218                             | 1  | 0.5%                  |  |  |  |
| Copley Twp   | 3,041                           | 493  | 16.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Coventry Twp   | 1,976                           | 489  | 24.7%                 |  |  |  |
| Cuyahoga Falls   | 7,942                           | 5,201  | 65.5%                 |  |  |  |
| Fairlawn   | 1,807                           | 1,003  | 55.5%                 |  |  |  |
| Franklin Twp   | 848                             | 378  | 44.6%                 |  |  |  |
| Freedom Twp  | 451                             | 45   | 10.0%                 |  |  |  |
| Garrettsville  | 416                             | 119  | 28.6%                 |  |  |  |
| Green  | 4,507                           | 1,159  | 25.7%                 |  |  |  |
| Hiram  | 100                             | 11   | 11.0%                 |  |  |  |
| Hiram Twp  | 448                             | 26   | 5.8%                  |  |  |  |
| Hudson   | 3,604                           | 1,161  | 32.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Kent   | 2,365                           | 1,291  | 54.6%                 |  |  |  |
| Lakemore   | 771                             | 233  | 30.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Macedonia  | 2,044                           | 611  | 29.9%                 |  |  |  |
| Mogadore   | 454                             | 17   | 3.7%                  |  |  |  |
| Munroe Falls   | 1,095                           | 254  | 23.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Nelson Twp   | 665                             | 1  | 0.2%                  |  |  |  |
| Northfield   | 532                             | 308  | 57.9%                 |  |  |  |
| Northfield Center Twp  | 1,173                           | 157  | 13.4%                 |  |  |  |
| Norton   | 2,128                           | 188  | 8.8%                  |  |  |  |
| Ravenna  | 1,974                           | 1,395  | 70.7%                 |  |  |  |
| Ravenna Twp  | 1,566                           | 411  | 26.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Richfield  | 772                             | 200  | 25.9%                 |  |  |  |
| Richfield Twp  | 593                             | 53   | 8.9%                  |  |  |  |
| Sagamore Hills Twp   | 2,307                           | 61   | 2.6%                  |  |  |  |
| Shalersville Twp   | 837                             | 1  | 0.1%                  |  |  |  |
| Silver Lake  | 520                             | 367  | 70.6%                 |  |  |  |
| Springfield Twp  | 2,699                           | 477  | 17.7%                 |  |  |  |
| Stow   | 5,807                           | 2,453  | 42.2%                 |  |  |  |
| Streetsboro  | 2,234                           | 347  | 15.5%                 |  |  |  |
| Sugar Bush Knolls  | 62                              | 4  | 6.5%                  |  |  |  |
| Tallmadge (Summit County)  | 3,729                           | 949  | 25.4%                 |  |  |  |
| Tallmadge (Portage County)   | 24                              | 12   | 50.0%                 |  |  |  |
| Twinsburg  | 3,495                           | 656  | 18.8%                 |  |  |  |
| Twinsburg Twp  | 299                             | 191  | 63.9%                 |  |  |  |
| Windham  | 213                             | 113  | 53.1%                 |  |  |  |
| Windham Twp  | 316                             | 42   | 13.3%                 |  |  |  |
| Estimated Elde   | erly Pop. with Transit Access:  | 46,531   | 39.6%                 |  |  |  |

 Table 6 | Elderly Population Transit Coverage by Community

Source: American Community Survey - 2017 5-Year Estimates

#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan



#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

#### Low Income Population

Low income populations often are without any other means of travel than the bus. Transit is the most affordable option, but not always the most convenient. The low income population in the AMATS area as a whole has 64.7% transit coverage. Franklin Township has the best transit coverage for this population, at almost 100%. Silver Lake and Akron also have strong transit coverage, around 90% for low income persons. Unfortunately, Green, Stow, Streetsboro, Tallmadge, and Twinsburg cover between 20% and 40% of the low income persons in their communities. This equates to 60% or more of the low income people in these communities having to walk farther than a quarter mile to get to a bus route.

|                            | (Includes Only Communities with Access | to Fixed-Roote Indisit Service/ |           |  |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------|--|
| COMMUNITY                  | ESTIMATED                              | ESTIMATED LOW INCOME            | % TRANSIT |  |
| NAME                       | LOW INCOME POPULATION                  | 1/4 MILES OF TRANSIT            | COVERAGE  |  |
| Akron                      | 46,450                                 | 41,283                          | 88.9%     |  |
| Barberton                  | 4,675                                  | 3.291                           | 70.4%     |  |
| Bath Twp                   | 457                                    | 73                              | 16.0%     |  |
| Boston Heights             | 27                                     | 7                               | 25.9%     |  |
| Boston Twp                 | 36                                     | 1                               | 2.8%      |  |
| Brimfield Twp              | 1 215                                  | 288                             | 23.7%     |  |
| Charlestown Twp            | 317                                    | 1                               | 0.3%      |  |
| Copley Twp                 | 546                                    | 68                              | 12.5%     |  |
| Coventry Twp               | 796                                    | 235                             | 29.5%     |  |
| Cuvahoga Falls             | 5 105                                  | 3 659                           | 71.7%     |  |
| Fairlawn                   | 472                                    | 297                             | 62.9%     |  |
| Franklin Twp               | 886                                    | 885                             | 99.9%     |  |
| Freedom Twp                | 412                                    | 18                              | 4 4%      |  |
| Garrettsville              | 315                                    | 36                              | 11.4%     |  |
| Green                      | 2,608                                  | 732                             | 28.1%     |  |
| Hiram                      | 14                                     | 3                               | 21.4%     |  |
| Hiram Twn                  | 104                                    | 4                               | 3.8%      |  |
| Hudson                     | 576                                    | 177                             | 30.7%     |  |
| Kent                       | 8.167                                  | 5,503                           | 67.4%     |  |
| Lakemore                   | 394                                    | 107                             | 27.2%     |  |
| Macedonia                  | 203                                    | 110                             | 54.2%     |  |
| Moradore                   | 289                                    | 2                               | 0.7%      |  |
| Munroe Falls               | 340                                    | 34                              | 10.0%     |  |
| Nelson Twp                 | 258                                    | 0                               | 0.0%      |  |
| Northfield                 | 426                                    | 251                             | 58.9%     |  |
| Northfield Center Twp      | 68                                     | 3                               | 4.4%      |  |
| Norton                     | 708                                    | 55                              | 7.8%      |  |
| Ravenna                    | 2,549                                  | 1.747                           | 68.5%     |  |
| Ravenna Twp                | 976                                    | 439                             | 45.0%     |  |
| Richfield                  | 96                                     | 20                              | 20.8%     |  |
| Richfield Twp              | 0                                      | 0                               | 100.0%    |  |
| Sagamore Hills Twp         | 490                                    | 5                               | 1.0%      |  |
| Shalersville Twp           | 677                                    | 1                               | 0.1%      |  |
| Silver Lake                | 74                                     | 68                              | 91.9%     |  |
| Springfield Twp            | 1,175                                  | 326                             | 27.7%     |  |
| Stow                       | 1,915                                  | 754                             | 39.4%     |  |
| Streetsboro                | 1,605                                  | 385                             | 24.0%     |  |
| Sugar Bush Knolls          | 4                                      | 1                               | 25.0%     |  |
| Tallmadge (Summit County)  | 1,505                                  | 656                             | 43.6%     |  |
| Tallmadge (Portage County) | 0                                      | 0                               | 100.0%    |  |
| Twinsburg                  | 1,246                                  | 244                             | 19.6%     |  |
| Twinsburg Twp              | 586                                    | 258                             | 44.0%     |  |
| Windham                    | 522                                    | 347                             | 66.5%     |  |
| Windham Twp                | 226                                    | 32                              | 14.2%     |  |
| Estimated Low I            | ncome Pop. with Transit Access:        | 62,406                          | 64.7%     |  |

Table 7 | Low Income Population Transit Coverage by Community

Source: American Community Survey - 2017 5-Year Estimates



#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

#### **Minority Population**

Sixty-nine percent of the minority population has transit coverage in the AMATS region. Akron has by far the largest minority population in the AMATS area. Research shows that over 88% of minorities in Akron have transit coverage within a quarter mile. Kent has the second highest population of minorities with 76% transit coverage. Ravenna and Franklin Township are two other communities that have 80% and 82% transit coverage, respectively. Twinsburg has a higher population of minorities, but only 19% transit coverage. The greatest discrepancy, however, is in Twinsburg Township. Although there is 33.3% coverage for minorities in that community, minorities make up almost 60% of the total population. An additional bus stop in this small geographic area may be one solution. However, this would potentially provide a long, inefficient trip and might not provide the connections that residents of the township truly need. People living in this area may be looking to travel to Cleveland or Aurora/Streetsboro and therefore regional connections should be looked into for better solutions.

| COMMUNITY<br>NAME          | ESTIMATED<br>MINORITY POPULATION | ESTIMATED MINORITY<br>POPULATION W/IN<br>1/4 MILES OF TRANSIT | % TRANSIT<br>COVERAGE |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Akron                      | 78,642                           | 69,553  | 88.4%                 |
| Barberton                  | 3,259                            | 2,093   | 64.2%                 |
| Bath Twp                   | 697                              | 163   | 23.4%                 |
| Boston Heights             | 0                                | 0   | 100.0%                |
| Boston Twp                 | 81                               | 0   | 0.0%                  |
| Brimfield Twp              | 421                              | 104   | 24.7%                 |
| Charlestown Twp            | 56                               | 0   | 0.0%                  |
| Copley Twp                 | 2,783                            | 587   | 21.1%                 |
| Coventry Twp               | 426                              | 109   | 25.6%                 |
| Cuyahoga Falls             | 4,155                            | 3,043   | 73.2%                 |
| Fairlawn                   | 1,234                            | 823   | 66.7%                 |
| Franklin Twp               | 448                              | 366   | 81.7%                 |
| Freedom Twp                | 26                               | 5   | 19.2%                 |
| Garrettsville              | 77                               | 6   | 7.8%                  |
| Green                      | 1,664                            | 485   | 29.1%                 |
| Hiram                      | 234                              | 6   | 2.6%                  |
| Hiram Twp                  | 37                               | 3   | 8.1%                  |
| Hudson                     | 1,691                            | 516   | 30.5%                 |
| Kent                       | 6,338                            | 4,816   | 76.0%                 |
| Lakemore                   | 342                              | 95  | 27.8%                 |
| Macedonia                  | 1,699                            | 705   | 41.5%                 |
| Mogadore                   | 23                               | 2   | 8.7%                  |
| Munroe Falls               | 302                              | 40  | 13.2%                 |
| Nelson Twp                 | 0                                | 0   | 100.0%                |
| Northfield                 | 716                              | 437   | 61.0%                 |
| Northfield Center Twp      | 1,170                            | 184   | 15.7%                 |
| Norton                     | 324                              | 51  | 15.7%                 |
| Ravenna                    | 1,020                            | 813   | 79.7%                 |
| Ravenna Twp                | 737                              | 337   | 45.7%                 |
| Richfield                  | 126                              | 73  | 57.9%                 |
| Richfield Twp              | 250                              | 17  | 6.8%                  |
| Sagamore Hills Twp         | 1,134                            | 31  | 2.7%                  |
| Shalersville Twp           | 185                              | 1   | 0.5%                  |
| Silver Lake                | 96                               | 63  | 65.6%                 |
| Springfield Twp            | 313                              | 119   | 38.0%                 |
| Stow                       | 2,728                            | 823   | 30.2%                 |
| Streetsboro                | 2,268                            | 333   | 14.7%                 |
| Sugar Bush Knolls          | 0                                | 0   | 100.0%                |
| Tallmadge (Summit County)  | 1,533                            | 711   | 46.4%                 |
| Tallmadge (Portage County) | 72                               | 10  | 13.9%                 |
| Twinsburg                  | 4,459                            | 851   | 19.1%                 |
| Twinsburg Twp              | 1,690                            | 563   | 33.3%                 |
| Windham                    | 166                              | 116   | 69.9%                 |
| Windham Twp                | 60                               | 8   | 13.3%                 |
| Estimated Min              | ority Pop. with Transit Access:  | 89,061  | 69.5%                 |

Table 8 | Minority Population Transit Coverage by Community (Includes Only Communities with Access to Fixed-Route Transit Service)

Source: American Community Survey - 2017 5-Year Estimates



#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

#### **Disabled** Population

The overall disabled population in the AMATS area is over 80,000 people. This population may be the most vulnerable in that traveling a quarter mile to a bus route might be difficult, but anything farther might be impossible. The communities with the greatest disabled populations (Akron, Cuyahoga Falls, and Barberton) have 77%, 65%, and 53% transit coverage, respectively. Barberton and Twinsburg could work with METRO on finding solutions that would benefit their disabled residents, particularly with better access to existing transit stops through an extensive and connected multi-modal network that makes traveling farther less of a challenge.

## **Demand Response Services**

METRO offers curb to curb service called Call-a-Bus. This service is available in specific areas in Summit County, during weekdays only, and costs riders \$4 each way. In addition, METRO offers SCAT services for passengers who have a temporary or permanent disability or are over the age of 62.

PARTA also offers a Dial-A Ride service, available in specific areas of Portage County on specific days. The cost is \$6 per ride, with a discount available for seniors, disabled, and others. There are free trips available for non-emergency medical services, as well as for those people with a Developmental Disability Medicaid waiver.

As previously mentioned, both METRO and PARTA offer a specialized service for the disabled per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This paratransit service provides transportation for people with disabilities who cannot use fixed-route buses. This service complements the fixed-route service, is available at the same time as the fixed-route schedule, with the pick-up location and destination no further than three quarters of a mile from the fixed-route line.

|                            |                                | S TO FIXED FIXED FIXED FIXED FIXED |           |  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| COMMUNITY                  | ESTIMATED                      | ESTIMATED DISABLED                 | % TRANSIT |  |
| NAME                       | DISABLED POPULATION            | POPULATION OVER 18 W/IN            | COVERAGE  |  |
|                            | OVER 18                        | 1/4 MILES OF TRANSIT               |           |  |
| Akron                      | 29,591                         | 22,827                             | 77.1%     |  |
| Barberton                  | 4,572                          | 2,443                              | 53.4%     |  |
| Bath Twp                   | 810                            | 278                                | 34.3%     |  |
| Boston Heights             | 109                            | 21                                 | 19.3%     |  |
| Boston Twp                 | 101                            | 4                                  | 4.0%      |  |
| Brimfield Twp              | 1,107                          | 117                                | 10.6%     |  |
| Charlestown Twp            | 380                            | 1                                  | 0.3%      |  |
| Copley Twp                 | 1,455                          | 241                                | 16.6%     |  |
| Coventry Twp               | 1,427                          | 405                                | 28.4%     |  |
| Cuyahoga Falls             | 5,867                          | 3,844                              | 65.5%     |  |
| Fairlawn                   | 634                            | 356                                | 56.2%     |  |
| Franklin Twp               | 615                            | 339                                | 55.1%     |  |
| Freedom Twp                | 479                            | 43                                 | 9.0%      |  |
| Garrettsville              | 296                            | 88                                 | 29.7%     |  |
| Green                      | 2,698                          | 648                                | 24.0%     |  |
| Hiram                      | 135                            | 6                                  | 4.4%      |  |
| Hiram Twp                  | 224                            | 9                                  | 4.0%      |  |
| Hudson                     | 1,618                          | 492                                | 30.4%     |  |
| Kent                       | 3,521                          | 1,552                              | 44.1%     |  |
| Lakemore                   | 482                            | 178                                | 36.9%     |  |
| Macedonia                  | 1,307                          | 422                                | 32.3%     |  |
| Mogadore                   | 251                            | 14                                 | 5.6%      |  |
| Munroe Falls               | 495                            | 53                                 | 10.7%     |  |
| Nelson Twp                 | 656                            | 1                                  | 0.2%      |  |
| Northfield                 | 398                            | 225                                | 56.5%     |  |
| Northfield Center Twp      | 441                            | 67                                 | 15.2%     |  |
| Norton                     | 1,623                          | 151                                | 9.3%      |  |
| Ravenna                    | 2,025                          | 1,385                              | 68.4%     |  |
| Ravenna Twp                | 1,853                          | 466                                | 25.1%     |  |
| Richfield                  | 315                            | 75                                 | 23.8%     |  |
| Richfield Twp              | 208                            | 26                                 | 12.5%     |  |
| Sagamore Hills Twp         | 1,256                          | 22                                 | 1.8%      |  |
| Shalersville Twp           | 715                            | 1                                  | 0.1%      |  |
| Silver Lake                | 288                            | 185                                | 64.2%     |  |
| Springfield Twp            | 1,761                          | 352                                | 20.0%     |  |
| Stow                       | 3,368                          | 1,349                              | 40.1%     |  |
| Streetsboro                | 2,134                          | 311                                | 14.6%     |  |
| Sugar Bush Knolls          | 16                             | 3                                  | 18.8%     |  |
| Tallmadge (Summit County)  | 2,118                          | 629                                | 29.7%     |  |
| Tallmadge (Portage County) | 0                              | 0                                  | 100.0%    |  |
| Twinsburg                  | 1,910                          | 329                                | 17.2%     |  |
| Twinsburg Twp              | 185                            | 123                                | 66.5%     |  |
| Windham                    | 321                            | 196                                | 61.1%     |  |
| Windham Twp                | 333                            | 41                                 | 12.3%     |  |
| Estimated Disa             | bled Pop. with Transit Access: | 40,318                             | 44.2%     |  |

Table 9 | Disabled Population Over 18 Transit Coverage by Community

Source: American Community Survey - 2017 5-Year Estimates



😔 2020 Transit Plan

# Performance Measures

Performance and asset measures are widely used in the transit industry today, with most transit agencies reporting basic information about their service to the National Transit Database (NTD); reporting data to the NTD is required for most transit agencies to receive federal transit funding. ODOT is considering the following performance measures to be used for transit development:

- Service effectiveness passengers per hour
- Cost efficiency cost per hour
- Cost effectiveness cost per passenger
- Customer satisfaction portion of riders with high levels of satisfaction
- Transit asset management fleet and infrastructure capital maintenance.

Transit asset management (TAM) is the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles to provide safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation. TAM uses transit asset condition to guide how to manage capital assets and prioritize funding to improve or maintain a state of good repair.

Federal regulaions require the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to develop a rule to establish a strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining and improving public transportation capital assets effectively through their entire life cycle. FTA's national Transit Asset Management system rule defines the term, "state of good repair," requires grantees to develop a TAM plan, establishes performance measures, establishes annual reporting requirements, and requires FTA to provide technical assistance.

In July 2016, FTA published a final rule for TAM. The rule requires FTA grantees to develop asset management plans for their public transportation assets, including vehicles, facilities, equipment, and other infrastructure.

A state of good repair (SGR) is a threshold that identifies the desired performance condition of a capital asset, such as a bus, transfer facility, or office building. An asset is in a state of good repair when it is able to operate at a full level of performance. This means:

- The asset is able to perform its designed function;
- Does not pose a known or unacceptable safety risk (condition); and
- Its life cycle investments have been met or recovered (useful life benchmark (ULB))

SGR performance targets are based on realistic expectations derived from the most recent available data (condition and ULB), FTA performance measure criteria, and the financial resources from all sources that the area reasonably expects to be available during the TAM plan horizon period for capital planning purposes.

PARTA has set a goal to maintain their fleet with at least 100 percent of the vehicles in fair or good condition. Although the entire bus fleet meets this standard, their cutaway and van fleet only has 65 percent of the fleet meeting this standard. PARTA intends to replace vehicles in order to meet this goal.

METRO has set targets for SGR and determined that their overall revenue vehicles should be less than 15% over their ULB, on average. METRO also reviews its Bus Improvement Plan (BIP) annually to ensure the buses are within the ULB of 12 years. This helps to keep their fleet reliable and reduce maintenance costs.

# Conditions

## Coverage

Overall coverage in Summit and Portage Counties is excellent compared to similar transit agencies nationwide. However, there are still several pockets or gaps in coverage that need improvement. Stow and Twinsburg are two communities that show a large population of elderly, low income, minority, and disabled, and yet have poor transit coverage. The communities of Green and Tallmadge also showed gaps in their transit coverage. These communities would benefit from a discussion and potential coordination with METRO RTA regarding travel patterns and what amenities would better serve the needs of the community.

In Portage County, Streetsboro has larger populations of low income, minority, and disabled people. Due to being far from the bulk of PARTA's fixed route coverage (throughout the KSU campus and City of Kent), there is limited transit coverage for the low income riders, and very poor coverage for both minority and disabled populations. Streetsboro has insufficient pedestrian amenities that assist with transit use: SR 14 and SR 303 are very wide; commercial developments are far from transit stops and surrounded by large parking lots. Additionally, although Kent tends to have strong transit coverage, improvements could be made for the disabled population that is only 44% covered by fixed-route service in that city.

# Access

#### Wait Times

For both METRO and PARTA, the majority of their routes are those that require riders to consult a schedule to know when the next bus will arrive. The elasticity of frequency is the idea that as frequency increases, ridership expands beyond a straight line increase. We can see that at a certain point, ridership increases exponentially when transit riders no longer have to plan their lives around a bus schedule. This point is different depending on the length of a trip or even the location where service is taking place. Some transit riders consider frequent service as arriving every 15 minutes or less. In bigger cities with large populations using transit, the timeframe is even less, down to 6 minutes. Additionally, a rider taking a shorter trip will consider walking if the wait time for a bus is too long. Therefore, transit agencies should consider studying what the average wait time should be to increase their ridership.

PARTA recognized that some of their stops had long wait times, but that it wasn't feasible to expand their routes to increase frequency. In 2016, to make transit more attractive, PARTA implemented their real-time application – SpotPARTA. This app allows passengers



waiting for a bus to see where their bus is either by looking at a map on a smart phone or texting the stop ID.

*Bus Rapid Transit* - As discussed previously, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) is characterized as frequent service along a specific corridor, potentially with signal preemption, dedicated lanes, and other features to speed up access. The idea behind BRT is that riders can expect reliable and fast service on a specific route, and transit agencies can expect increased ridership. Both METRO and PARTA have route corridors with the potential to become BRT systems.

#### Sidewalks

Sidewalks provide the best available means for many transit-dependent populations – such as people with disabilities, the elderly, and low income persons - to access bus routes and service. It is not a coincidence that most transit stops are located on or near sidewalks. Access to these stops is especially critical for persons using mobility devices. Currently, the Greater Akron area has 2,625 stops located throughout Portage and Summit counties. Of those 2,625 stops, 610 (23 percent) are located in areas without sidewalks.

#### **Bus Shelters**

Using data from both PARTA and METRO RTA, transit stops were analyzed for location and amenities. It was determined that there are a total of 2,625 stops in the AMATS region, 366 belonging to PARTA and 2,259 belonging to METRO RTA. Of these, PARTA has 53 stops with bus shelters while METRO RTA has 114. Current policies suggest adding shelters at stops where there are at least 30 boardings a day. Transit shelters have been shown to have a positive impact on ridership and rider satisfaction. Attractive shelters improve the public's perception of transit. Ridership numbers have been known to increase where bus shelters are added. Riders are more comfortable waiting longer periods of time when there is a shelter to wait in, and are willing to walk farther to get to a stop with a shelter. With this information, it is obvious that improvements can be made in the AMATS area. It is important to note that shelters are not the only solution that can encourage an increase in ridership, especially when considering the cost to implement as well as maintain them. At a cost of \$5,500 for the shelter, with additional costs for the ADA-accessible concrete pad, transit agencies are careful about where to place shelters. As mentioned previously, a strong multimodal network that includes bike paths, sidewalks, crosswalks, and lighting as well as stops with frequent and reliable service have been shown to attract more transit riders.

😔 2020 Transit Plan



#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

#### **Transit-Oriented Development**

The identification of transit corridors is essential in best determining where to concentrate limited funding. Transit corridors not only guide us in the investment of transit service and infrastructure – when implemented correctly, they can guide overall community development and investment. Popular transit corridors and the heavy foot traffic they generate create an opportunity to develop complementary land uses (for example: residential, retail, office, etc.) within close proximity to each other.

# Recommendations

## Coverage

#### Improve Coverage in Stow, Tallmadge, and Green

When studying the transit coverage for minority, elderly, low income, and disabled populations, several communities need improved transit coverage for all four of these populations. Coverage in the communities of Stow, Twinsburg, Green, and Tallmadge should be improved to better serve these populations. The communities of Stow and Twinsburg have larger populations of all four of the vulnerable groups, yet have lower transit coverage. Green and Tallmadge both have larger populations of elderly, low income, and disabled, but provide limited transit coverage. These pockets of development at the outer edges of the METRO and PARTA service areas need improved service. Unfortunately, remoteness from the central service area makes additional coverage expensive. Additionally, traditional bus service may not be the best solution for these communities. Finding solutions that meet the needs of the residents in an efficient manner should be the ultimate goal.

#### Coverage in Streetsboro

Streetsboro has limited coverage for the minority, low income, and disabled riders in its community. PARTA and Streetsboro should explore opportunities to better serve these transit riders and improve coverage.

## Access

#### Increased Headways

Although transit may never replace the automobile for some people, reliable and frequent service attracts new riders. Transit has always been an affordable alternative to cars, but long wait times are inconvenient and become a deterrent for many. The point where riders no longer have to memorize a bus schedule is where we see ridership increase. Comparing both METRO's and PARTA's ridership numbers to their Headway Performance proves this point. Each transit agency has a route with frequent service that sees large ridership numbers. For this reason, it is recommended that both transit agencies review their routes and consider adding more frequent service to certain busy routes, especially those that include shorter trips.

Each transit agency has express routes that should be reviewed for potential improvements. Adding a midday trip to Cleveland for both METRO and PARTA would be helpful for many who may not be commuting, but instead may be traveling north for a doctor appointment. This could make the service more attractive and result in an increase in ridership.

#### Sidewalks

Since most transit trips include a pedestrian trip at one or both ends, it is important to create good walking conditions near transit routes. According to AMATS' *Active Transportation Plan* (December 2019), communities should seek improvements in the areas of providing pedestrian access to transit service and eliminating gaps in network connections. Many of the Greater Akron area's existing walking networks consist of communities and project sponsors should make pedestrian safety improvements a priority on those routes and streets with high traffic volumes and speeds (see Map 6 on page 22).

Greater Akron area communities should work in close concert with METRO and PARTA when developing new sidewalk networks and when planning improvements to existing ones. The region's communities and transit authorities should:

- Increase the number of bus stops with a sidewalk connection
- Ensure that networks include pedestrian-friendly bus stops and related amenities



Bus Stop NEEDS Sidewalk Access

Bus Stop HAS Sidewalk Access

#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

- Provide convenient transit access in those locations where there is known heavy pedestrian traffic
- Consider convenient pedestrian access when identifying new transit connections and routes
- Conduct in-depth, periodic analyses of bus stop locations and route connections within the Greater Akron area

#### Improvements For Transit Stops

Both AMATS area transit agencies have specific policies regarding when a shelter or other amenity can be added to a stop. For METRO RTA, there must be at least 30 boardings a day at a stop to trigger a review for a shelter. Additional considerations include proximity to community facilities, hospitals/medical centers and other key destinations. Although a small percentage of METRO's stops have shelters, the majority of stops that meet the criteria already have shelters in place. For PARTA, demographics and safety as well as ridership are part of their shelter review process. Additionally, PARTA has executed agreements with some communities to place shelters where needed. These communities recognized that their residents would benefit if shelters were in place, and partnered with PARTA to share the expense. Additionally, PARTA has extended this type of agreement to private sector developers in those communities, with some businesses choosing to place their own concrete pads and shelters. AMATS encourages this type of cooperation for the benefit of citizens and communities alike.

In the AMATS area, bus stop amenities are not uniform. A small amount of stops have bus shelters, while the vast majority of stops are simply a sign on the sidewalk, with many different versions in between. It is common knowledge that a person can sometimes have a long wait for a bus. Although the best stop will protect riders from the elements, having a place to sit is helpful as well. In the interest of increasing ridership, we must look at what the riders would prefer. We know that they want higher

frequency and faster service, but providing a place to rest while waiting for the bus would go far for many people. Less expensive than a shelter, a Simme Seat  $^{TM}$  (*pictured to the right*) can provide seating for a couple of people per stop at a fraction of the cost. These seats could be added to the existing bus stop sign posts. Shelters are not only more visible, but provide sponsorship opportunities that can offset their costs. Shelters can be used to brand the transit agency and make it more appealing to the community.



If the cost of the shelters prevents their installation, there are other ways to improve the comfort of a bus stop to its riders. Ensuring all stops are on concrete pads, adding sidewalks where needed, incorporating seating, lighting, trash cans, and schedules wherever possible would go a long way in making public transit more appealing.

#### **Transit-Oriented Development**

As METRO looks into the possibility of adding a Bus Rapid Transit line to their fixed-route service, development along the corridor should be considered fundamental to the success of the line. Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) is the growth of businesses and residential units within walking distance of a transit stop. Desirable places to live, restaurants, parks, grocery stores, coffee shops, and the like can encourage transit use. In return, transit use near these locations can promote vibrant businesses. Pairing a BRT line with a conscious effort to develop places people want and need encourages less auto-dependency. Taking into account the large populations of elderly, low-income, minority and disabled, as well as young people who prefer walkable places, TOD is essential in providing the ability for these groups to get where they need, or want, to be.

PARTA would also benefit from a transit-oriented development in their area. Although the automobile is fully accommodated for in TOD, these developments are designed to be comfortable for non-motorized transportation, such as walking or bicycling.

#### 😔 2020 Transit Plan

# Implementation

Transit agencies have access to federal, state, and local funding sources. The local sources primarily include their dedicated sales tax revenue. For METRO RTA, this amounts to a .50% sales tax while PARTA benefits from a .25% sales tax. These sales tax funds can be used for operations as well as a match for federal funds. Another local source of funding is the fare box revenue, which are the funds received from riders. This revenue makes up a small (10%-20%) part of the operating budget, and can vary by month and by route, making it difficult to plan ahead using this source. The transit agency's operating expenses are funded mainly through these two local sources.

#### Federal Grant Programs

Federal funding programs are generally used for capital expenses. Luckily, transit agencies can utilize several federal funding sources, administered at the state level by the Ohio Department of Transportation.

- The primary source of federal funding for capital and maintenance projects is the Federal Transit Authority's (FTA) Section 5307 Program. These funds are typically used to purchase new buses, equipment, and for preventative maintenance and planning.
- To better serve elderly persons and persons with disabilities, the transit agencies are also eligible for FTA's Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility for the Elderly and Disabled Program funds. Also known as the Specialized Transportation Program, these funds may be used for capital or operating expenses.
- FTA's Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Program can also fund capital projects. These funds are also used for new buses or for capital facilities.
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) is the most versatile funding option that can be used for a variety of projects.
- Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Program (CMAQ) can be used for projects that improve air quality, such as CNG buses, traffic signal improvements, and park and ride lots.

#### State Grant Programs

The Ohio Transit Partnership Program (OTP2) is a competitive grant program that was established to provide additional capital funding to Ohio's public transit operators for projects emphasizing system preservation. METRO RTA and PARTA have each received OTP2 funds almost every year since 2012. The OTP2 funds have come from ODOT-attributable federal funds (CMAQ or STBG), and now come from state general revenue funds (GRF). Although the OTP2 program now uses state general revenue funds (instead of CMAQ or STBG as it did in the past), the amount of

funding is insufficient for the needs of the transit agencies. According to the Ohio Statewide Transit Needs Study from 2015, "The use of GRF (general revenue funds) in Ohio to fund public transit has been in steady decline" since 2000.

The Diesel Emissions Reduction Grant (DERG) Program is offered by ODOT annually in coordination with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) to public and private sector diesel fleets (motor vehicle, marine, locomotive, and highway construction equipment). METRO and PARTA have each been awarded DERG funds regularly on an annual basis for a number of years.

# Need for Additional State Funding

Currently, besides the small amount of OTP2, the State of Ohio has no stable or dedicated funding for transit service. The urban transit program has seen a reduction from \$30 million in 2000 to \$1.6 million in 2014. Similarly, the rural transit program has seen funding reduce from \$4.2 million in 2000 to just over \$3 million in 2014. Transit agencies rely on federal funds for their capital, maintenance, and planning expenses, but these aren't always sufficient. The small amount of local funding transit agencies receive from sales tax may not cover their operations. Additionally, smaller transit agencies may not be able to use federal funds because they are unable to come up with the required local match. As the State of Ohio demonstrated in 2019 with the gas tax increase, there is a need for increased and dedicated funding at the state level. Since state general revenue funds for transit have been declining since their peak in 2000, and Ohio's population is continuing to age, a dedicated source of funding at the state level to provide a reliable source of funding for operations for our transit agencies is long overdue.

AMATS Policy Committee discussed the state funding support of transit at their May 16, 2019 meeting and approved a motion for the AMATS staff to provide a letter of support. On June 18, 2019, AMATS signed a letter of support that was sent to Governor Mike DeWine. In the letter, AMATS Director Curtis Baker and Policy Chairwoman Bobbie Beshara, Village of Richfield Mayor, requested that the governor support a \$70 million investment beginning with the 2020-21 budget. This amount was substantially smaller than the recommendation that came out of the Ohio Statewide Transit Needs Study in 2015. That study, produced by ODOT, recommended the state invest \$120 million a year in transit, rising to \$185 million in 2025, in order to cover 10% of the costs to preserve Ohio's transit system and provide the stable and reliable funding source that is so greatly needed.





E-Mail: amats@akronohio.gov

The 2020 Transit Plan is published by: Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study 161 S High St | Suite 201 Akron, Ohio 44308-1423 Editorial comments are welcome.

Director: Curtis Baker

Please visit our website at: www.amatsplanning.org

Phone: 330-375-2436 | Fax: 330-375-2275

This report was prepared by the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Ohio Department of Transportation, and the Village, City and County governemtns of Portage and Summit Counties and a portion of Wayne County.

The contents of this report reflect the views of AMATS, which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view and policies of the Ohio and/or U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

#### AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

#### MEMORANDUM

| TO:   | Policy Committee<br>Technical Advisory Committee<br>Citizens Involvement Committee |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|
| FROM: | AMATS Staff  |  |  |
| RE:   | Freight Report   |  |  |
| DATE: | September 9, 2020  |  |  |

The AMATS Freight Report contains an analysis of the region's existing freight system and makes recommendations that are eligible for inclusion in the upcoming Draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan.

The AMATS Freight Report identifies the elements of the transportation system in the AMATS area that are critical for movement of bulk goods into, out of, and within the region. The report also addresses the factors and trends that affect both railway and roadway freight, and examines highway-rail grade crossings and freight corridors in the area.

This report:

- Analyzes the highway and freight network,
- Identifies regional job hubs that generate freight activity,
- Evaluates the freight network's efficiency in these job hubs, and
- Recommends strategies to improve the freight network in the AMATS region.

Examples of transportation projects that are freight-related or critical to goods movement include bridge replacements, roadway improvements, rail-access improvements and grade separations for highway and rail.

The complete Freight Plan is available on the AMATS website, <u>www.amatsplanning.org</u>.

#### The staff recommends approval of this document.

# 2020 FREIGHT PLAN

5362

YRC

5362

# 2020 FREIGHT PLAN



This report is the product of a study financed (in part) by the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study which is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

Cooperative transportation planning by the Village, City and County governments of Portage and Summit Counties and the Chippewa and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

#### 😔 2020 Freight Plan

# Table of Contents

| Executive Summary   |
|---|
| Roadway Freight   |
| Table 1-1   Mileages by Roadway Classification    2   |
| Table 1-2    Image: Number and Deck Area of Bridges    Image: Number and Deck Area of Bridges |
| The Ohio Turnpike   |
| Ohio Turnpike Annual Freeflow Traffic (2016-2019)   |
| Highway / Trucking Network 4  |
| Strengths 4   |
| Weaknesses  |
| Railway Freight   |
| Map 2-1   Rail Line Ownership   |
| METRO RTA   |
| Recent Changes to the Rail System   |
| Concerns  |
| Congestion  |
| Highway-Rail Grade Crossings  |
| Map 2-2   High Volume At-Grade Crossings 10   |
| Table 2-1   High Volume At-Grade Crossings    11  |
| Rail Network  |
| Strengths 11  |
| Weaknesses 11   |
| Freight Profiles  |
| What Are Job Hubs? 12   |
| AMATS Job Hubs 12   |
| Methodology 12  |
| Firestone Park Freight Corridor   |
| Downtown Akron Freight Corridor 17  |
| Chapel Hill Freight Corridor  |

| Cuyahoga Falls Freight Corridor  |
|--|
| Barberton Freight Corridor   |
| Green Freight Corridor   |
| Akron-Canton Airport Freight Corridor  |
| Brimfield Freight Corridor 41  |
| Gilchrist Road Freight Corridor 45   |
| Twinsburg Freight Corridor    49   |
| Aurora / Streetsboro Freight Corridor  |
| East Akron / Airport Freight Corridor 57                                       |
| Richfield Freight Corridor   |
| Hudson / Stow Freight Corridor   |
| Performance Measures   |
| Travel Time Reliability and Freight Movement Performance Measures 69           |
| Table 4-1   ODOT Travel Time Reliability Targets    69                         |
| Level of Travel Time Reliability 69  |
| Level of Truck Travel Time Reliability 69                                      |
| Table 4-2   AMATS Travel Time Reliability    69                                |
| Level of Travel Time Reliability 69  |
| Table 4-3   Ohio Travel Time Reliability    70                                 |
| Level of Travel Time Reliability 70  |
| Table 4-4   TIP Projects (FY 2021-2024) Improving Travel Time Reliability . 70 |
| Level of Travel Time Reliability   |
| Recommendations  |
| Roadway Recommendations  |
| Rail Recommendations   |
| Railroad-Highway Grade Separation Recommendations                              |
| Conclusion   |
|  |

### 😔 2020 Freight Plan

# **Executive Summary**

Freight is the movement of goods by land, air, or sea. For the purposes of this report , the types of freight that service the AMATS area are truck, train, and airplane. Freight is a cost-effective manner of shipping, as it moves a large quantity of goods all at once. It also allows goods to be transported over longer distances. Employees, employees, and consumers alike depend on the transportation of goods, therefore our infrastructure must continue to support it, and work must be done to continually improve it.

Why is freight important? Freight transportation employs millions of people in the United States, stimulates demand for goods and services, and increases competition. The value of goods increases when they are moved to locations where they are worth more. The movement of freight is vital to the economy of Greater Akron, specifically to the agriculture, retail, and manufacturing industries. Predictable travel times are especially important to manufacturing and distribution systems that operate on tight schedules. Goods arriving late can have negative impacts on production times, inventory management, and costs. Freight is a necessity.

AMATS and the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) are responsible for ensuring that freight movement is considered in the transportation planning process. The purpose of this report is to identify the elements of the transportation system that are critical for movement of bulk goods, to determine where improvements can be made, and to offer recommendations for those improvements. Examples of transportation projects that are freight-related or critical to goods movement include bridge replacements, road widening, rail-access improvements, grade separations for highway and rail, and connections for new commercial infrastructure. Additionally, job hubs help to identify where products are being manufactured as well as where goods are being delivered. Several job hubs will be studied in this report to determine where freight may encounter traffic issues. Recommendations to improve on these issues will also be explored.

This report analyzes the highway and rail freight network. It identifies regional job hubs that generate freight activity and evaluates the freight network's efficiency in these job hubs. Finally, the AMATS Freight Report recommends strategies to improve the freight network in the AMATS region. Recommendations made in the 2020 Freight Report will be considered for inclusion in *Transportation Outlook 2045*.

# **Roadway Freight**

The economy of the AMATS area depends on its roadways. Business and industry depend on an effective freight transportation system to reach state, regional, national, and global markets. Trucks move most of Ohio's freight.

In 2015, 242,990 people in Ohio - or one out of every 15 workers - were employed in trucking-related occupations at private and for-hire motor carriers. The average annual wage paid to trucking industry workers was \$42,760. The total annual payroll for the trucking industry in Ohio was \$11.3 billion.

Truck traffic originates and terminates primarily in metropolitan areas. As a result, increases in freight-truck traffic have the greatest impact in the metropolitan areas in terms of greater roadway congestion, deteriorating pavement conditions, and increased emissions.

Trucks account for much of the wear and tear on roadways. A large, legally loaded truck weighing 80,000 pounds puts about the same wear and tear on a road as 9,000 to 10,000 cars. Furthermore, a large truck causes as much congestion as 2.5 to 3.5 cars on flat terrain and as much as 15 cars on uphill grades. Building and preserving roads and bridges is vital to the economy. As the economy changes, generating varying types of freight movement and new demands for reliable access to markets, policy-makers need to understand the nature of the freight system and how it affects trucking and the area's roadways.

Commercial transportation companies in Ohio are designated as public utilities under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO). These companies pay special fees and taxes in exchange for exemption from other taxes, such as sales tax on equipment. They are also exempt from many forms of local regulation.

In terms of trucking, one responsibility of the PUCO is to improve road safety and ensure quality, equitable service for commercial motor and hazardous materials carriers and their customers. The PUCO registers more than 58,000 general freight carriers; 2,500 hazardous materials transporters; 1,000 towing companies; and 300 household goods movers in the state of Ohio.

The Akron metropolitan area contains 4,775 miles of roadways of all types. Table 1-1 shows the length in miles and lane miles for each roadway classification.

# Table 1-1 | Mileages by Roadway Classification

| FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | LENGTH<br>(in Miles) | NUMBER OF<br>LANE MILES |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Interstate                        | 100                  | 462                     |
| Ohio Turnpike (I-80)              | 34                   | 192                     |
| Freeway                           | 35                   | 171                     |
| Principal Arterial                | 190                  | 567                     |
| Minor Arterial                    | 356                  | 911                     |
| Major Collector                   | 516                  | 1,119                   |
| Minor Collector                   | 64                   | 127                     |
| Local                             | 3,479                | 6,990                   |
| TOTAL                             | 4,775                | 10,539                  |

Please note that minor collectors and local roads are not considered part of the federal aid system; therefore, they are not eligible for federal funding. The local jurisdiction or the county in which they are located assumes responsibility for maintaining these roadways.

The Akron metropolitan area contains 1,251 bridges. Table 1-2 shows the number and deck area for each type of bridge based on information from the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT). The federal definition of a bridge is a self-supported structure equal to or greater than 20 feet in length. Bridges less than 20 feet are not eligible for federal funds. The railroad bridges listed in Table 1-2 are maintained by the privately-held railroad companies operating in the AMATS area.

# Table 1-2 | Number and Deck Area of Bridges

| BRIDGE TYPE                 | NUMBER OF BRIDGES | DECK AREA (Sq Ft) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Bridges (20+ feet)*         | 912               | 7,371,227         |
| Turnpike Bridges (20+ feet) | 49                | 1,023,021         |
| Railroad Bridges (20+ feet) | 30                | 118,262           |
| Bridges (<20 feet)          | 314               | 163,364           |
| TOTALS                      | 1,305             | 8,675,874         |

\* Includes Pedestrian Bridges

These roads and bridges support the largest portion of freight movement in the AMATS area. The current *Highway Preservation Needs Report* (2045) estimates that it will cost nearly \$1.2 billion to maintain the area's roads over the next 25 years. Bridge preservation is estimated to cost \$1.9 billion over the same period. In order for the area to maintain its transportation infrastructure and continue the status quo in terms of freight movement in the area, sufficient and regular funding will need to continue.

Taking future growth and development into account, the *AMATS Congestion Management Process* (CMP) report identifies existing and projected future congestion on our region's freeways, arterial streets and key intersections, and provides recommendations to alleviate identified congestion. The report provides an extensive list of freeway, arterial street and intersection needs for our region.

# The Ohio Turnpike

Constructed in 1955, the Ohio Turnpike is a 241-mile toll road running east-west across Northern Ohio. The Turnpike traverses the AMATS area through Northern Portage and Summit Counties paralleling SR 303. The Turnpike can be accessed through interchanges with I-77 in Richfield, SR-8 in Boston Heights, I-480/SR-14 in Streetsboro, SR-44 north of Ravenna, and at SR-5 just outside of the AMATS area in Trumbull County. In the AMATS area, the Ohio Turnpike is designated as I-80.

#### Ohio Turnpike Annual Freeflow Traffic (2016-2019)



The Turnpike operates under capacity; meaning that the volume of traffic does not exceed the amount of roadway needed for the smooth flow of vehicles at optimal speed. The Ohio Turnpike Commission measures and reports their traffic performance utilizing the term "Freeflow Traffic", which is the amount of traffic moving freely without delay during the reported year. The reported numbers for the last four years is reflected in the graph above.

The speed limit on the Turnpike is 70 miles per hour. In addition, the Turnpike allows the movement of freight through the use of Long Combination Vehicles (LCVs). LCVs are combinations of multiple trailers on one tractor truck as compared to a standard 5-axle semi trailer-truck with one trailer. The use of LCVs optimizes freight movement on the highway. And the Ohio Turnpike is the only facility in the state where this is permitted.

In 2013 the Ohio assembly passed a \$7.6 billion transportation and public safety bill. This bill allows the state, for the first time, to use toll revenue from the Ohio Turnpike for projects beyond the Turnpike's borders. The bill raises turnpike tolls for each of the next ten years, allowing Ohio to issue \$1.5 billion in bonds and shift funding to road and bridge projects across the state.

Of the new funding available, ninety percent of the turnpike money is designated for projects within 75 miles of the Turnpike. That means projects from across northern Ohio will compete for funding.

The Turnpike Commission intends to raise tolls at no more than the rate of inflation. The state believes the bond money can leverage an equal amount of federal and local funding, yielding at least an additional \$3 billion for roads and bridges in the coming years.

# Highway / Trucking Network

#### Strengths

- Direct Delivery of Goods to Stores and Consumers
- Accessibility to Other Modes of Transportation
- Dense Network of Roads
- Publicly Owned and Managed
- Dedicated Funds for Maintenance
- Ohio is Strategically-Located for Goods Movement (60% percent of the US/ Canada Population is within a one day drive - 600 miles)

#### Weaknesses

- Congestion at Strategic Locations, Bottlenecks
- Limited Ability to Increase Capacity
- Rising Fuel Costs
- Environmental Regulations
- Shortage of Trained Drivers
- Limited Funding for Maintenance and Improvements
- Limitations on Truck Size and Weights
- Speed Limits, Varying by Location



Northeast Ohio lies along the heavily utilized rail route between Chicago and the US East Coast ports. Northeast Ohio serves as a hub where a large block of rail cars moving east from Chicago can be redirected toward New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Virginia. The CSX route between Cleveland and New York City is particularly important. This route is known as the Waterlevel Route. This is because, from Cleveland, this route follows the coast of Lake Erie to Buffalo, and then turns east along the Erie Canal to Albany, following the Hudson River to New York. This route, following water, has no bridges and the track is in excellent condition, allowing double stack trains and train speeds of 60 mph.

To serve its local and Ohio markets, Northeast Ohio has three intermodal terminals: Norfolk Southern (NS) has one located in Maple Heights, CSX has one located in Collinwood (on the east side of Cleveland), and the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway has one located in Navarre (near Massillon). These terminals transfer domestic and international containers between rail and truck. Containers arrive at these terminals from coastal ports for local and Ohio delivery, and also are shipped out to these ports for export. There are no intermodal terminals in the AMATS area.

Northeast Ohio is fortunate to have connections with both NS and CSX, as well as a regional railroad of its own, the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway (WLE). A map of the railroad lines and rail yards in the AMATS area follows on the next page. The WLE connects the Cleveland/Akron/ Canton area with Pittsburgh, Toledo, and Lima Ohio. As such, the WLE removes a significant number of trucks from Northeast Ohio highways, allowing for less congested highways and less demand for expensive highway maintenance. In addition to easing the burden on our highways, the WLE provides rate competition for the two major railroads, and allows the Canadian National Railway (CN) access to Northeast Ohio (which it would not otherwise have). WLE provides this access through CN's Lang yard in Toledo. Consequently, the WLE provides Northeast Ohio with access for three of the seven Class I railroads. In addition, WLE conveys most of the rail freight with origins or destinations in the Akron area.

The Akron Barberton Cluster Railway (ABC) is a Class III railroad that operates on 73 miles of track in and around the AMATS area. It serves as a switching service for important industries in the area. Its parent company is the Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway.







#### 😔 2020 Freight Plan

# **METRO RTA**

METRO RTA is the public transit agency for Summit County, providing both fixed-route and demand response bus services.

METRO RTA also owns three rail lines, totaling 51 miles in length. These rail lines were purchased in order to preserve them for future use. Possible uses include passenger service, freight service, or recreational trail usage. The following three rail lines are owned by METRO:

- The former Conrail Akron Secondary between Hudson and Akron (terminus near Eastwood Avenue)
- The former Conrail Freedom Secondary between Akron (near Mill Street) and Kent (near Mogadore Road)
- The former CSX Sandyville Line between Akron (at Howard Street) and Canton (near Marion Avenue SW, outside of Summit County).

The Akron Secondary Line between Hudson and Akron is non-operational. This line has not had service for over twenty years. It begins as a one-track line in the City of Hudson with an at-grade crossing at Barlow Road, passing 1.5 miles south through Hudson into the City of Stow. The Akron Secondary Line then proceeds 2.75 miles through the City of Stow, entering the Village of Silver Lake at an at-grade crossing at Graham Road. The line then runs adjacent to SR 8 for one-half mile, entering the City of Cuyahoga Falls just west of Ivanhoe Road. The tracked portion of the line runs for 2.25 miles through Cuyahoga Falls before pairing with the CSX line, just north of Broad Boulevard. The final 0.6 miles of the Akron Secondary, between the Cuyahoga Falls Electric Services property and Broad Boulevard, then runs west of, and adjacent to, the main east-west CSX two-track rail line arriving from the east. The Akron Secondary right-of-way then continues south, untracked, for 3.5 miles, running from near Broad Boulevard in Cuyahoga Falls to its connection with the Sandyville Line in the City of Akron, just north of the Hill Rail Yard.

The Akron Secondary Line could be reactivated to provide a connection between the CSX Line in Cuyahoga Falls and NS in Hudson. The line could also provide service to potential clients adjacent to the right-of-way. The right-of-way would need to be restored and upgraded to Class I in order to meet these needs. Costs to restore this service would run into the millions of dollars.

Most of the Freedom Secondary Line is non-operational. Portions of the track have been removed. The right-of-way extends from a site near Mill Street in Akron to Mogadore Road in Kent. The north end of the Freedom Secondary right-of-way ties into the WLE and NS Lines. The south end of the right-of-way does not have any existing track connecting with existing freight carriers. Portage County owns seven miles of the Freedom Secondary operating between Kent and Ravenna.

The Freedom Secondary could be reactivated to provide a connection between the WLE and NS lines at the north end of the line in Kent with the WLE and CSX lines at the south end in Akron. The line could also provide service to existing or potential clients adjacent to the right-of-way. The right-of-way would need to be reconstructed in order to be put back into service.

Currently, the Freedom Secondary trail is an 8.5 mile long bike and hike trail connecting the Cuyahoga Scenic Railroad at the Northside Station, in Akron, to Middlebury Road in Kent. It is operated by the Summit Metro Parks. In Kent, the Freedom Secondary trail connects to the Portage Bike Trail, and extends from Middlebury Road to Ravenna. The unused railroad corridor is owned by Metro Regional Transit Authority and leased to the Metro Parks.

The Sandyville Line begins in the City of Akron at Howard Street, at the eastern terminus of the Cuyahoga Valley Scenic Railroad (CVSR). The Sandyville line continues approximately 1.6 miles east, where the Akron Secondary right-of-way joins just south of Eastwood Avenue. From this junction, the Sandyville Line continues approximately 4.75 miles south through the City of Akron into Springfield Township. The line then runs for approximately 3.1 miles southeast through Springfield, where it enters the City of Green at an at-grade crossing at SR 619. From this crossing, the line then continues for approximately 6 miles before exiting Summit County just west of the Akron-Canton Airport. The Sandyville Line enters Stark County in Jackson Township at an at-grade crossing at Mount Pleasant Road, continuing southeast approximately 2.85 miles into Plain Township at an at-grade crossing at Whipple Avenue. The Sandyville Line then proceeds 1.85 miles southeast through Plain Township, entering the City of Canton approximately 300 feet north of the I-77 overpass of 38th Street. The Sandyville Line travels south through the City of Canton for 3.9 miles just east of I-77, ultimately terminating at the Canton Crossing Diamond where it connects to the former Conrail line operated by WLE and NS.

The Sandyville Line and a short section of the Freedom Secondary near Kent are in active use for local freight service. In addition, the Sandyville Line is also used by the CVSR for excursion service to Canton. Despite the costs and liability, METRO continues to invest in the maintenance of this rail line, serving an important role in economic development.

## **Recent Changes to the Rail System**

To accommodate projected increases in rail traffic, CSX completed the National Gateway project. This project involved the development of a significant block-swap yard near Toledo, Ohio, and a new intermodal terminal in Pittsburgh. Phase One of the \$850 million project, between CSX's existing terminal in Chambersburg, Pennsylvania, and its hub facility in Northwest Ohio was completed in September 2013. The North Baltimore Intermodal Terminal project is a public-private partnership with ODOT and the federal government.

The National Gateway expands and improves freight rail service by alleviating freight bottlenecks in the Midwest that cause delays for companies and consumers shipping or receiving freight from West Coast ports. This project reduces freight transit times by 24 to 48 hours between ports on the West Coast and major consumption centers in the East by enabling freight to move more efficiently through the AMATS area. A double stack rail route between mid-Atlantic ports and the Midwest markets frees up highway capacity by creating an additional option for transporting freight. Moving freight by rail reduces congestion and deterioration on our nation's highways by reducing truck miles traveled.

The Norfolk Southern (NS) Railway has successfully implemented the Heartland Corridor Project. This project enlarged tunnels to create a double stack rail route between the Port of Norfolk, Virginia and Columbus. The project also involved the construction of a major intermodal terminal in Columbus (near the Rickenbacker Airport) in another public-private partnership that cost hundreds of millions of dollars. The Heartland Corridor was originally designated as a Project of National and Regional Significance under SAFETEA-LU, and received an initial \$90 million earmark. These funds, combined with funding from Norfolk Southern and from the states concerned, have been used to develop the corridor north from Columbus to Bellevue, Ohio, and then on to Fort Wayne, Indiana and Chicago, Illinois.

The nearest intermodal facility is located in Stark County. It opened in 1996. The Neomodal terminal, although currently underutilized, is located on the regional Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway, which offers interconnection to the Canadian National Railway and others.

## Concerns

Summarizing the trends above, it appears that both major Class I railroads are operating intermodal terminals in Pittsburgh, Columbus, and Toledo. Their investments do not include direct investments in Northeast Ohio, despite the fact that Northeast Ohio is the historical manufacturing center of the state. Thus, the railroads do not foresee a return to traditional manufacturing in the area. In addition, there is the concern that the intermodal terminals will compete for business with the existing Northeast Ohio intermodal terminals.

## Congestion

The most recent *Ohio Freight Rail Choke Point Study* (2007) identified the 30 most severe choke points in the Ohio freight rail network by considering:

- Severity of the existing choke point;
- Potential for Ohio truck-to-rail diversions;
- Potential for Ohio job creation or retention;
- Impact of the choke point on railroad operating efficiency;
- Project readiness; and
- Safety, security, and environmental issues

Of the 30 choke point locations, one location is found in the AMATS area: the CSX Lambert (Southwest Akron) to Warwick section near Clinton. It is one of few remaining single-track segments on the CSX main line between Baltimore/Washington and Chicago. A mixture of bulk commodities, merchandise, and intermodal traffic moves between the West Coast and Midwest to and from Mid-Atlantic markets.

Occasional congestion at this location not only inhibits the movement of freight; it also poses a safety and traffic congestion problem as stopped trains block several atgrade crossings in the area. Safety vehicles (police, fire, ambulance) are required to drive around the blocked at-grade crossings in order to reach their destination. The proposed solution is to construct a second main line on 9.25 miles of abandoned, parallel, ex-Conrail right-of-way at an estimated cost of \$10.9 million. Financial and environmental concerns have left this project unfinished.

This project would increase capacity and improve rail service, helping to divert longhaul trucks from the highway network. This project would free highway capacity, lower maintenance costs, improve safety, and mitigate mobile air pollutants, and reduce delays on this section of track, thereby increasing the overall average train speed. As CSX is a private company and the owner of this private right-of-way, improvements can only be made in cooperation with CSX.

# Highway-Rail Grade Crossings

A highway-rail grade crossing is where a railway and roadway intersect. There are approximately 393 grade crossings in the AMATS area (many are on abandoned or out of service rail lines). At-grade crossings are protected either by train-activated, active warning devices (such as gates and flashing lights) or by passive warning devices (such as crossbucks, stop signs, and yield signs). Trains often require a mile or more to stop and are unable to deviate from their path. Consequently, safety at grade crossings is primarily a motorist's responsibility. The warning devices are there to protect motorists, not trains.

As a result, states, not railroads, are responsible for evaluating grade crossing risks and prioritizing grade crossings for improvement. The decision to install a specific type of warning device at a particular public grade crossing is made by ODOT, not by the railroad, with final approval by the Federal Highway Administration.

Ideally, highway-rail grade crossings would be separated if feasible. Grade separation projects eliminate safety and delay concerns by redirecting the vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle traffic above or below the railroad tracks. Construction of overpasses and underpasses are costly, and this is not always feasible due to cost or geographic configuration.

The Association of American Railroads recommends that at-grade crossing accidents can best be reduced through a mix of engineering, education and enforcement, including:

- Closing unnecessary crossings, and adopting a uniform national at-grade crossing closure process, combined with a freeze on the overall number of grade crossings within each state. Ultimately, the goal is to eliminate all at-grade crossings on the National Highway System.
- Generously funding Operation Lifesaver, a nationwide non-profit organization that educates the public about the need for proper behavior at grade crossings and on railroad property; as well as a research and development program to design effective low-cost active warning systems for at-grade crossings.
- Examining the effectiveness of other types of warning devices such as four quadrant gates.
- Requiring that grade crossing safety be part of commercial driver's license educational curricula and administer tough penalties for grade crossing traffic violations.
- Requiring a minimum set-back or a physical safety barrier between active railroad tracks and adjacent parallel trails and paths.

Ohio has four major grade crossing safety programs that use a combination of both federal and state funds as part of its Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). The use of four programs allows for flexibility to maximize needed improvements at the state's at-grade crossings. The four programs are:

- The formula-based upgrade program is based on a calculation of the most hazardous crossings.
- The corridor-based upgrade program provides a framework for systematically considering, identifying, and prioritizing projects that have public safety benefits at multiple grade crossings along a railroad corridor. Ohio identifies these corridors in collaboration with the railroads. The Heartland Corridor is an example of a corridor-based project that runs through the state.
- The constituent-identified upgrade program considers project referrals from a number of sources and makes selections based on hazard rankings, extenuating conditions, and funding availability.
- The preemption program upgrades warning devices and traffic signals to establish appropriate traffic signal preemption when a train approaches a crossing that has a highway traffic signal in close proximity.

Ohio has the fourth largest number of highway rail grade crossings in the country behind Texas, Illinois, and California. As of 2018, 5,737 at-grade vehicular public crossings are located in Ohio, of which 58% have flashing lights and roadway gates, 32% have passive systems such as crossbucks, and 10% have flashing lights.

ORDC administers an average of \$15 million in infrastructure improvements at highway rail grade crossings annually.

The AMATS area has a number of at-grade crossings with significant train and vehicle volumes.

Between the years of 2016 and 2018, the AMATS area suffered four train-motor vehicle crashes. One of these crashes resulted in a fatality, one resulted in injury, and the remaining two only resulted in property damage.

Map 2-2 on page 10 shows all at-grade crossings in the AMATS area with high volume crossings highlighted. At-grade rossings are prioritized by scoring the number of trains per day and the daily traffic volume (ADT). Scores greater than 100 are shown in Table 2-1 on page 11.



#### 😔 2020 Freight Plan

| RANK | STREET (LOCATION)                  | TRAINS PER DAY | VEHICLE ADT | SCORE |
|------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| 1    | Stow Rd (Hudson)                   | 70             | 10,280      | 720   |
| 2    | Broad Blvd (Cuyahoga Falls         | 32             | 15,385      | 492   |
| 3    | S Main St (Munroe Falls)           | 27             | 16,694      | 451   |
| 4    | E Twinsburg Rd (Macedonia)         | 74             | 5,550       | 411   |
| 5    | Bailey Rd (Cuyahoga Falls)         | 27             | 13,315      | 360   |
| 6    | E Hines Hill Rd (Hudson)           | 62             | 3,710       | 230   |
| 7    | Hudson Run Rd (Barberton)          | 32             | 5,161       | 165   |
| 8    | Fairview Ave (Barberton            | 29             | 5,251       | 152   |
| 9    | W Summit St (Kent)                 | 27             | 5,438       | 147   |
| 10   | W Waterloo Rd (Twinsburg Township) | 31             | 4,383       | 136   |
| 11   | N Arlington St (Akron)             | 27             | 4,630       | 125   |
| 12   | E Highland Rd (Twinsburg Township) | 10             | 11,679      | 117   |
| 13   | W Market St (Akron)                | 4              | 25,530      | 102   |

# Table 2-1 | High Volume At-Grade Crossings

The highest priority grade crossing at the time of the previous Freight Plan (May 2016) was the Broad Boulevard crossing with CSX in Cuyahoga Falls. However, the geometrics of the area prohibit an easy grade separation at this location due to the close proximity of SR 8.

Stow Road in Hudson, crossing the busy Norfolk-Southern rail line, is a candidate for a grade separation. However, no project is scheduled at this time. Stow Road provides a good alternative to bypass the busy intersection of SR 91 and SR 303 in the center of Hudson. North Main Street (SR 91) in Munroe Falls places third in priority, and has a comparable ADT to Broad Boulevard. But the CSX rail line at this location does not have the same level of frequency (trains per day) as the Norfolk-Southern line discussed above.

The Evans Avenue Railroad Grade Separation project is currently under construction. The project consists of construction of a separated rail grade crossing over the Metro RTA and CSX railroad tracks on a new roadway alignment. This project also includes construction of concrete curbs and gutters, asphalt pavement, storm sewer, sanitary sewer, water main and a cul-de-sac. The project is approximately 2,200 feet in length, with a total project cost of \$9.3 million. Construction for this project is partially funded through a FASTLANE grant in the amount of \$5.7 million as well as an Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) grant in the amount of \$2.3 million. FASTLANE is a federal highway program that provides funding for projects that improve public safety, efficiency and reliability of the movement of freight and people.

## **Rail Network**

#### Strengths

- Most Fuel-Efficient Land Transportation Mode
- Developed to Transport Heavy and Repetitive Loads
- Efficiently Moves Bulk Commodities and Large Volumes over Long Distances
- Intercontinental System with Connections to Multiple Shippers
- Intermodal Connectivity
- · Most of the Rail System is Privately-Funded, on Private Right-of-Way
- The AMATS area has Multiple Rail Providers
- Reduces Highway Congestion by Providing an Alternative to Trucking

#### Weaknesses

- Rail is Less Flexible in Delivering Goods to Final Destination
- One-Third of Industry does not have Access to Rail
- · Limited Funds to Fix Existing Choke Points
- Limited Funds for Capital Improvements Necessary for Forecasted Increases in Freight
- Private Infrastructure may not be Eligible for Public Funds
- Cooperation is Problematic between Competing Rail Companies
- Rising Fuel Costs
- Environmental Regulations

# **Freight Profiles**

In 2017, AMATS partnered with Fund for Our Economic Future (The Fund) to develop 14 Job Hubs in the AMATS area.

# What Are Job Hubs?

According to The Fund, "Job hubs are specific places of concentrated economic activity in a region. They are defined and identified based on the extent to which they exhibit the following four characteristics:

- High concentration of traded-sector jobs: We identified job hubs based on the number of traded-sector jobs in a particular area, with a focus on places with job density in the top 5 percent in the region. The research focused specifically on identifying clusters of employment in sectors of the economy like manufacturing or business consulting that can export (or trade) goods and services outside of Northeast Ohio. To learn more about the importance of the traded sector and why we focused on it in this study, download our full report here.
- **Multiple traded-sector employers:** Job hubs represent "clusters" of business activity and other assets like roads, highways, transit, and utilities. Business clustering allows for efficient use of infrastructure and creates other spill-over benefits from the accumulation of human and physical capital.
- Alignment with local development patterns: Job hubs reflect local development patterns and the location of businesses, infrastructure, transportation assets, and land inventory in each place. This alignment with the built-environment will hopefully facilitate local community planning discussions around potential land use policies, transportation investments or other strategies to enhance each job hub's market competitiveness.
- Alignment with civic priorities and economic development opportunities: Beyond encompassing many existing businesses and jobs, job hubs also contain high-quality sites with existing infrastructure or office inventory that, if occupied, could further add density to the job hub. As we continue to develop the research, we hope to work with local partners across Northeast Ohio to promote the vibrancy and growth of regional job hubs that can compete in the global 21st-century economy."

# AMATS Job Hubs

Having itentified these 14 specific focal points within the region, AMATS has been able to pinpoint general areas which serve as primary origins and destinations for freight trips based upon expected demand of businesses in retail, warehousing, manufacturing and medical services, among various others. These areas will be referred to throughout this chapter as Freight Corridors. The identified corridors are as follows:

- Firestone Park
- Downtown Akron
- Chapel Hill
- Cuyahoga Falls
- Barberton
- Green
- Akron-Canton Airport
- Brimfield
- Gilchrist Road
- Twinsburg
- Aurora / Streetsboro
- East Akron / Airport
- Richfield
- Hudson / Stow

The following pages within this chapter focus on providing freight-related information about each of the 14 Freight Corridors. The first page of each of the following profiles provides a general description of the corridor followed by some additional relevant information such as location, accessible Interstate / Freeway routes, number of jobs and pavement conditions in and around the corridor. The second page consists of tables identifying safety and traffic issues in and around the corridor. The third and fourth pages show inbound and outbound truck traffic for the corridor.

## Methodology

The data displayed in the last two maps for each corridor was derived from a Streetlight Data analysis project. Using the boundaries for each corridor as both an origin and a destination, data regarding truck trips was collected. That data was then imported into GIS to map the total percentage of truck trips in and out of the corridor by means of each roadway.

# Firestone Park Freight Corridor

#### **Characteristics:**

The Firestone Park Job Hub is located just south of downtown Akron and is easily accessed by I-76 to the north, I-277 to the south, I-77 to the east and SR 93 to the west. The job hub encompasses the original Firestone Headquarters and campus and Bridgestone Americas still has a presence in the corridor and employs approximately 700 jobs in the technical center. There are an estimated 2,500 jobs located within the job hub, in the industries of manufacturing, transportation and warehousing, and professional, scientific, and technical services. There are a number of locations within the corridor that have the potential to negatively impact freight traffic. These locations include high crash intersections and segments as well as congestion on US 224 and on Wilbeth Road. This job hub benefits from its proximity to downtown Akron and several interstates as well as access to the ABC Railway and the CSXT rail lines.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-77 SR-764

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services
- 3. Transportation and Warehousing

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

2,500

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



#### Location:



# Firestone Park Freight Corridor

#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION    | FROM        | то                    | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Akron    | 28            | 92              | E Waterloo Rd         | Brown St    | S Arlington St        | 1.00           | 15,180                      | 54               | 18                          | 3.26          |
| Akron    | 29            | 95              | E Archwood Ave        | Brown St    | S Arlington St        | 1.00           | 6,960                       | 31               | 10                          | 4.08          |
| Akron    | 57            | 162             | Manchester Rd (SR-93) | Waterloo Rd | W Wilbeth Rd (SR-764) | 0.60           | 12,030                      | 20               | 11                          | 2.54          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2018), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                | INTERSECTING STREET                | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Akron    | 40            | 83              | Brown St              | E Thornton St                      | 5,310                               | 14               | 2.41          | 1.86              |
| Akron    | 49            | 95              | S Arlington St        | E Archwood Ave                     | 19,310                              | 30               | 1.42          | 1.60              |
| Akron    | 50            | 100             | E Wilbeth Rd (SR-764) | Coventry St / I-77 Southbound Ramp | 14,150                              | 31               | 2.00          | 1.39              |

#### **Top Congested Segments**

The following table identifies the top segments / intersections in or near the job hub that have been identified in AMATS' 2020 Congestion Management Process report. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Name, Miles, Time (hour in which peak congestion occurs), AM / PM (half of day in which peak hour occurs), Type (description of section), Direction (direction of traffic flow), and % Free Flow (ratio of the speed traffic is traveling in relation to the free flow speed, or the speed at which unimpeded traffic can travel).

| LOCATION | NAME   | MILES | TIME          | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ         | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|--|-------|---------------|---------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Akron    | Firestone Blvd from S Main St to Grant St    | 0.481 | 5:00 - 6:00   | PM      | Arterial     | Eastbound | 48.53       |
| Akron    | Firestone Blvd from Grant St to S Main St    | 0.481 | 10:00 - 11:00 | PM      | Arterial     | Westbound | 58.80       |
| Akron    | Wilbeth Rd (SR-764) at Manchester Rd (SR-93) | 0.060 | 4:00 - 5:00   | PM      | Intersection | Westbouhd | 62.46       |





😔 2020 Freight Plan





😔 2020 Freight Plan
#### **Characteristics:**

An estimated 36,000 jobs are located within the Downtown Akron Job Hub, the majority of which are in the healthcare and social assistance, public administration, and educational services industries. This job hub is located in central Summit County and has easy access to I-76 and SR 8. Home to the University of Akron, downtown Akron is also a destination for art, music, retail, and restaurants, drawing travelers from surrounding areas for public events, baseball games, and fairs. Several intersections and locations in the corridor contained in the AMATS crash listings. These crashes, coupled with congestion on SR 8, contribute to potential freight delays in the area. This job hub also benefits from access to the CSXT rail line.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-76 SR-8

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Health Care and Social Assistance
- 2. Public Administration
- 3. Educational Services

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

36,000

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION              | FROM                       | то            | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Akron    | 1             | 4               | Martin Luther King Blvd (SR-59) | W Market St Bridge (SR-18) | N Broadway St | 0.35           | 18,385                      | 31               | 29                          | 4.09          |
| Akron    | 3             | 10              | W Exchange St                   | Rhodes Ave                 | Dart Ave      | 0.94           | 8,770                       | 42               | 26                          | 8.03          |
| Akron    | 12            | 45              | S Main St                       | S Broadway St              | Bartges St    | 0.56           | 11,877                      | 67               | 23                          | 5.34          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2018), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET              | INTERSECTING STREET | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Akron    | 1             | 1               | S Maple St (SR-162) | Rhodes Ave          | 13,290                              | 41               | 2.82          | 1.88              |
| Akron    | 2             | 2               | S Maple St (SR-162) | W Cedar St          | 16,200                              | 45               | 2.54          | 1.76              |
| Akron    | 3             | 3               | S Broadway St       | E Thornton St       | 18,245                              | 51               | 2.55          | 1.71              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION | NAME   | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ     | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|--|-------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Akron    | Euclid Ave from Rand St to Dart Ave                              | 0.084 | 8:45 - 9:45 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound  | 25.40       |
| Akron    | SR-8 Southbound from Forge St to E Market St (SR-18)             | 0.110 | 4:45 - 5:45 | PM      | Freeway  | Southbound | 32.61       |
| Akron    | SR-8 Southbound from Perkins St Off Ramp to Perkins St On Ramp   | 0.437 | 4:45 - 5:45 | PM      | Freeway  | Southbound | 34.00       |
| Akron    | SR-8 Southbound from Glenwood Ave On Ramp to Perkins St Off Ramp | 0.541 | 4:45 - 5:45 | PM      | Freeway  | Southbound | 37.56       |
| Akron    | W Exchange St from Paul Williams St to S Main St                 | 0.029 | 4:00 - 5:00 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound  | 39.97       |
| Akron    | Perkins St (SR-59) from Union St to SR-8 Southbound Ramps        | 0.121 | 4:00 - 5:00 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound  | 40.50       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### **Characteristics:**

Located in central Summit County just north of downtown Akron, the Chapel Hill job hub employs 4,000 people in the areas of manufacturing, management of companies and enterprises, and information. Named for the nearby Chapel Hill Mall built in 1967, this job hub is easily accessed by SR 8 and continues to be a destination for retail and restaurants. In addition to SR 8, SR 261 connects the Chapel Hill area to communities to the east and west. AMATS has identified several high crash locations and intersections near the job hub, as well as highly congested areas that could interfere with freight traffic in the corridor. This job hub also benefits from access to the CSXT rail line.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

SR-8 SR-261

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Management of Companies and Enterprises
- 3. Information

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

4,000

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



### Location:

#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION       | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION       | FROM                     | то          | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls | 2             | 28              | Howe Ave                 | Cuyahoga Falls Corp Line | Main St     | 0.27           | 36,670                      | 44               | 53                          | 3.98          |
| Akron          | 51            | 148             | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261) | Home Ave                 | Brittain Rd | 1.15           | 15,855                      | 47               | 14                          | 2.35          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2018), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION       | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET   | INTERSECTING STREET | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls | 8             | 44              | Howe Ave | Buchholzer Blvd     | 20,890                              | 44               | 1.92          | 1.41              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION | NAME  | MILES | TIME         | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|---|-------|--------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Akron    | SR-8 Southbound from Tallmadge Ave Off Ramp to Tallmadge Ave On Ramp        | 0.501 | 4:45 - 5:45  | PM      | Freeway             | Southbound | 38.06       |
| Akron    | SR-8 Southbound from E Cuyahoga Falls Ave On Ramp to Tallmdage Ave Off Ramp | 0.398 | 4:45 - 5:45  | PM      | Freeway             | Southbound | 48.03       |
| Akron    | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261) from Gorge Blvd to SR-8 Southbound Ramps           | 0.048 | 3:00 - 4:00  | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | 51.79       |
| Akron    | Home Ave from Annapolis Ave to Howe Ave                                     | 0.403 | 12:00 - 1:00 | PM      | Arterial            | Northbound | 57.40       |
| Akron    | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261) from SR-8 Southbound Ramps to Gorge Blvd           | 0.048 | 3:00 - 4:00  | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | 62.58       |
| Akron    | Home Ave from Howe Ave to Annapolis Ave                                     | 0.403 | 12:00 - 1:00 | PM      | Arterial            | Northbound | 63.50       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### **Characteristics:**

The Cuyahoga Falls job hub is located north of Akron in central Summit County. The city of Cuyahoga Falls has a population of 50,000, making it one of the county's larger cities. With access from SR 8 and SR 59, this job hub includes over 5,500 jobs in the industries of manufacturing, management of companies and enterprises, administrative and support, and waste management and remediation. SR 59 is known to become congested at certain times of the day, and there are some high crash areas within the corridor that would impact incident related congestion. The city of Cuyahoga Falls has witnessed a resurgence recently due to the reconstruction and reopening of Front Street and its Portage Crossing development. These developments provide attractive amenities to employers that locate within the job hub.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

SR-8 SR-59

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Management of Companies and Enterprises
- 3. Administrative & Support and Waste Management & Remediation

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

5,500

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION       | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION | FROM                     | то              | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls | 1             | 19              | State Rd           | Portage Trail            | Graham Rd       | 0.27           | 21,530                      | 21               | 26                          | 3.34          |
| Cuyahoga Falls | 2             | 28              | Howe Ave           | Cuyahoga Falls Corp Line | Main St         | 0.27           | 36,670                      | 44               | 53                          | 3.98          |
| Cuyahoga Falls | 3             | 39              | Howe Ave           | Main St                  | Buchholzer Blvd | 0.69           | 22,540                      | 59               | 29                          | 3.47          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION       | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET        | INTERSECTING STREET | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls | 1             | 18              | Portage Trail | 2nd St              | 29,830                              | 61               | 1.87          | 1.46              |
| Cuyahoga Falls | 2             | 30              | Portage Trail | State Rd            | 34,080                              | 101              | 2.71          | 1.26              |
| Cuyahoga Falls | 3             | 36              | Broad Blvd    | SR-8 Ramps          | 41,670                              | 79               | 1.73          | 1.38              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION              | NAME   | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ     | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|-----------------------|--|-------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Marc Dr to Bath Rd                         | 0.860 | 5:00 - 6:00 | PM      | Arterial | Southbound | 55.20       |
| Cuyahoga Falls / Stow | Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bridgewater Pkwy | 0.579 | 7:00 - 8:00 | AM      | Arterial | Eastbound  | 55.60       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Marc Dr to Steels Corners Rd               | 0.697 | 7:00 - 8:00 | AM      | Arterial | Northbound | 59.00       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Bath Rd to Graham Rd                       | 0.462 | 4:00 - 5:00 | PM      | Arterial | Southbound | 63.20       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Quick Rd to Steels Corners Rd              | 0.329 | 2:00 - 3:00 | PM      | Arterial | Southbound | 65.00       |





😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### **Characteristics:**

The city of Barberton was established as a planned industrial community in the late 1800's and the city's core was centered on a natural glacial lake called Lake Anna. Businesses such as the Diamond Match Company and Babcock & Wilcox helped establish Barberton as an industrial center and major employment hub. Although the region's industrial landscape has changed, Barberton remains an attractive location for businesses. In 2017, Barberton was home to 1,500 jobs in manufacturing, retail trade, and wholesale trade. Barberton is located in southwest Summit County, with I-76 highway access nearby. State Route 619 is another heavily traveled roadway that connects Barberton to I-77 and the city of Green, but is known to have a higher incidence of crashes in certain locations. Wooster Road North has some congestion and a high number of crashes, creating the potential for freight delay. In an effort to improve traffic, a project is currently underway that will: remove the I-76 / Wooster Rd Interchange, add a new interchange at State St / Romig Rd, and add access roads between State/Romig and Wooster/East. This job hub also benefits from access to the ABC Railway and the CSXT rail lines.

#### Location:



#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-76 SR-619

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Retail Trade
- 3. Wholesale Trade

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

1,500



#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):

#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2019), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crash;es per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION         | FROM       | то                  | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Barberton | 1             | 47              | Wooster Rd W               | 14th St NW | Wooster Rd N        | 0.75           | 11,813                      | 35               | 16                          | 3.61          |
| Barberton | 2             | 77              | Wooster Rd N (SR-619 part) | State St   | Barberton Corp Line | 0.77           | 19,030                      | 38               | 16                          | 2.37          |
| Barberton | 3             | 88              | Wooster Rd N               | Norton Ave | State St            | 0.51           | 12,240                      | 19               | 12                          | 2.79          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                | INTERSECTING STREET                            | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Barberton | 1             | 26              | State St (SR-619)     | Wooster Rd N (SR-619)                          | 27,545                              | 44               | 1.46          | 1.68              |
| Barberton | 2             | 117             | Wooster Rd N (SR-619) | East Ave / Kenmore Blvd / I-76 Westbound Ramps | 20,795                              | 35               | 1.54          | 1.40              |
| Barberton | 3             | 126             | Wooster Rd N          | W Hopocan Ave                                  | 11,340                              | 24               | 1.93          | 1.42              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION                       | NAME | MILES | TIME | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| No Nearby Locations in the CMP |      |       |      |         |      |           |             |  |  |



2020 Freight Plan



2020 Freight Plan **\_\_\_\_** 

### Green Freight Corridor

#### Characteristics:

The city of Green is the southernmost city in Summit County, with I-77 and SR 619 serving as key access roads. This job hub is home to approximately 7,000 jobs in health care and social assistance, and transportation and warehousing. Massillon Road (SR 241) runs north and south through the corridor and is heavily congested around the I-77 interchange due to the large number of businesses that have moved into the corridor in the last two decades. The roadways near the job hub include a number of high crash locations and the city of Green has planned multiple improvements along Massillon road including roundabouts to improve safety and relieve congestion.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-77 SR-619

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Health Care and Social Assistance
- 2. Administrative & Support and Waste Management & Remediation
- Transportation and Warehousing 3.

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

7,000

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



#### Location:

### **Green Freight Corridor**

#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION            | FROM                          | то                            | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Green    | 1             | 14              | Arlington Rd                  | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | Green North Corp Line         | 0.95           | 20,305                      | 146              | 51                          | 6.91          |
| Green    | 2             | 16              | Massillon Rd (SR-241)         | Boettler Rd                   | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | 1.01           | 21,413                      | 131              | 43                          | 5.55          |
| Green    | 3             | 136             | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | S Main St                     | Arlington Rd                  | 1.57           | 12,050                      | 52               | 11                          | 2.52          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                        | INTERSECTING STREET  | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Green    | 1             | 6               | Corporate Woods Cir           | Corporate Woods Pkwy | 7,350                               | 32               | 3.98          | 1.81              |
| Green    | 2             | 48              | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | Arlington Rd         | 28,605                              | 51               | 1.63          | 1.43              |
| Green    | 3             | 71              | Massillon Rd (SR-241)         | I-77 Ramps           | 43,695                              | 78               | 1.63          | 1.33              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION | NAME  | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|---|-------|-------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Green    | Massillon Rd (SR-241) from I-77 Southbound Ramps to I-77 Northbound Ramps | 0.136 | 4:45 - 5:45 | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | 56.73       |
| Green    | Massillon Rd (SR-241) from I-77 Northbound Ramps to I-77 Southbound Ramps | 0.136 | 4:15 - 5:15 | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | 62.38       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



# Green Freight Corridor

2020 Freight Plan (man)

#### **Characteristics:**

The Akron-Canton Airport Job Hub is located in the southeast corner of the City of Green. There are an estimated 4,500 jobs located in the hub with the top industries being manufacturing, management and transportation and warehousing. This job hub benefits from being centrally located between Akron and Canton. The Akron-Canton Airport is nestled next to the job hub on 2,700 acres of land providing a competitive advantage to businesses nearby. Primary transportation to and from the Akron-Canton Airport job hub is Interstate I-77. AMATS has identified a number of crash locations near the corridor that would impact local freight traffic that have the potential to generate incident level congestion. This job hub also benefits from access to the ABC Railway rail line.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-77 SR-241

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Management of Companies and Enterprises
- 3. Transportation and Warehousing

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

4,500

#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION            | FROM                        | то                          | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Green    | 1             | 14              | Arlington Rd                  | Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | Green North Corp Line       | 0.95           | 20,305                      | 146              | 51                          | 3.98          |
| Green    | 2             | 16              | Massillon Rd (SR-241)         | Boettler Rd                 | Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | 1.01           | 21,413                      | 131              | 43                          | 5.55          |
| Green    | 3             | 136             | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | S Main St                   | Arlington Rd                | 1.57           | 12,050                      | 52               | 11                          | 2.52          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                | INTERSECTING STREET | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Green    | 3             | 71              | Massillon Rd (SR-241) | I-77 Ramps          | 43,695                              | 78               | 1.63          | 1.33              |
| Green    | 5             | 97              | Massillon Rd (SR-241) | Raber Rd            | 24,810                              | 36               | 1.33          | 1.56              |
| Green    | 6             | 99              | Massillon Rd (SR-241) | Steese Rd           | 14,230                              | 41               | 2.63          | 1.10              |
| Green    | 7             | 104             | Massillon Rd (SR-241) | Boettler Rd         | 31,120                              | 42               | 1.23          | 1.52              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION                       | NAME | MILES | TIME | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| No Nearby Locations in the CMP |      |       |      |         |      |           |             |  |  |



2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

Chapter 3 - Freight Profiles | 40

#### **Characteristics:**

The Brimfield Job Hub is located in western Portage County and is easily accessed by I-76 and SR-43. Brimfield benefits from its proximity to Akron, Canton and Kent. Brimfield Township has a growing population, but it also strives to maintain its rural identity. There are an estimated 1,000 jobs in manufacturing, transportation and warehousing, and wholesale trade in this job hub. Improvements are underway for the intersection of Tallmadge Road, Mogadore Road and the I-76 off-ramp as it has been a trouble spot for congestion and crashes. Additionally, other high crash locations have been identified in the corridor and are likely to interfere with freight travel.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-76 SR-43

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Health Care and Social Assistance
- 2. Transportation and Warehousing
- 3. Wholesale Trade

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

1,000



#### Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):

#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION           | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION   | FROM                 | то                    | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Brimfield Township | 2             | 31              | SR-43                | Tallmadge Rd (CR-18) | I-76                  | 0.52           | 10,870                      | 26               | 17                          | 4.23          |
| Brimfield Township | 5             | 62              | Tallmadge Rd (CR-18) | Summit County Line   | Sunnybrook Rd (CR-11) | 1.06           | 11,580                      | 48               | 15                          | 3.57          |
| Brimfield Township | 11            | 165             | SR-43                | I-76                 | Kent South Corp Line  | 1.61           | 21,820                      | 50               | 10                          | 1.30          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION           | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET       | INTERSECTING STREET   | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Brimfield Township | 1             | 13              | SR-43        | Tallmadge Rd (CR-18)  | 19,270                              | 37               | 1.75          | 1.76              |
| Brimfield Township | 2             | 22              | SR-43        | I-76 Ramps / Edson Rd | 43,720                              | 85               | 1.78          | 1.45              |
| Brimfield Township | 3             | 54              | Old Forge Rd | Mogadore Rd           | 4,280                               | 19               | 4.05          | 1.63              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION           | NAME   | MILES | TIME         | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------------|---------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Brimfield Township | Tallmadge Rd from I-76 Westbound Ramps to I-76 Eastbound Ramps | 0.147 | 4:15 - 5:15  | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound | 57.68       |
| Brimfield Township | Tallmadge Rd from I-76 Eastbound Ramps to I-76 Westbound Ramps | 0.150 | 12:00 - 1:00 | PM      | Freeway Interchange | Westbound | 57.95       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### **Characteristics:**

The Gilchrist Road Job Hub is an industrial road located in eastern Summit County, in the city of Akron. This job hub is easily accessible from I-76 and SR 91. There are 3,000 jobs in manufacturing, transportation and warehousing, and wholesale trade. The job hub is small in geography but is very dense with employers. With a 54 acre undeveloped industrial park located within the job hub it has potential room to expand. Freight traffic can experience congestion along the nearby I-76 corridor as well as East Market Street. There are only a few crash locations within the corridor to disrupt traffic. This job hub also benefits from access to the Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway rail line.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-76 SR-91

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Transportation and Warehousing
- 3. Wholesale Trade

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

3,000





#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2019), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crash;es per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION              | FROM                | то          | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Akron    | 55            | 155             | N Canton Rd / Darrow Rd (SR-91) | Mogadore Rd         | Newton St   | 0.56           | 10,870                      | 25               | 13                          | 1.76          |
| Akron    | 64            | 177             | S Canton Rd (SR-91)             | E Market St (SR-18) | Mogadore Rd | 0.55           | 13,450                      | 17               | 10                          | 2.09          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET            | INTERSECTING STREET | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Akron    | 131           | 263             | Canton Rd (SR-91) | Gilchrist Rd        | 25,365                              | 29               | 1.045         | 1.28              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION                       | NAME | MILES | TIME | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| No Nearby Locations in the CMP |      |       |      |         |      |           |             |  |  |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### Characteristics:

The Twinsburg Job Hub is located in northern Summit County and is the largest traded sector employment hub in greater Akron with 17,000 jobs in manufacturing, wholesale trade, and retail trade. With access nearby to I-271, I-480 and I-80, as well as being halfway between Cleveland and Akron, this location is ideal for businesses and employees alike. State Routes 82 and 91 have some congestion and high crash locations. There is the potential for delays to freight traffic. Recent improvements to SR 91 include a roundabout and operational improvements to help ease some of the safety and congestion issues. This job hub also benefits from access to the Norfolk Southern Railway rail line.

#### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-80 I-271

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Wholesale Trade

Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):

NEUMINIC

3. Retail Trade

#### 2017 Estimated Jobs:

17,000



#### Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2019), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crash;es per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION               | FROM              | то                  | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Twinsburg | 1             | 66              | Darrpw Rd (SR-91)                | E Highland Rd     | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) | 0.95           | 25,305                      | 84               | 30                          | 3.19          |
| Twinsburg | 2             | 119             | E Aurora Rd (SR-82)              | I-480             | Darrpw Rd (SR-91)   | 0.56           | 18,250                      | 30               | 18                          | 2.67          |
| Twinsburg | 3             | 164             | W Aurora Rd / Ravenna Rd (SR-82) | Darrpw Rd (SR-91) | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) | 1.16           | 10,570                      | 36               | 10                          | 2.68          |

#### Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET              | INTERSECTING STREET   | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Twinsburg | 1             | 78              | Darrow Rd (SR-91)   | Glenwood Dr           | 20,720                              | 45               | 1.98          | 1.31              |
| Twinsburg | 2             | 222             | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) | Hadden Rd / Wilcox Dr | 19,855                              | 26               | 1.20          | 1.38              |
| Twinsburg | 3             | 223             | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) | Darrow Rd (SR-91)     | 32,720                              | 40               | 1.12          | 1.20              |

#### **Top Congested Locations**

| LOCATION  | NAME   | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Macedonia | I-271 Northbound Off Ramp to SR-8  | 0.308 | 7:45 - 8:45 | AM      | Freeway Ramp        | Northbound | 44.37       |
| Macedonia | SR-8 Southbound from I-271 Southbound On Ramp to I-271 Northbound Off Ramp | 1.117 | 7:30 - 8:30 | AM      | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | 62.26       |
| Twinsburg | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) from I-480 Westbound Ramps to Darrow Rd (SR-91)        | 0.493 | 5:00 - 6:00 | PM      | Arterial            | Eastbound  | 64.74       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan
#### **Characteristics:**

The Aurora / Streetsboro Job Hub is located on northwestern edge of Portage County and is in close proximity to Cleveland, Akron and Kent. With 6,500 jobs and I-80, SR 43, and I-480 nearby, this job hub is home to jobs in manufacturing, wholesale trade, and professional, scientific, and technical services. Freight traffic experiences congestion on nearby SR 14, and AMATS has identified several high crash locations and intersections along this corridor. Planned improvements are expected to ease some of the congestion as both Aurora and Streetsboro are working on overhauling their traffic signal systems. This job hub also benefits from access to the Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway rail line.

## Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-80 SR-43

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Wholesale Trade
- 3. Professional, Scientific and Technical Services

## 2017 Estimated Jobs:

6,500

## Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



## Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION    | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION                    | FROM                                  | то                                    | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Streetsboro | 1             | 21              | Cleveland - East Liverpool Rd (SR-14) | Streetsboro Rd (SR-303) West Junction | Streetsboro Rd (SR-303) East Junction | 0.36           | 38,600                      | 53               | 50                          | 3.51          |
| Streetsboro | 2             | 39              | Cleveland - East Liverpool Rd (SR-14) | I-480 Ramp to I-80                    | Streetsboro Rd (SR-303) West Junction | 1.18           | 32,730                      | 104              | 29                          | 2.46          |
| Streetsboro | 3             | 52              | Cleveland Canton Rd (SR-43)           | Streetsboro Rd (SR-303)               | Frost Rd                              | 1.51           | 20,140                      | 89               | 20                          | 2.67          |

## Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION    | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET   | INTERSECTING STREET                | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Streetsboro | 1             | 4               | Cleveland - East Liverpool Rd (SR-14)          | Brook Valley Trail / Shady Lake Dr | 27,030                              | 56               | 1.89          | 1.89              |
| Streetsboro | 2             | 9               | Cleveland - East Liverpool Rd (SR-14 / SR-303) | Cleveland Canton Rd (SR-43)        | 48,390                              | 132              | 2.49          | 1.45              |
| Streetsboro | 3             | 32              | Cleveland - East Liverpool Rd (SR-14)          | Mondial Pkwy / Singletary Dr       | 27,030                              | 50               | 1.69          | 1.48              |

## **Top Congested Locations**

The following table identifies the top segments / intersections in or near the job hub that have been identified in AMATS' 2020 Congestion Management Process report. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Name, Miles, Time (hour in which peak congestion occurs), AM / PM (half of day in which peak hour occurs), Type (description of section), Direction (direction of traffic flow), and % Free Flow (ratio of the speed traffic is traveling in relation to the free flow speed, or the speed at which unimpeded traffic can travel).

| LOCATION    | NAME   | MILES | TIME         | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ         | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|-------------|--|-------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Streetsboro | SR-14 from I-80 Ramps to SR-43                           | 1.391 | 4:45 - 5:45  | PM      | Arterial     | Eastbound  | 54.14       |
| Streetsboro | I-480 Southbound Ramp to I-80                            | 0.518 | 9:15 - 10:15 | PM      | Freeway Ramp | Southbound | 57.22       |
| Streetsboro | Aurora Hudson Rd from I-480 Southbound Ramps to Frost Rd | 0.198 | 7:00 - 8:00  | AM      | Arterial     | Eastbound  | 60.90       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### **Characteristics:**

The East Akron / Airport Job Hub is located in the southeast corner of Akron, between Springfield Township and downtown Akron. This job hub is home to 8,000 jobs in the areas of manufacturing, construction, and transportation and warehousing. This job hub is dense with employers benefiting from the nearby access to I-76 and US-224, including the Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company's World Headquarters. There are some nearby areas of congestion and a few high crash locations that could impact freight traffic. This job hub also benefits from access to the ABC Railway and Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway rail lines.

### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-76 US-224

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Construction
- 3. Transportation and Warehousing

## 2017 Estimated Jobs:

8,000

## Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



## Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION             | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION | FROM                                 | то                     | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Springfield Township | 2             | 13              | Canton Rd          | Sanitarium Rd (CR-136) West Junction | E Waterloo Rd (US-224) | 1.01           | 20,415                      | 83               | 28                          | 3.69          |
| Springfield Township | 3             | 30              | S Arlington Rd     | I-77 / Green North Corp Line         | Killian Rd (CR-135)    | 0.61           | 17,570                      | 53               | 29                          | 4.49          |
| Springfield Township | 4             | 56              | Canton Rd (SR-91)  | E Waterloo Rd (US-224)               | Akron South Corp Line  | 0.72           | 18,950                      | 37               | 17                          | 2.49          |

## Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION             | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                 | INTERSECTING STREET       | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Springfield Township | 2             | 69              | E Waterloo Rd (US-224) | Canton Rd (SR-91 / CR-66) | 36,955                              | 57               | 1.41          | 1.46              |
| Springfield Township | 6             | 206             | S Arlington Rd         | Killian Rd (CR-135)       | 23,625                              | 27               | 1.04          | 1.52              |
| Springfield Township | 7             | 249             | Gilchrist Rd           | Skeleton Rd               | 7,014                               | 10               | 1.30          | 1.60              |

## **Top Congested Locations**

The following table identifies the top segments / intersections in or near the job hub that have been identified in AMATS' 2020 Congestion Management Process report. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Name, Miles, Time (hour in which peak congestion occurs), AM / PM (half of day in which peak hour occurs), Type (description of section), Direction (direction of traffic flow), and % Free Flow (ratio of the speed traffic is traveling in relation to the free flow speed, or the speed at which unimpeded traffic can travel).

| LOCATION | NAME  | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ         | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|---|-------|-------------|---------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Akron    | Waterloo Rd (US-224) at George Washington Blvd (SR-241) | 0.04  | 2:00 - 3:00 | PM      | Intersection | Westbound | 51.63       |
| Akron    | Waterloo Rd (US-224) at George Washington Blvd (SR-241) | 0.04  | 7:15 - 8:15 | AM      | Intersection | Eastbound | 55.81       |
| Akron    | Waterloo Rd at Arlington St                             | 0.05  | 3:00 - 4:00 | PM      | Intersection | Eastbound | 63.99       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

### **Characteristics:**

With 4,000 jobs in finance and insurance, wholesale trade, and transportation warehousing, the Richfield Job Hub is an important regional job hub. This job hub sits in northwestern Summit County, a short drive to either Cleveland or Akron. The Crossroads Development District, a new development off of Wheatley Road, promises potential new businesses easy access to I-271 and I-77. In addition to recent improvements along Wheatley Road, the corridor boasts attractive amenities for employers. Congestion in the area is typically on nearby I-77.

## Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-77 I-271

### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Finance and Insurance
- 2. Wholesale Trade
- 3. Transportation and Warehousing

## 2017 Estimated Jobs:

4,000

## Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):



## Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION   | FROM | то             | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Richfield | 1             | 173             | Wheatley Rd (SR-176) | I-77 | Brecksville Rd | 0.60           | 10,651                      | 18               | 10                          | 2.58          |

## Top High Crash Intersections

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION  | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET                | INTERSECTING STREET                   | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Richfield | 1             | 125             | Broadview Rd (SR-176) | Wheatley Rd (SR-176) / Brecksville Rd | 16,661                              | 24               | 1.32          | 1.67              |

### **Top Congested Locations**

The following table identifies the top segments / intersections in or near the job hub that have been identified in AMATS' 2020 Congestion Management Process report. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Name, Miles, Time (hour in which peak congestion occurs), AM / PM (half of day in which peak hour occurs), Type (description of section), Direction (direction of traffic flow), and % Free Flow (ratio of the speed traffic is traveling in relation to the free flow speed, or the speed at which unimpeded traffic can travel).

| LOCATION  | NAME                          | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ         | DIRECTION  | % FREE FLOW |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|---------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Richfield | Wheatley Rd at Brecksville Rd | 0.07  | 5:30 - 6:30 | PM      | Intersection | Westbound  | 56.62       |
| Richfield | Brecksville Rd at Wheatley Rd | 0.07  | 5:15 - 6:15 | PM      | Intersection | Southbound | 57.86       |
| Richfield | Brecksville Rd at Wheatley Rd | 0.07  | 7:45 - 8:45 | AM      | Intersection | Northbound | 62.03       |
| Richfield | Wheatley Rd at Brecksville Rd | 0.07  | 5:00 - 6:00 | PM      | Intersection | Eastbound  | 64.51       |



2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

#### Characteristics:

The Hudson / Stow Job Hub is located north of Akron in Summit County. With 7,000 jobs in manufacturing, wholesale trade, and management of companies and enterprises this job hub is one of the larger hubs in the region. The corridor is near I-80 and is easily accessed by SR-8. The area boasts attractive amenities with vibrant residential communities. Anchored by JOANN Fabrics, this job hub includes several industrial parks with room for growth. Several high crash locations and intersections have been identified near the job hub, and congestion along SR-91 has the potential to impact freight traffic. This job hub also benefits from access to the Norfolk Southern Railway rail line.

### Key Freeway / Highway Access:

I-80 SR-8

#### Top 3 Job Types:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Wholesale Trade
- Management of Companies and Enterprises 3.

## 2017 Estimated Jobs:

7,000

## Surrounding Pavement Conditions (2018-2019):

Hudson



## Top High Crash Segments

The following table identifies the segments in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank *(rank within community)*, Overall Rank *(rank within AMATS)*, Roadway Section, From *(segment beginning location)*, To *(segment ending location)*, Length (MI), Average Daily Traffic, Total Crashes (2016-2018), Crashes per MI per YR, and Crash Rate *(crashes per million vehicle miles travelled)*.

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | ROADWAY<br>SECTION            | FROM                    | то                         | LENGTH<br>(MI) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MI<br>PER YR | CRASH<br>RATE |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Hudson   | 1             | 29              | W Streetsboro St (SR-303)     | Boston Mills Rd         | Main St (SR-91)            | 0.55           | 16,630                      | 45               | 27                          | 4.53          |
| Hudson   | 2             | 48              | N Main St (SR-91)             | Streetsboro St (SR-303) | Owen Brown St              | 0.23           | 17,590                      | 22               | 32                          | 4.93          |
| Hudson   | 3             | 134             | Darrow Rd / S Main St (SR-91) | Hudson Dr               | Streetsboro St (SR-303)    | 1.23           | 21,120                      | 89               | 15                          | 1.93          |
| Stow     | 1             | 18              | Graham Rd                     | Fishcreek Rd            | Stow East Corp Line        | 0.66           | 11,870                      | 53               | 25                          | 5.86          |
| Stow     | 2             | 24              | Graham Rd                     | Hudson Dr               | Silver Lake West Corp Line | 0.44           | 28,680                      | 104              | 36                          | 3.42          |
| Stow     | 3             | 64              | Kent Rd (SR-59)               | Fishcreek Rd            | Stow East Corp Line        | 0.35           | 18,930                      | 89               | 20                          | 2.89          |

### **Top High Crash Intersections**

The following table identifies the intersections in or near the job hub with the highest number of crashes based on recent crash history. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Local Rank (rank within community), Overall Rank (rank within AMATS), Street, Intersecting Street, Approach Average Daily Traffic (Average Daily Traffic entering Intersection), Total Crashes (2016–2019), Crash Rate (crashes per million approach vehicles), and Severity Index (weighted score based upon number of fatal, injury, or property damage only crashes for the intersection).

| LOCATION | LOCAL<br>RANK | OVERALL<br>RANK | STREET            | INTERSECTING STREET      | APPROACH<br>AVERAGE DAILY<br>TRAFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Hudson   | 1             | 85              | Hudson Dr         | Terex Rd                 | 12,160                              | 30               | 2.25          | 1.40              |
| Hudson   | 2             | 151             | Barlow Rd         | Terex Rd (West Junction) | 8,305                               | 15               | 1.65          | 1.67              |
| Hudson   | 3             | 238             | Darrow Rd (SR-91) | Terex Rd                 | 24,775                              | 30               | 1.11          | 1.33              |
| Stow     | 1             | 5               | Darrow Rd (SR-91) | Graham Rd                | 42,395                              | 96               | 2.07          | 1.58              |
| Stow     | 2             | 14              | Graham Rd         | Fishcreek Rd             | 28,155                              | 55               | 1.78          | 1.58              |
| Stow     | 3             | 149             | Kent Rd (SR-59)   | Darrow Rd (SR-91)        | 36,010                              | 41               | 1.04          | 1.54              |

## **Top Congested Locations**

The following table identifies the top segments / intersections in or near the job hub that have been identified in AMATS' 2020 Congestion Management Process report. The fields contained within the table are: Location, Name, Miles, Time (hour in which peak congestion occurs), AM / PM (half of day in which peak hour occurs), Type (description of section), Direction (direction of traffic flow), and % Free Flow (ratio of the speed traffic is traveling in relation to the free flow speed, or the speed at which unimpeded traffic can travel).

| LOCATION | NAME  | MILES | TIME        | AM / PM | ТҮРЕ     | DIRECTION | % FREE FLOW |
|----------|---|-------|-------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Stow     | Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater Pkwy to SR-8 | 0.266 | 5:00 - 6:00 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound | 52.70       |
| Hudson   | Terex Rd from Hudson Dr to Darrow Rd (SR-91)    | 0.147 | 4:00 - 5:00 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound | 57.80       |
| Stow     | Steels Corners Rd from SR-8 to Hudson Dr        | 0.246 | 5:00 - 6:00 | PM      | Arterial | Eastbound | 58.80       |
| Hudson   | Terex Rd from Darrow Rd (SR-91) to Hudson Dr    | 0.147 | 4:00 - 5:00 | PM      | Arterial | Westbound | 61.80       |



😔 2020 Freight Plan



😔 2020 Freight Plan

# Performance Measures

Current federal regulations require performance management to ensure that state DOTs and MPOs such as AMATS choose the most efficient investments for federal transportation funds.

Performance management focuses attention on national transportation goals, increases the accountability of federal fund programming, and improves project decision-making through performance-based planning. State DOTs and MPOs have established performance goals and will assess this performance over time. The USDOT requires that states and MPOs develop and assess performance measures for areas such as safety, infrastructure condition, traffic congestion, system reliability, vehicle emissions and freight movement.

Specifically, the USDOT will be assessing performance and pavement conditions on the National Highway System (NHS); bridge conditions on the NHS; fatalities and serious injuries (both the number and the rate per vehicle mile traveled) on all public roads; traffic congestion; mobile source emissions; and freight movement on the interstate system.

AMATS will continue to coordinate with ODOT to assess and review factors that influence the level of performance of various transportation modes, and periodically refine the performance targets that will be necessary to maintain or improve operational efficiency.

The assessment of freight performance is measured in terms of mobility and efficiency (travel time, delay and safety) and accessibility and connectivity. Bottlenecks and roadways (or corridors) with particularly high levels of freight movement are singled out for more detailed analysis in terms of the adopted performance measures and goals. The end result is to use performance measures to inform goals that are part of the Regional Transportation Plan. Projects that are essential to the movement of goods are then programmed into the TIP as a part of an integral process. See the AMATS Funding Policy Guidelines for a full discussion of the area's project selection process.

# Travel Time Reliability and Freight Movement Performance Measures

Federal rules 23 CFR 490.507 and 23 CFR 490.607 establish National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability measures. For both personal travel time reliability and freight travel time reliability measures, ODOT is required to establish 2-year and 4-year targets within a four year performance period. The two current (2020) targets are listed in Table 4-1 below:

## Table 4-1 | ODOT Travel Time Reliability Targets

Level of Travel Time Reliability

| TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY                    | 2-YEAR TARGET | 4-YEAR TARGET |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Interstate Travel Time Reliability         | 85%           | 85%           |
| Non-Interstate NHS Travel Time Reliability | N/A           | 80%           |

Level of Truck Travel Time Reliability

| TRUCK TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY            | 2-YEAR TARGET | 4-YEAR TARGET |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Interstate Truck Travel Time Reliability | < 1.50        | < 1.50        |

Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR) is defined as the ratio of the longer travel times (80th percentile) to a "normal" travel time (50th percentile). The measures are the percent of person-miles traveled on the relevant portion of the NHS that are reliable.

Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) is the ratio generated by dividing the 95th percentile travel time by the normal time (50th percentile) for each Interstate segment. The TTTR Index is established by multiplying each segment's largest ratio of five reporting periods by its length then dividing the sum of all length-weighted segments by the total length of Interstate.

The data to assess travel time reliability and establish targets is sourced from FHWA's National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS). ODOT is participating in FHWA's Performance Management Analytical Tool pooled fund where a contractor assists states in calculating NPMRDS travel time reliability metrics.

AMATS current performance is documented in the following Table 4-2:

# Table 4-2 | AMATS Travel Time Reliability

Level of Travel Time Reliability

| YEAR                   | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  | 2018  | AVERAGE |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Interstate TTR         | 97.6% | 96.5% | 97.6% | 98.6% | 98.5% | 97.8%   |
| Non-Interstate NHS TTR | 60.7% | 63.1% | 59.8% | 89.3# | 90.4% | 72.7%   |
| Interstate TTTR Index  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01    |

AMATS meets the performance targets for travel time reliability on the interstate system and on truck travel time. The AMATS non-interstate system meets the target as of 2017. Overall state of Ohio performance is documented in table 4-3 below:

# Table 4-3 | Ohio Travel Time Reliability

| YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 AVERAG |       |       |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Interstate TTR                       | 92.4% | 90.3% | 90.6% | 90.7% | 89.1% | 90.6% |  |  |  |
| Non-Interstate NHS TTR               | 68.5% | 67.4% | 66.8% | 90.5% | 90.1% | 76.7% |  |  |  |
| Interstate TTTR Index                | 1.46  | 1.48  | 1.45  | 1.34  | 1.38  | 1.42  |  |  |  |

Level of Travel Time Reliability

AMATS identifies 6 projects that will improve travel time reliability in the greater Akron area. The projects total \$85 million. These projects are also anticipated to benefit truck travel time reliability as well.

# Table 4-4 | TIP Projects (FY 2021-2024)

# Improving Travel Time Reliability

Level of Travel Time Reliability

| ROAD TYPE      | NUMBER OF PROJECTS | CONSTRUCTION \$ (MILLIONS) |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Interstate     | 2                  | \$67.6                     |
| Non-Interstate | 4                  | \$17.5                     |

A full discussion of the AMATS area's performance measures and targets can be found in *Appendix H: Performance Measures* of the *Transportation Improvement Program FY* 2021-2024 (adopted May 14, 2020).

# Recommendations

The highest priority needs in the AMATS area regarding freight movement involve improvements to the highway and rail systems. The AMATS *Highway Preservation Needs Report* and the *Congestion Management Process Report (CMP)* address the needs of the AMATS area in terms of highway improvements that streamline the flow of freight in the region. After studying existing and future levels of congestion, the CMP makes recommendations which are then considered for inclusion in the financially-constrained Transportation Outlook 2045.

Freight movement, by way of trucks, is heavily concentrated on freeways and major state routes. The number of trucks on these roads range from 50 to 15,000 trucks per day, with I-76 through Summit and Portage counties being the busiest freeway for trucks. Highway improvements such as the Central Interchange project will help improve the efficiency of freight movement on the area's roadways. Recommended grade separations will reduce delays and eliminate conflicts between trains and automobiles.

Since the approval of the current 2016 Freight Plan in May 2016, ODOT has completed improvements to the ramp from I-76 EB to I-277 NB at the south end of the Kenmore Leg (safety issue related to crashes) to meet modern standards and geometrics. But the largest current project presently under construction is the new South Main/Broadway interchange with I-76/77 near downtown Akron. This \$113 million project includes removing interchanges at Wolf Ledges Parkway and Grant Street, and reconstructing access points and re-aligning Main Street and Broadway.

In addition, there are several upcoming projects that will aid in the improvement of the overall freight network. These projects include:

- I-76 / I-77 / SR-8 Pavement Replacement and Lane Add Project in Akron (I-76 from Kenmore Leg to Princeton St, I-77 from Waterloo Rd to Lafollette St and Kenmore Leg to North of Vernon Odom Blvd, SR-8 from I-76 / I-77 to Perkins St)
- I-77 / I-277 / US-224 Interchange improvement and additional lanes (I-77 from Arlington Rd to US-224)
- SR-8 Bridge Replacement (SR-8 High Level Bridge over Cuyahoga River Valley)

## **Roadway Recommendations**

- Prioritize safety improvements near heavy freight corridors to relieve non-recurring congestion in Transportation Outlook 2045.
- Prioritize operational improvements in heavy freight corridors that would improve recurring congestion in Transportation Outlook 2045.

## **Rail Recommendations**

- Provide support or engage in public-private partnerships to alleviate congestion on rail lines identified in the *Ohio Statewide Rail Plan* and the *Ohio Freight Rail Choke Point Study*.
  - Improve the CSX Lambert (Southwest Akron) to Warwick section near Clinton. This section of single track handles large amounts of two-way traffic. When trains are backed up, at-grade crossings are blocked to vehicular traffic. This situation also poses a danger to public safety in the area, as emergency vehicles cannot pass. Estimated cost: \$10.9 million
  - Improve the NS Cleveland to Pittsburgh Line that passes through Macedonia, Hudson and Ravenna on its way to Alliance. The segment in Macedonia remains congested along a length of the rail line.
  - Improve the Norfolk-Southern line in Hudson to prevent trains from idling on the Hines Hill Road at-grade crossing and blocking emergency vehicle access.
- Preserve out of service rail lines for future rail use and promote bike and pedestrian trails adjacent to rail lines.
- Consider public/private partnerships with the rail companies in order to improve freight service in the area.

# Railroad-Highway Grade Separation Recommendations

Railroad-highway intersections are a source of congestion and safety concerns. The strategies for alleviating congestion and improving safety were discussed earlier in the report. When feasible, separating the railroad and highway provides the greatest benefit. The highest priority grade separation locations in the AMATS area are:

- The Stow Road crossing of the Norfolk-Southern Line in Hudson
- The North Main Street (SR-91) crossing of the CSX Line in Munroe Falls

# Conclusion

The efficient movement of goods is of paramount importance to a region's economy. The consideration of freight is critical to the transportation planning process to ensure the transportation network promotes multimodal freight movement. The AMATS Freight Report's recommended strategies to improve the freight network in the AMATS region will strengthen the freight network and improve its safety and efficiency. Recommendations made in the 2020 Freight Report will be considered for inclusion in *Transportation Outlook 2045*.





E-Mail: amats@akronohio.gov

The 2020 Freight Plan is published by: Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study 161 S High St | Suite 201 Akron, Ohio 44308-1423 Editorial comments are welcome.

Director: Curtis Baker

Please visit our website at: www.amatsplanning.org

Phone: 330-375-2436 | Fax: 330-375-2275

This report was prepared by the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Ohio Department of Transportation, and the Village, City and County governemtns of Portage and Summit Counties and a portion of Wayne County.

The contents of this report reflect the views of AMATS, which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view and policies of the Ohio and/or U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

## AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## M E M O R A N D U M

| TO: | Policy Committee                      |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
|     | <b>Technical Advisory Committee</b>   |
|     | <b>Citizens Involvement Committee</b> |
|     |                                       |

FROM: AMATS Staff

**RE:** Draft Congestion Management Process Report

DATE: September 10, 2020

The Draft AMATS Congestion Management Process Report (CMP) identifies existing congestion on our region's freeways, arterials and intersections. It also examines public transit levels of service availability, freight needs, and the impact that crashes have on congestion. The report discusses performance measures for travel time reliability, truck travel time reliability, peak hour excessive delay and non-single occupancy vehicle travel. It identifies demand and supply-side strategies to manage regional congestion. In the final sections, it defines specific recommendations to address congested locations and evaluates strategy effectiveness based on past projects.

This is the first AMATS CMP that uses GPS device tracking technology to calculate congestion. Historically, traffic congestion was measured using a volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio. This ratio is based on the volume of traffic during peak hours versus the capacity of the roadway. New technology has enabled traffic engineers and planners to obtain traffic data through tracking actual vehicle movement using cell phone and other GPS devices in vehicles. Traffic data collected in this manner is done over months or years and is more representative than data collected over just one or two days.

The Draft CMP includes highway recommendations and various transit, freight, and system-wide recommendations. These recommendations will be considered for inclusion in the upcoming 2045 Regional Transportation Plan based on fiscal constraint, cost benefit ratio, and the changing demand for transportation.

The AMATS staff will bring the Final CMP for Approval in December, 2020.



# 2020 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS ember METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY SOUTH HIGH STREET, SUITE 201 KRON OHIO 44308

This report is the product of a study financed (in part) by the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study which is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

Cooperative transportation planning by the Village, City and County governments of Portage and Summit Counties and the Chippewa and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Ohio Department of Transportation.

# Table of Contents

| Introduction   |
|--|
| The Congestion Management Process                                    |
| Regional Objectives  |
| The CMP Network  |
| Map 1-1   Congestion Management Network                              |
| Multimodal Performance Measures                                      |
| Collect Data and Monitor System Performance                          |
| Analyze Congestion Problems and Needs                                |
| Identify and Assess Strategies                                       |
| Program and Implement Strategies                                     |
| Evaluate Strategy Effectiveness                                      |
| What is Congestion?  |
| Components of Congestion   |
| Recurring and Non-Recurring Congestion                               |
| Recurring Congestion   |
| Non-Recurring Congestion   |
| Congestion Caused by Trucks  |
| Congestion Caused by Railroad Grade Crossings                        |
| Roadway Methodology and Analysis                                     |
| Transit Methodology and Analysis                                     |
| Transit Headway Performance Analysis9                                |
| Headway Performance Analysis9  |
| Table 4-1   PARTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis    10     |
| Table 4-2   METRO RTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis    11 |
| On-Time Performance Analysis12                                       |
| Table 4-3   PARTA On-Time Performance Analysis 12                    |
| Table 4-4   METRO RTA On-Time Performance Analysis 12                |

| Freight Methodology and Analysis  |
|---|
| Table 5.1   Job Hub Congretion Locations 13   |
| Map 5 1   Job Hube  |
| Deilunya 15   |
| Table 5-2   High-Volume At-Grade Crossings 15   |
| Incident-Related Traffic Congestion   |
| Arterials   |
| Intersections   |
| Freeways  |
| Table 6-1   High Crash Roadway Segments 2016-2018 17                                  |
| Map 6-1   Top 50 High-Crash Roadway Sections  |
| Table 6-2   High Crash Intersections 2016-2018 19                                     |
| Map 6-2   Top 50 High-Crash Intersections   |
| Map 6-3   Incident-Related and Recurrent Congestion                                   |
| Map 6-4   Incident-Related Congestion on Freeways                                     |
| Performance Measures  |
| Travel Time Reliability and Freight Movement Performance Measures                     |
| Table 7-1   ODOT Travel Time Reliability Targets 23                                   |
| Table 7-2   AMATS Travel Time Reliability 23  |
| Table 7-3   Ohio Travel Time Reliability 24   |
| Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED)  |
| Mode Share (Non-SOV Travel)   |
| Table 7-4   Peak Hour Excessive Delay and Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle Travel24       |
| Chart 7-1   Cleveland Urbanized Area: MAP-21 Peak Hours Excessive Delay per Capita 25 |
| Chart 7-2   Cleveland Urbanized Area: Annual % of Non-Single Occupant Vehicles25      |

| Congestion Management Strategies and Assessments                      | 26 |
|---|----|
| Tier 1: Demand Management   |    |
| Tier 2: Traffic and Roadway Operational Improvements                  |    |
| Tier 3: Public Transportation (Transit) Improvements                  |    |
| Tier 4: ITS Strategies  |    |
| Tier 5: Capacity Expansion  |    |
| Table 8-1   Congestion Management Strategies                          |    |
| Evaluation of Strategies  |    |
| Congestion Management Recommendations                                 | 29 |
| Roadways  |    |
| Freeway Segments  |    |
| Freeway Ramps   |    |
| Freeway Interchanges  |    |
| Arterial Segments   |    |
| Intersections   |    |
| Table 9-1   Freeway Segment Recommendations                           |    |
| Table 9-2   Freeway Ramp Recommendations                              |    |
| Table 9-3   Freeway Interchange Recommendations                       |    |
| Table 9-4   Arterial Segment Recommendations                          |    |
| Table 9-5   Intersection Recommendations                              |    |
| Map 9-1   Congested Freeway Segments                                  |    |
| Map 9-2   Congested Freeway Ramps                                     |    |
| Map 9-3   Congested Freeway Interchanges                              |    |
| Map 9-4   Congested Arterial Segments                                 |    |
| Map 9-5   Congested Intersections                                     |    |
| Public Transit  |    |
| Increased Service Frequency (Headways)                                |    |
| Consider Transit Oriented Development                                 |    |
| Continued Support for NEORide – Cross County Service and Coordination |    |
| Freight (Trucks and Railroads)  | 41 |

| Evaluating Strategy Effectiveness                                   |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Table 10-1    Evaluation of Strategies Effectiveness and Congestion | . 43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusion  | 15   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix  | 16   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table A-1   CMP Final Analysis Segments                             | . 46 |  |  |  |  |  |

# Introduction

In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic rapidly altered future transportation assumptions. In the opening months of the pandemic, many businesses were forced to temporarily close or radically change their operations. Statewide stay-at-home orders encouraged people to stay home, schools shifted to virtual classes and employees worked from home if they were able.

Data collected during the first half of 2020 indicated that traffic volumes fell over 40 percent. Transit ridership fell 60 percent. Demand for bicycles left stores with empty shelves and park usage increased. Many normally congested highways flowed smoothly as adjacent parking lots for malls, plazas, schools, and office buildings were nearly empty. While most stay-at-home orders have expired, the question has become what does the future look like? Many businesses have committed to keeping employees home for the foreseeable future. Others have returned to business as usual. What will be the long term impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on transportation?

The Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Akron metropolitan area. One of the primary duties of AMATS is to identify congestion in the region, as well as to provide solutions to reduce or eliminate it. More than just a daily inconvenience, congestion affects the overall economy, reducing our ability to travel reliably to work, school and to complete the timely delivery of goods and services. Idling vehicles emit unnecessary pollutants into the atmosphere and waste costly and limited fuel.

This AMATS 2020 Congestion Management Process (CMP) identifies existing congestion on our region's arterials, intersections, freeways, freeway interchanges, and ramps. It examines public transit levels of service availability and freight needs. It also isolates and examines congestion related to traffic incidents. Later sections identify demand and supply-side strategies, as well as other strategies to manage regional congestion. In the final section, specific recommendations to address congested areas will be presented.

1

# The Congestion Management Process

The Congestion Management Process (CMP) is a federally required effort for metropolitan areas that are designated as Transportation Management Areas (TMAs). A TMA is a Census Bureau designated urban area with more than 200,000 residents. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) defines a CMP as: "a systematic and regionally accepted approach for managing congestion that provides accurate, up-to-date information on transportation system performance and assesses alternative strategies for congestion management that meets state and local needs."

Each CMP is required to include the following criteria per the Congestion Management Process: A Guidebook by FHWA and CFR 450.322(a).

- 1. Develop regional objectives
- 2. Define the CMP network
- 3. Develop multimodal performance measures
- 4. Collect data and monitor system performance
- 5. Analyze congestion problems and needs
- 6. Identify and assess strategies
- 7. Program and implement strategies
- 8. Evaluate strategy effectiveness

A sound, effective CMP integrates with the entire metropolitan planning process, working to achieve the goals and objectives outlined in the long-range transportation plan and influencing the prioritization and programming of projects for the shortand medium-term. CMPs provide transparent structure and information to decisionmakers by analyzing system performance and assessing alternative strategies to improve performance. Strategies are attainable policies or projects that are tailored to local, state, and regional needs.

A periodic congestion performance report is published describing the change in federal performance measures. The performance report identifies effective strategies for congestion management, enabling the region to methodically improve system performance.

# **Regional Objectives**

The objective of the CMP is to identify and minimize congestion and delay on the transportation system. Minimizing congestion and delay will improve the efficiency of the movement of people and goods. Congestion management objectives define what the region wants to achieve regarding congestion management, and are an essential part of an objectives-driven, performance-based approach to planning for operations. Congestion management objectives should serve as one of the primary points of

connection between the CMP and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), and will serve as a basis for defining the direction of the CMP and performance measures that are used. The development of congestion management objectives should rely heavily on stakeholder participation and an understanding of the needs and desires of the public related to congestion. Traditionally, the CMP has often focused on capacity issues, and used engineering measures focused on motor vehicles, such as volume-to-capacity ratios. In defining appropriate congestion management objectives, planners and decision-makers should consider the following questions:

- What does the public really care about with regard to congestion?
- How high of a priority is traffic congestion in the region?
- What type of congestion is most problematic for the public and freight shippers?
- What aspects of congestion are most important to address to support livability, safety, and economic vitality, among other goals?

Regional objectives should ideally focus on outcomes – such as hours of delay, system reliability, and access to traveler information. However, they may also be written using output measures – such as incident clearance time or number of traffic signals retimed annually. In all cases, objectives should be stated in a way that meaningful performance measures can be derived from the objectives. An ideal objective should be **SMART**: **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**greed, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime restricted.

# The CMP Network

The roadway network considered for the CMP analysis is made up of 540 miles of roadways in the Akron metropolitan area and is shown on Map 1-1. The following roadways are included in the network for the CMP:

- All roadways included on the National Highway System
- All roadways classified as Principal Arterials in the Federal Functional Classification System
- Major intersections that experience high traffic volumes
- All roadways identified as potential congestion problems by the AMATS Policy Committee
- Other roadways to ensure a continuous CMP highway system

Although the CMP has traditionally focused primarily on the road network, the CMP network should consider the transit, bicycle, and pedestrian networks as well as their interface with the highway network. Doing so can help take advantage of strategies that rely upon the other modes to reduce single occupancy vehicle (SOV) travel. Typically,



3020 Congestion Management Process

collectors and local roadways are not included in the roadway analysis of the CMP since it would be time-consuming to address these roadways and they generally have relatively low traffic volumes and congestion levels; however, these facilities should still be considered as potential bicycle, pedestrian, or transit corridors.

## Multimodal Performance Measures

Performance measures are a critical component of the CMP. According to Federal regulations, the CMP must include "appropriate performance measures to assess the extent of congestion and support the evaluation of the effectiveness of congestion reduction and mobility enhancement strategies for the movement of people and goods." Performance measures can be used at the regional level and the local level. At the regional level, performance measures can be used to compare plan alternatives in the development of the MTP. At the local level, performance measures are used to identify locations currently experiencing or anticipated to experience congestion problems in the future. They also are used to support assessment and selection of congestion mitigation strategies and evaluation of implemented strategies. Transit performance measures provide information on the conditions experienced by transit travelers. Aspects of transit travel conditions include:

- Passenger crowding or utilization measured by passenger loads relative to vehicle capacities
- Reliability of performance or schedule adherence measured by percentage of on-time performance

Freight performance measures focus on the movement of goods that generally utilize other types of performance measures but focus on roadways with a high volume of trucks or designated as freight corridors.

## **Collect Data and Monitor System Performance**

There are many types of data that can be used as part of the CMP process. The following list is not exhaustive, but includes several common types of data that are used in the CMP.

**Traffic Volume Counts** – It is necessary to collect traffic data in order to measure the performance of the transportation system. Traffic counts are taken on a regular basis on the roadway network. AMATS and ODOT coordinate traffic data collection efforts to make sure all necessary highways are included. This data is then used as an input to model traffic congestion on the existing and future roadway network. Public transit information was received from both public transit agencies (METRO RTA

and PARTA) within the AMATS area. This information is summarized in chapter 4. Freight analysis can be found in chapter 5. The CMP focuses on traffic congestion that is identified both at specific locations and at the system level.

**Electronic Traffic Datasets** – Cell phone data collected by phone companies along highway corridors can be used to report travel speeds and origin-destination data. Cellular service providers and joint ventures with other private companies have begun to offer this service to some transportation agencies. This CMP report utilized data provided through INRIX and Streetlight.

**Transit Data** – A wide range of transit data is available and gathered from transit agencies, including boarding and alighting statistics, total ridership, on-time performance, and transit vehicle capacity. Public transit information was received from both transit agencies (METRO RTA and PARTA) within the AMATS area.

**Bicycle / Pedestrian Data** – Many MPOs collect data on the location and condition of bicycle/pedestrian facilities, such as sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and off-road paths. AMATS collects count information on the use of bicycle and pedestrian facilities, either manually or through the use of Miovision technology.

**Crash Data** – AMATS publishes an annual report detailing traffic crashes in our region; the latest version being published in December 2019. Traffic Crashes 2016-2018 analyzed traffic crashes for arterials and intersections between 2016 and 2018, utilizing crash records provided by the Ohio Department of Public Safety (ODPS) and the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) for the years 2016, 2017 and 2018. This report is useful in determining locations where non-recurring congestion due to incidents is likely to occur.

## Analyze Congestion Problems and Needs

Data collected must be translated into meaningful measures of performance. Specific locations with congestion problems need to be identified along with the sources of these problems. The complexity of translating data into meaningful information for analysis varies. Sometimes it takes time to understand the data and how to process it. One example is the use of electronic cell phone data. This data is collected continuously and represents a large volume of data that must be collapsed into some form that provides useful information. While this type of data can be extremely helpful to MPOs in understanding reliability issues and sources of delay, considerable effort may be needed to convert the data into a useful format for planning purposes.

## Identify and Assess Strategies

The identification and assessment of appropriate congestion mitigation strategies is a key component of the CMP. AMATS now needs to turn the data and analysis into a set of recommended solutions to effectively manage congestion and achieve congestion management objectives. One size does not fit all and congestion management strategies need to be designed according to the specific characteristics of the highway and adjacent area. These strategies are categorized into five tiers, ranked generally by efficacy of mitigating congestion:

Tier 1: Demand management Tier 2: Traffic and roadway operational improvements Tier 3: Public Transportation and multi-model improvements Tier 4: ITS Strategies Tier 5: Capacity expansion

## **Program and Implement Strategies**

Implementation of CMP strategies occurs on three levels: system or regional, corridor, and project. Regional-level implementation of congestion management strategies occurs through inclusion of strategies in the fiscally-constrained MTP and the TIP. At the corridor level, more specific strategies such as bicycle and pedestrian improvements and operational improvements can be assessed in studies and implemented using a variety of funding sources such as Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) program and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program. Scoring systems could treat projects differently based on location or strategy type according to congestion levels, or community goals. For instance, more points might be allotted to projects in very congested locations, or, specifically to certain types of projects in the urban core than to projects in areas where further development is not desired.

## **Evaluate Strategy Effectiveness**

Evaluation of strategy effectiveness can be seen as either a sequential step within the CMP process or as an on-going process. This is an essential, required element of the CMP that is often overlooked. The primary goal of this action is to ensure that implemented strategies are effective at addressing congestion as intended, and to make changes based on the findings as necessary. Two general approaches are used for this type of analysis:

• System-level performance evaluation - Regional analysis of historical trends to identify improvement or degradation in system performance, in relation to

objectives; and

• Strategy effectiveness evaluation - Project-level or program-level analysis of conditions before and after the implementation of a congestion mitigation effort

Findings that show improvement in congested conditions due to specific implemented strategies can be used to encourage further implementation of these strategies, while negative findings may be useful for discouraging similar strategies in similar situations.

# What is Congestion?

One of the critical and complex tasks of the CMP is to define congestion. Studies have shown that congestion is a relative rather than an absolute condition. People "feel" roads are congested at different levels of operations. Technically, congestion occurs when the number of vehicles on a facility exceeds the maximum number of vehicles that a roadway or intersection can accommodate at that point in time, whether because of the physical limitations of the facility or because an event (such as rain) has temporarily hindered vehicular movement. Traffic congestion is characterized by slower speeds, longer trip times, vehicular queueing, travel time uncertainty, and increased traffic collisions.

## **Components of Congestion**

While it is difficult to use a single value to describe all individuals' concerns about congestion, there are four components that interact in a congested roadway or system. These components vary among and within urban areas - smaller urban areas, for example, have shorter durations of congestion than larger areas.

Duration – this is how much time congestion affects the travel system.

Extent – this is an estimate of the number of people or vehicles affected by congestion, and by the geographic distribution of congestion.

Intensity – this is the severity of the congestion that affects travel. It is typically used to differentiate between levels of congestion on transportation systems and to define the total amount of congestion.

**Reliability** – this is the variation in the other three elements. Reliability is a measure of the extent to which the traveler's experience matches their expectation. The variable is the impact of non-recurrent congestion on the transportation system.

## Recurring and Non-Recurring Congestion

Research into travelers' views of congestion has shown that predictable travel times are a primary concern. Having reliable travel time is a crucial factor affecting traveler behaviors, including choices of route, departure time, and mode. One commonly accepted definition of travel time reliability, given by the Federal Highway Administration, states that "Drivers are used to congestion and they expect and plan for some delay, but most travelers are less tolerant of unexpected delays. Travel time reliability measures the extent of this unexpected delay." Travelers and firms may account for the variability in their trips and transport of goods by building in timebuffers as insurance against late arrival. This implies that the consequences of late arrivals are costly. Congestion is broadly categorized as either recurring (predictable) or non-recurring (unpredictable) congestion. Congestion, both recurring and non-

recurring, vary significantly depending on the season, day of the week, and even time of day. Furthermore, both recurring and nonrecurring congestion may occur at the same time, exacerbating any event.

### **Recurring Congestion**

Recurring congestion is congestion that occurs repeatedly at predictable times and locations, e.g. at bottlenecks or on corridors with poorly coordinated traffic signals, usually during the peak hour periods. Simply put, recurring congestion occurs because travel demand exceeds system capacity. There are many strategies available to mitigate this type of congestion through demand management, operational improvements, and multimodal strategies. Integration of land-use and transportation decisions enables agencies to coordinate efforts to address this demand side of congestion. Elimination of all recurring congestion may not be either feasible (due to physical and financial constraints) or desirable (in terms of the implications to community of unfettered vehicular travel). Recurring congestion is generally considered the least frustrating because its effects are known and can be planned for.

## Non-Recurring Congestion

In contrast, non-recurring congestion incidents can occur at any time, including during non-peak travel times, and is often associated with traffic crashes, weather events, special events, work zones, and emergencies. This is the congestion that most often frustrates people. It is especially bad when a non-recurring incident magnifies the magnitude and extent of congestion during "normal" recurring congestion. Nonrecurring congestion is difficult to address without proper prior planning. The sources of non-recurring congestion are broad:

- Roadway debris
- Roadway construction and maintenance work zones
- Inclement weather
- Disabled vehicles
- Law enforcement activities
- Traffic crashes
- Special events

The chart to the right illustrates the distribution of the various types of congestion on U.S. transportation networks.



#### 2020 Congestion Management Process

## Congestion Caused by Trucks

Trucks are often slower to get moving; therefore, they can add to the length of congestion time. Once slowed down a truck will take longer to get started than a passenger car. Roadways with high volumes of truck might be more congested then those that are almost exclusively passenger cars. It is very important to recognize corridors with high percentage of trucks when analyzing congestion. Truck freight movement is very important to keep the economy thriving.

## Congestion Caused by Railroad Grade Crossings

An at-grade crossing is where a railway and roadway intersect. The AMATS area has a number of at-grade crossings with significant train and vehicle volumes. This source of congestion is often overlooked when addressing congestion. When a passing train delays traffic on a busy roadway it creates a large platoon of vehicles that cause problems throughout the roadway network. Ideally, highway-rail grade crossings would be separated if feasible.

# Roadway Methodology and Analysis

Historically traffic congestion in the AMATS CMP was measured by using a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. This ratio is based on the volume of traffic during peak hours verses the capacity of the roadway. This method requires the collecting traffic volume data and knowing the physical characteristics of the highway itself. However in recent years new technology has enabled traffic engineers and planners to obtain traffic data through the collection of cell phone and other GPS device location data. Traffic data collected in this manner is done over a period of months or years and is more representative than data collected over one or two days. Once collected this data is aggregated and analyzed to provide transportation analysis.

INRIX is one such aggregator and monitors real-time traffic flow on some 260,000 miles of roadway in the United States. INRIX data is made available to AMATS through the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT). As real-time data is collected it is then stored and becomes historical data. The data used for this CMP Report was collected over the calendar year of 2017.

The first analysis portion of the CMP was done using data from the INRIX platform. However there were some streets and roads that needed analyzed that INRIX did not have data for. For those remaining segments AMATS used another application called Streetlight. This application uses the same principles that INRIX allowed AMATS to develop unique highway segments for analysis. The analysis process is different but the results are calculated using a similar methodology as INRIX.

The segment lengths using INRIX are predetermined and cannot be altered. In Summit and Portage counties there were 1,627 predetermined segments. The Streetlight platform allows AMATS to identify termini for segments. AMATS used segments used in previous CMP reports as a guideline when defining Streetlight segments. Once INRIX and Streetlight data was combined, AMATS further defined the segments as: Freeway Segments, Freeway Ramps, Freeway Interchanges, Arterial Segments, and Intersections.

Each segment that is bi-directional is analyzed by direction. For example, if a segment runs east and west it is analyzed in the westbound direction and the eastbound direction separately. The congestion analyses focused on three time periods:

- Morning from 5:00 AM to 11:00 AM
- Mid-day from 11:00 AM to 4:00 PM
- Evening from 4:00 PM to 10:00 PM

The daily AM peak and the PM peak were derived from the morning and evening time periods. Some areas that have a high concentration of restaurants and retail businesses may also have a mid-day peak and these were also considered. Only weekdays were used since this is when most recurring congestion occurs. The roadway network considered for the CMP analysis is made up of 540 miles of roadways in the Akron metropolitan area and is shown on Map 1-1, in Chapter 1). The following roadways are included in the network for the CMP analysis:

- · All roadways included on the National Highway System
- All roadways classified as Principal Arterials in the Federal Functional Classification System
- Major intersections that experience high traffic volumes
- All roadways identified as potential congestion problems by the AMATS Policy Committee
- Other roadways to ensure a continuous CMP highway system

Next congestion was determined. According to INRIX the definition of congestion is anytime the travel speed falls below 65 percent of the free-flow speed. The free flow speed is determined by measuring what the speed is when the traffic is presumed to be flowing unrestricted. The free-flow speed is not the speed limit. The free-flow speed on a highway that has a posted speed limit of 55 miles per hour (mph) would normally be between 60 and 65 mph. The 65 percent of free-flow speed was chosen for all roadway segment type except freeway segments. AMATS decided to use 75 percent of free-flow speed on freeway segments given their higher speed and smaller changes can have a greater impact on the system.

The speeds were compiled in 15 minute periods and averaged over the entire year for the same day and time period. If an event such as an accident or construction activity slowed traffic just temporarily the other days would average out that event. In the analysis the real speed has to be less than 65 percent (75 percent for freeway segments) of the free-flow speed averaged over four consecutive 15 minute periods or one hour to be considered congested.

Based on the methodology described above, AMATS completed a roadway analysis. A comprehensive listing of all freeway segments, freeway ramps, freeway interchanges, arterial segments, and intersections analyzed is listed in Appendix A. All congested roadways are listed in the recommendations chapter sorted from most to least congested. Recommendations for improving these congested segments are in Chapter 10 of this report.

# Transit Methodology and Analysis

Funding and promoting transit is a key element in a multi-modal transportation system. With a reliable and efficient transit system in place, overall roadway congestion can be relieved. Improving transit operations, improving access to transit, and expanding transit service can help reduce the number of vehicles on the road by making transit more attractive and accessible. In this chapter transit level of service has been analyzed. It is discussed more fully in the AMATS *2020 Transit Plan*.

There are two primary providers of public transportation in our region: METRO RTA, which serves Summit County, and the Portage Area Regional Transportation Authority (PARTA), which serves Portage County. Both agencies operate traditional fixed-route bus service, demand-response services for low-income, elderly and disabled passengers, and express bus service to key communities, such as Cleveland. AMATS assists these local transit agencies in providing the best possible public transportation service for the greater Akron area.

While passenger overcrowding is not a general system-wide issue in our area, ontime performance and reliability are important to effective transit service. Transit on-time performance is affected by congestion on the roadway as well as passenger loading and unloading, payment, etc. Congested transit routes can lead to poor ontime performance and unreliability of the transit network. This is an issue to those who rely on transit, especially vulnerable populations such as individuals and families living in poverty, older adults, and the disabled. When transit is efficient and reliable, it can provide an effective alternative to single occupancy vehicle travel and help reduce traffic congestion.

# Transit Headway Performance Analysis

In previous reports, AMATS staff has analyzed the Level of Service of routes for both METRO RTA and PARTA. For this report, a different approach has been used that better characterizes the service that is provided by these transit agencies.

Superior Performance - Frequent service, passengers don't need schedules

Acceptable Performance – Service unattractive to choice riders, maximum desirable wait time

Potential Service Improvement - Extended wait time, service unattractive to all riders

## Headway Performance Analysis

METRO's Downtown Akron Shuttle (DASH) service is listed as Superior Performance. The DASH is a recent addition to METRO's service, and provides frequent service every 10 minutes between the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. as well as 15 minute service

on weekday evenings. The route quite often attracts choice riders - people who have a car or another transportation option. Attracting choice riders to transit helps alleviate traffic congestion, particularly during peak periods of travel.

METRO RTA shows the vast majority of their routes as Potential Service Improvement, which means that passengers are waiting a minimum of 30 minutes for the bus to pick them up, and many of them are waiting even longer. The majority of routes after 6 pm have extended wait times, which make them unattractive to riders, while some routes don't run at all. This creates a burden for those workers whose shift ends after this time. Retail and restaurant workers who very often return home in the evening or late at night are more likely to use transit due to low-wage jobs, and have to wait over an hour for a bus. METRO could work to improve the headways for second-shift workers by adding buses to routes in the evening; however this would require large capital and operating expenses.

METRO is in the process of collecting feedback for their Strategic Plan, which they expect to complete in the summer of 2020. Additionally, they are currently exploring the idea of adding a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridor to the Akron area. This could greatly improve the overall LOS for the agency. The costs for BRT are lower than for light passenger rail service.

Characteristics of BRT may include:

- Dedicated Bus Lanes
- Signal preemption
- Increased Bus Frequency
- Off-board Fare Collection
- Platform-level boarding

METRO anticipates a decision by early 2021 regarding the feasibility of a BRT being added to their system. A consultant has already been hired and the process has commenced.

PARTA removed three routes and added one since the last time their Headway Performance was studied in 2016. About half of the routes are split between being Superior Performance and Acceptable Performance. This means that riders wait at most 15 minutes for the bus, making the service attractive to most transit riders. The other half of the routes is listed as Potential Service Improvement. Although this type of service is extremely undesirable due to its extended wait times, and choice riders would likely find another form of transportation, these routes provide the opportunity to increase bus service and attract new riders. In 2016, PARTA had more routes operating frequently, but had some routes that didn't run at all in the evenings. Now, all routes run approximately 16 hours a day on weekdays, with limited service on the weekends. This change could mean that there are fewer buses to go around, reducing the frequency for bus service and contributing to the reduction in performance. More buses and more drivers are a costly solution, but would give the routes increased frequency.

| ROUTE #        | DESCRIPTION                        | AM PEAK<br>(7-9 AM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | DAYTIME<br>(9AM - 4PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | PM PEAK<br>(4-6 PM)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE | EVENING<br>(7 PM +)<br>AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | HEADWAY<br>PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| COUNTY SERVICE |                                    |  |                        |   |                        |  |                        |  |                        |  |  |  |
| 30             | Interurban West (Kent to Stow)     | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 38   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 35             | Interurban East (Kent to Ravenna)  | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 38   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 40             | Suburban North (Kent)              | 45   | PSI                    | 45  | PSI                    | 45   | PSI                    | 65   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 45             | Suburban South (Kent)              | 45   | PSI                    | 45  | PSI                    | 45   | PSI                    | 58   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 60             | Black Squirrel                     | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | -  | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 70             | Windham / Garrettsville            | 105  | PSI                    | 105   | PSI                    | 105  | PSI                    | 105  | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 80             | Raven West (Ravenna)               | 60   | PSI                    | 60  | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 85             | Raven East (Ravenna)               | 60   | PSI                    | 60  | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    | 60   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 90             | Akron Express                      | 90   | PSI                    | 105   | PSI                    | 90   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 100            | Cleveland Express                  |  |                        |   |                        |  |                        |  |                        |  |  |  |
|                |                                    |  |                        | CAMPUS  | SERVICE                |  |                        |  |                        |  |  |  |
| 51             | Campus Loop                        | 21   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 15   | AP                     | 30   | AP                     |  |  |  |
| 53             | Reverse Loop                       | 30   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | 30   | AP                     |  |  |  |
| 55             | Allerton                           | 15   | AP                     | 15  | AP                     | 15   | AP                     | 15   | AP                     |  |  |  |
| 57             | Stadium Loop (Summer / KSU Breaks) | 30   | AP                     | 30  | AP                     | 30   | AP                     | -  | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 58             | Summit East / Front Campus         | 8  | SP                     | 8   | SP                     | 12   | SP                     | 31   | PSI                    |  |  |  |
| 59             | Stadium Night Loop                 | -  | PSI                    | -   | PSI                    | -  | PSI                    | 30   | AP                     |  |  |  |

#### Table 4-1 | PARTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis
|         |                                   | AM PEAK<br>(7.0.AM) |                        | DAYTIME               |                        | PM PEAK   |                        | EVENING   |             |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                       |                     | HEADWAY<br>DEDEODMANCE | (9AM - 4PM)           | HEADWAY<br>DEDEODMANCE |           | HEADWAY<br>DEDEODMANCE | (6 PWI +) | HEADWAY     |
|         |                                   | (MINS)              | PERFORMANCE            | AVG HEADWAY<br>(MINS) | PERFORMANCE            | (MINS)    | PERFORMANCE            | (MINS)    | PERFORMANCE |
|         |                                   | (1/11/0)            |                        |                       | ROUTES                 | (141113)  |                        | (1911145) |             |
| 1       | West Market                       | 21                  | AP                     | 21                    | AP                     | 31        | PSI                    | 36        | PSI         |
| 2       | Arlington                         | 22                  | AP                     | 21                    | AP                     | 31        | PSI                    | 38        | PSI         |
| 3       | Copley / Hawkins                  | 24                  | AP                     | 21                    | AP                     | 29        | AP                     | 69        | PSI         |
| 4       | Delia / N Hawkins                 | 33                  | PSI                    | 48                    | PSI                    | 29        | AP                     | -         | PSI         |
| 5       | Joy Park / Gilchrist              | 43                  | PSI                    | 51                    | PSI                    | 53        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 6       | East Market / Lakemore            | 30                  | AP                     | 33                    | PSI                    | 28        | AP                     | 66        | PSI         |
| 7       | Cuyahoga Falls Ave                | 32                  | PSI                    | 36                    | PSI                    | 37        | PSI                    | 70        | PSI         |
| 8       | Kenmore / Barberton               | 35                  | PSI                    | 41                    | PSI                    | 39        | PSI                    | 70        | PSI         |
| 9       | Vern Odom Blvd / East Ave         | 40                  | PSI                    | 37                    | PSI                    | 38        | PSI                    | 60        | PSI         |
| 10      | Howard / Portage Trail            | 32                  | PSI                    | 46                    | PSI                    | 51        | PSI                    | 71        | PSI         |
| 11      | South Akron                       | 87                  | PSI                    | 75                    | PSI                    | -         | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 12      | Tallmadge Hill                    | 28                  | AP                     | 38                    | PSI                    | 38        | PSI                    | 57        | PSI         |
| 13      | Grant / Firestone Park            | 32                  | PSI                    | 38                    | PSI                    | 44        | PSI                    | 70        | PSI         |
| 14      | Euclid / Barberton Express        | 26                  | AP                     | 36                    | PSI                    | 34        | PSI                    | 68        | PSI         |
| 17      | Brown / Inman                     | 25                  | AP                     | 40                    | PSI                    | 58        | PSI                    | 71        | PSI         |
| 18      | Thornton / Manchester             | 80                  | PSI                    | 61                    | PSI                    | 77        | PSI                    | 72        | PSI         |
| 19      | Eastland                          | 46                  | PSI                    | 43                    | PSI                    | 46        | PSI                    | 61        | PSI         |
| 21      | South Main                        | 40                  | PSI                    | 40                    | PSI                    | 40        | PSI                    | 40        | PSI         |
| 24      | Lakeshore                         | 43                  | PSI                    | 34                    | PSI                    | 50        | PSI                    | 70        | PSI         |
| 26      | W. Exchange / White Pond          | 37                  | PSI                    | 40                    | PSI                    | 69        | PSI                    | 80        | PSI         |
| 28      | Merriman Valley                   | 69                  | PSI                    | 56                    | PSI                    | 40        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 30      | Goodyear / Darrow                 | 40                  | PSI                    | 40                    | PSI                    | 43        | PSI                    | 70        | PSI         |
| 33      | State Road / Wyoga Lake           | 60                  | PSI                    | 40                    | PSI                    | 120       | PSI                    | 95        | PSI         |
| 34      | Cascade Village / Uhler           | 34                  | PSI                    | 34                    | PSI                    | 43        | PSI                    | 71        | PSI PSI     |
|         |                                   |                     |                        | CIRCULATO             | JR ROUTES              |           |                        |           |             |
| 50      | Montrose Circulator               | 35                  | PSI                    | 35                    | PSI                    | 35        | PSI                    | 33        | PSI         |
| 51      | Stow Circulator                   | 36                  | PSI                    | 36                    | PSI                    | 37        | PSI                    | 36        | PSI         |
| 53      | Portage / Graham                  | 44                  | PSI                    | 74                    | PSI                    | -         | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 59      | Chapel Hill Circulator            | 50                  | PSI                    | 35                    | PSI PSI                | 45        | PSI                    | 33        | PSI         |
|         |                                   |                     | 070                    | DOWNTOWN              | CIRCULATOR             |           | 070                    |           |             |
| 54      | DASH                              | 10                  | SP                     | 10                    | SP SP                  | 10        | SP                     | 14        |             |
|         |                                   |                     | NORTH COA              | AST EXPRESS COMM      | IUTER SERVICE TO O     | CLEVELAND | <b>202</b>             |           | pay.        |
| 60      | NCX - Cuyahoga Falls to Cleveland | 35                  | PSI                    | -                     | PSI                    | 60        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 61      | NCX - RKP to Cleveland            | 26                  | AP                     | 121                   | PSI PSI                | 33        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 101     | D: 1 C 11 / D 1                   | ~~                  | DCI                    | TOWN CEN              | I EK KOUTES            |           | DCI                    |           | Der         |
| 101     | Richfield / Bath                  | 55                  | PSI                    | 104                   | PSI                    | -         | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 102     | Northfield                        | 54                  | PSI                    | 48                    | PSI                    | 41        | PSI                    | 66        | PSI         |
| 103     | Stow / Hudson                     | 113                 | PSI                    | 93                    | PSI                    | 94        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |
| 104     | Twinsburg / Creekside             | 91                  | PSI                    | 101                   | PSI                    | 94        | PSI                    | 68        | PSI         |
| 110     | Green / Springfield               | 55                  | PSI                    | 99                    | PSI                    | 53        | PSI                    | -         | PSI         |

### Table 4-2 | METRO RTA Fixed-Route Headway Performance Analysis

## **On-Time Performance Analysis**

Transit Reliability or schedule adherence is an important component of transit service. If transit is able to maintain acceptable levels of reliability it provides confidence to passengers that they will not miss their bus or be late to their intended destination. Both METRO RTA and PARTA maintain on time data for all of their transit routes. The table below shows that METRO RTA and PARTA maintain strong reliability and overall have improved the reliability of their system from 2018 to 2019.

| Table 4-3 | PARTA | On-Time | Performance | Analysis |
|-----------|-------|---------|-------------|----------|
|-----------|-------|---------|-------------|----------|

| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                                | 2018 ON-TIME % | 2019 ON-TIME% |
|---------|--|----------------|---------------|
| 30      | Interurban West (Kent to Stow)             | 85.4%          | 85.5%         |
| 35      | Interurban East (Kent to Ravenna)          | 73.8%          | 78.3%         |
| 358     | Interurban East (Kent to Ravenna) Saturday | 77.1%          | 74.1%         |
| 40      | Suburban North (Kent)                      | 80.3%          | 77.7%         |
| 45      | Suburban South (Kent)                      | 85.0%          | 81.3%         |
| 51      | Campus Loop                                | 74.9%          | 77.5%         |
| 53      | Reverse Loop                               | 68.9%          | 79.3%         |
| 55      | Allerton                                   | 37.5%          | 68.9%         |
| 57      | Stadium Loop (Summer / KSU Breaks)         | 70.8%          | 76.0%         |
| 58      | Summit East / Front Campus                 | 60.7%          | 65.3%         |
| 59      | Stadium Night Loop                         | 69.8%          | 76.3%         |
| 60      | Black Squirrel                             | 60.0%          | 75.2%         |
| 70      | Windham / Garrettsville                    | 84.9%          | 83.4%         |
| 80      | Raven West (Ravenna)                       | 83.5%          | 80.1%         |
| 85      | Raven East (Ravenna)                       | 84.6%          | 86.8%         |
| 90      | Akron Express                              | 74.1%          | 75.9%         |
| 100     | Cleveland Express                          | 57.0%          | 49.9%         |
|         | Annual System Total On-Time %              | 71.9%          | 76.0%         |

#### Table 4-4 | METRO RTA On-Time Performance Analysis

| ROUTE # | DESCRIPTION                       | 2018 ON-TIME % | 2019 ON-TIME% |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1       | West Market                       | 57.8%          | 65.6%         |
| 2       | Arlington                         | 74.1%          | 74.8%         |
| 3       | Copley / Hawkins                  | 72.3%          | 75.9%         |
| 4       | Delia / N Hawkins                 | 74.5%          | 75.5%         |
| 5       | Joy Park / Gilchrist              | 67.5%          | 68.0%         |
| 6       | East Market / Lakemore            | 74.8%          | 75.7%         |
| 7       | Cuyahoga Falls Ave                | 79.8%          | 76.2%         |
| 8       | Kenmore / Barberton               | 79.9%          | 80.6%         |
| 9       | Vern Odom Blvd / East Ave         | 73.6%          | 74.2%         |
| 10      | Howard / Portage Trail            | 71.8%          | 74.7%         |
| 11      | South Akron                       | 81.6%          | 83.7%         |
| 12      | Tallmadge Hill                    | 84.6%          | 83.3%         |
| 13      | Grant / Firestone Park            | 84.5%          | 86.6%         |
| 14      | Euclid / Barberton Express        | 83.1%          | 81.6%         |
| 17      | Brown / Inman                     | 74.9%          | 73.3%         |
| 18      | Thornton / Manchester             | 78.1%          | 79.3%         |
| 19      | Eastland                          | 78.7%          | 81.6%         |
| 21      | South Main                        | 80.5%          | 89.7%         |
| 24      | Lakeshore                         | 52.4%          | 53.8%         |
| 26      | W. Exchange / White Pond          | 78.9%          | 79.1%         |
| 28      | Merriman Valley                   | 81.9%          | 78.5%         |
| 30      | Goodyear / Darrow                 | 81.6%          | 81.7%         |
| 33      | State Road / Wyoga Lake           | 81.4%          | 81.3%         |
| 34      | Cascade Village / Uhler           | 75.0%          | 73.1%         |
| 50      | Montrose Circulator               | 82.5%          | 83.8%         |
| 51      | Stow Circulator                   | 81.5%          | 79.0%         |
| 53      | Portage / Graham                  | 83.1%          | 79.5%         |
| 59      | Chapel Hill Circulator            | 86.9%          | 87.2%         |
| 54      | DASH                              | 72.2%          | 67.3%         |
| 60      | NCX - Cuyahoga Falls to Cleveland | 61.4%          | 59.2%         |
| 61      | NCX - RKP to Cleveland            | 57.7%          | 58.4%         |
| 101     | Richfield / Bath                  | 72.0%          | 66.3%         |
| 102     | Northfield                        | 58.4%          | 61.0%         |
| 103     | Stow / Hudson                     | 69.6%          | 61.3%         |
| 104     | Twinsburg / Creekside             | 66.7%          | 63.4%         |
| 110     | Green / Springfield               | 71.6%          | 70.0%         |
|         | Annual System Total On-Time %     | 75.0%          | 75.6%         |

# Freight Methodology and Analysis

The movement of freight is an important part of a fully functioning transportation system. The efficient movement of freight within and through a region is critically important to industry, retail commerce, agriculture, international trade and terminal operators. Metropolitan areas with their air cargo airports, freight yards, trucking terminals, and shipping facilities, are especially affected by freight movement issues. Freight congestion can include delays at airports, water ports, rail facilities, and on highways. In this CMP we mostly focus on highway-based freight congestion, where AMATS could assist in funding improvements.

The AMATS freight planning process:

- Defines those elements of the area's transportation system that are critical for the efficient movement of freight
- · Identifies ways to measure system performance in terms of freight movement
- Develops freight-oriented data collection and modeling in order to identify problems and potential solutions, and ultimately
- Recommends broad strategies and specific projects designed to improve the movement of freight throughout the transportation network

The highest priority needs in the AMATS area regarding freight movement involve improvements to the highway system. The AMATS *Highway Preservation Needs* Report and the *Congestion Management Process (CMP)* Report address the needs of the AMATS area in terms of highway improvements that streamline the flow of freight in the region.

AMATS's freight planning process includes three primary strategies:

- Developing and maintaining databases and analysis tools for decision-making
- Interacting with AMATS members and freight stakeholders to better understand the freight system, identify common issues, and build consensus
- Incorporating freight into the regional transportation planning process

## Trucks

Freight movement, by way of trucks, is heavily concentrated on freeways and major state routes. The number of trucks on these roads range from 50 to 15,000 trucks per day, with I-76 through Summit and Portage counties being the busiest freeway for trucks. Highway improvements such as the widening of I-76 east of SR 21 and the improvement of the High St / Broadway St interchange along with planned improvements to the Central Interchange and widening of SR 8 and I-77 through and south of Akron will help improve the efficiency of freight movement on the area's roadways.

In its 2020 Freight Plan, AMATS analyzed truck freight as it relates to key job hubs in the greater Akron area. Job hubs were identified based on the number of traded-sector jobs in a particular area, with a focus on places with job density in the top 5 percent in the region. The job hubs are clusters of employment in sectors of the economy like manufacturing or business consulting that can export (or trade) goods and services outside of Northeast Ohio. Table 5-1 below includes congested locations near the 14 job hubs identified in the AMATS 2020 Freight Plan.

#### Table 5-1 | Job Hub Congestion Locations

| LOCATION              | DESCRIPTION   | JOB HUB              |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Akron                 | Firestone Blvd from S Main St to Grant St                           | Firestone Park       |
| Akron                 | Firestone Blvd from Grant St to S Main St                           | Firestone Park       |
| Akron                 | Wilbeth Rd (SR-764) at Manchester Rd (SR-93)                        | Firestone Park       |
| Akron                 | Euclid Ave from Rand St to Dart Ave                                 | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | SR-8 SB from Forge St to E Market St (SR-18)                        | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | SR-8 SB from Perkins St Off Ramp to Perkins St On Ramp              | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | SR-8 SB from Glenwood Ave On Ramp to Perkins St Off Ramp            | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | W Exchange St from Paul Williams St to S Main St                    | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | Perkins St (SR-59) from Union St to SR-8 SB Ramps                   | Downtown Akron       |
| Akron                 | SR-8 SB from Tallmadge Ave Off Ramp to Tallmadge Ave On Ramp        | Chapel Hill          |
| Akron                 | SR-8 SB from E Cuyahoga Falls Ave On Ramp to Tallmdage Ave Off Ramp | Chapel Hill          |
| Akron                 | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261) from Gorge Blvd to SR-8 SB Ramps           | Chapel Hill          |
| Akron                 | Home Ave from Annapolis Ave to Howe Ave                             | Chapel Hill          |
| Akron                 | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261) from SR-8 SB Ramps to Gorge Blvd           | Chapel Hill          |
| Akron                 | Home Ave from Howe Ave to Annapolis Ave                             | Chapel Hill          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Marc Dr to Bath Rd                                    | Cuyahoga Falls       |
| Cuyahoga Falls / Stow | Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bridgewater Pkwy            | Cuyahoga Falls       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Marc Dr to Steels Corners Rd                          | Cuyahoga Falls       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Bath Rd to Graham Rd                                  | Cuyahoga Falls       |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Quick Rd to Steels Corners Rd                         | Cuyahoga Falls       |
| Green                 | Massillon Rd (SR-241) from I-77 SB Ramps to I-77 NB Ramps           | Green                |
| Green                 | Massillon Rd (SR-241) from I-77 NB Ramps to I-77 SB Ramps           | Green                |
| Brimfield Township    | Tallmadge Rd from I-76 WB Ramps to I-76 EB Ramps                    | Brimfield            |
| Brimfield Township    | Tallmadge Rd from I-76 EB Ramps to I-76 WB Ramps                    | Brimfield            |
| Macedonia             | I-271 NB Off Ramp to SR-8   | Twinsburg            |
| Macedonia             | SR-8 SB from I-271 SB On Ramp to I-271 NB Off Ramp                  | Twinsburg            |
| Twinsburg             | E Aurora Rd (SR-82) from I-480 WB Ramps to Darrow Rd (SR-91)        | Twinsburg            |
| Streetsboro           | SR-14 from I-80 Ramps to SR-43                                      | Aurora / Streetsboro |
| Streetsboro           | I-480 SB Ramp to I-80   | Aurora / Streetsboro |
| Streetsboro           | Aurora Hudson Rd from I-480 SB Ramps to Frost Rd                    | Aurora / Streetsboro |
| Akron                 | Waterloo Rd (US-224) at George Washington Blvd (SR-241)             | East Akron / Airport |
| Akron                 | Waterloo Rd (US-224) at George Washington Blvd (SR-241)             | East Akron / Airport |
| Akron                 | Waterloo Rd at Arlington St   | East Akron / Airport |
| Richfield             | Wheatley Rd at Brecksville Rd                                       | Richfield            |
| Richfield             | Brecksville Rd at Wheatley Rd                                       | Richfield            |
| Richfield             | Brecksville Rd at Wheatley Rd                                       | Richfield            |
| Richfield             | Wheatley Rd at Brecksville Rd                                       | Richfield            |
| Stow                  | Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater Pkwy to SR-8                     | Hudson / Stow        |
| Hudson                | Terex Rd from Hudson Dr to Darrow Rd (SR-91)                        | Hudson / Stow        |
| Stow                  | Steels Corners Rd from SR-8 to Hudson Dr                            | Hudson / Stow        |
| Hudson                | Terex Rd from Darrow Rd (SR-91) to Hudson Dr                        | Hudson / Stow        |



## Railways

There are approximately 393 at-grade crossings in the AMATS area (many are on abandoned or out of service rail lines). High volume crossings are prioritized by scoring the number of trains per day and the average daily traffic volume (ADT). The table below lists locations that have scores greater than 100. The number of trains per day varies from year to year depending on the count locations provided by ORDC and PUCO. Ideally, highway-rail grade crossings would be separated if feasible. Grade separation projects eliminate safety and delay concerns by redirecting the vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle traffic above or below the railroad tracks. Construction of overpasses and underpasses are very costly, and not always feasible due to geographic configuration.

| RANK | STREET (LOCATION)                  | TRAINS PER DAY | VEHICLE ADT | SCORE |
|------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| 1    | Stow Rd (Hudson)                   | 70             | 10,280      | 720   |
| 2    | Broad Blvd (Cuyahoga Falls         | 32             | 15,385      | 492   |
| 3    | S Main St (Munroe Falls)           | 27             | 16,694      | 451   |
| 4    | E Twinsburg Rd (Macedonia)         | 74             | 5,550       | 411   |
| 5    | Bailey Rd (Cuyahoga Falls)         | 27             | 13,315      | 360   |
| 6    | E Hines Hill Rd (Hudson)           | 62             | 3,710       | 230   |
| 7    | Hudson Run Rd (Barberton)          | 32             | 5,161       | 165   |
| 8    | Fairview Ave (Barberton            | 29             | 5,251       | 152   |
| 9    | W Summit St (Kent)                 | 27             | 5,438       | 147   |
| 10   | W Waterloo Rd (Twinsburg Township) | 31             | 4,383       | 136   |
| 11   | N Arlington St (Akron)             | 27             | 4,630       | 125   |
| 12   | E Highland Rd (Twinsburg Township) | 10             | 11,679      | 117   |
| 13   | W Market St (Akron)                | 4              | 25,530      | 102   |

#### Table 5-2 | High-Volume At-Grade Crossings

Freight recommendations are included in Chapter 9 of this report along with other highway and transit recommendations.

## Incident-Related Traffic Congestion

Incident-related traffic congestion is congestion that occurs due to a non-recurring incident. In most cases, this incident is a traffic crash. While crashes can happen anywhere at any time, some locations are more prone to crashes than others. Locations with both frequent crashes and recurrent congestion will be significantly more congested. Effective transportation planning requires that incident-related congestion be analyzed.

In order to analyze incident-related traffic congestion, traffic crash data must be reviewed. AMATS publishes an annual report detailing traffic crashes in our region; the latest version being published in December 2019. *Traffic Crashes and Safety Performance Measures 2016–2018* analyzed traffic crashes for arterials and intersections between 2016 and 2018, utilizing crash records provided by the Ohio Department of Public Safety (ODPS) and the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) for the years 2016, 2017 and 2018.

## Arterials

Areas of incident-related congestion are determined based on a composite score which considers both number of crashes and their severity to determine locations where incident-related congestion is most likely to occur. For a complete description of how the composite score is determined, please review the methodology in the AMATS *Traffic Crashes and Safety Performance Measures 2016–2018* report. Table 6-1 and Map 6-1 displays the top 50 arterial locations.

## Intersections

Similar to arterial segments, areas of incident-related intersection congestion are determined based on composite score. The top 50 high crash intersections are listed on Table 6-2 and displayed on Map 6-2.

Locations where the two previously mentioned lists coincide with areas of recurring congestion are shown on Map 6-3.

## Freeways

The analysis of freeway crashes in the AMATS area is done by the central office of the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) in Columbus. ODOT's analysis of freeways is done using their own methodology which is derived from the Highway Safety Manual. The freeway system is divided into rural and urban and is analyzed by examining segments that are one-tenth of a mile long.

In an effort to make data-driven decisions and determine operationally sensitive corridors throughout the state, ODOT has developed the Traffic Operations Assessment Systems Tool (TOAST). In TOAST routes are segmented into the State Priority System with breaks at the urban area boundaries, interchange center points, and road functional class changes. Multiple data categories make up TOAST. For each category, data ranges were normalized into values of 0-10, then multiplied by a weighting factor. The total score for a route is calculated as a percent based on the score for each category divided by the total possible maximum score. In general, the higher the percent, the better the route is performing; whereas, the lower the percent, the more likely a route is to benefit from improvements. The data categories that make up TOAST are listed below:

**Travel Time Performance** – Percent of time motorists can travel at or near (90%) of the reference speed (free-flow speed defined by data provider).

**Bottlenecks** – A potential bottleneck is detected when speeds on a segment drop to 65% of reference speeds and cause at least a two-minute delay.

**Incident Clearance** – The time from report of an incident until the entire scene is cleared.

Secondary Crashes – Percent of crashes that occurred as a result of a previous incident. Volume Per Lane – Calculated based on a weighted average for each segment.

**Freight Corridors** – Weighted average of percent trucks (average daily truck volume ÷ average daily total volume).

**Safety Performance** – A route's potential for safety improvement by density based on its peer group.

ODOT has analyzed the freeway network in the AMATS area. The results of this analysis are shown on Map 6-4.

| RANK | ROADWAY SECTION            | FROM                        | ТО                             | LENGTH<br>(MILES) | AVERAGE<br>DAILY<br>TRAFFIC | TOTAL<br>CRASHES | CRASHES<br>PER MILE<br>PER YEAR | CRASH<br>RATE | SEVERITY<br>INDEX | BIKE<br>RELATED | PED<br>RELATED | LOCATION        |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1    | E Main St (SR-59)          | Willow St                   | Luther Ave                     | 0.41              | 18,195                      | 86               | 69                              | 10.46         | 1.53              |                 | 2              | Kent            |
| 2    | S Cleveland-Massillon Rd   | IR-77                       | Rosemont Blvd / Elgin Dr       | 0.53              | 21,780                      | 65               | 41                              | 5.15          | 1.71              |                 |                | Fairlawn        |
| 3    | Medina Rd (SR-18)          | IR-77                       | Cleveland-Massillon Rd (CR-17) | 0.69              | 30,889                      | 149              | 71                              | 6.34          | 1.54              |                 |                | Copley Twp      |
| 4    | W Market St (SR-18)        | Cleveland-Massillon Rd      | Smith Rd                       | 0.57              | 24,530                      | 95               | 56                              | 6.21          | 1.53              |                 | 2              | Fairlawn        |
| 5    | Copley Rd (SR-162)         | St Micheals                 | S Hawkins Ave                  | 0.49              | 9,328                       | 39               | 26                              | 7.78          | 1.62              |                 | 1              | Akron           |
| 6    | S Prospect St              | Ravenna SCL                 | Lake Ave                       | 0.18              | 9,640                       | 11               | 21                              | 5.84          | 2.09              |                 |                | Ravenna         |
| 7    | E Aurora Rd (SR-82)        | Olde Eight Rd               | SR-8                           | 0.82              | 15,150                      | 76               | 31                              | 5.61          | 1.50              |                 | i i            | Macedonia       |
| 8    | Canton Rd (CR-66)          | Sanitarium Rd (CR-136)      | Waterloo Rd (US-224)           | 1.01              | 14,870                      | 85               | 28                              | 5.19          | 1.56              |                 | 2              | Springfield Twp |
| 9    | Ghent Rd                   | W Market St (SR-18)         | Smith Rd                       | 0.38              | 9,230                       | 36               | 31                              | 9.31          | 1.44              |                 |                | Fairlawn        |
| 10   | SR-14                      | SR-303 (W)                  | SR-303 (E)                     | 0.36              | 25,578                      | 51               | 48                              | 5.10          | 1.47              |                 | i i            | Streetsboro     |
| 11   | SR-14 / SR-44              | SR-59                       | SR-5 (end SR-14 overlap)       | 0.39              | 17,345                      | 34               | 29                              | 4.63          | 1.59              |                 |                | Ravenna Twp     |
| 12   | Arlington Rd               | Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | Green North Corp Line          | 0.95              | 20,305                      | 145              | 51                              | 6.86          | 1.37              |                 | 1              | Green           |
| 13   | W&E Main St (SR-59)        | Sycamore St                 | Prospect St                    | 0.26              | 14,100                      | 39               | 50                              | 9.81          | 1.36              |                 | 1              | Ravenna         |
| 14   | Massillon Rd (SR-241)      | Boettler Rd                 | Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619)    | 1.01              | 21,609                      | 130              | 43                              | 5.46          | 1.38              |                 |                | Green           |
| 15   | Kent Rd (SR-59)            | Fishcreek Rd                | Stow East Corp Line            | 0.35              | 18,730                      | 26               | 25                              | 3.62          | 1.69              |                 |                | Stow            |
| 16   | State Rd                   | Portage Trail               | Graham Rd                      | 0.27              | 22,210                      | 24               | 30                              | 3.70          | 1.50              | 2               | 2              | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 17   | Howe Ave                   | Cuyahoga Falls Corp Line    | Main St                        | 0.27              | 29,263                      | 42               | 51                              | 4.77          | 1.38              |                 | 1              | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 18   | E Main St (SR-59)          | Horning Rd                  | Kent East Corp Line            | 0.52              | 19,184                      | 48               | 31                              | 4.44          | 1.46              |                 | 2              | Kent            |
| 19   | State Rd                   | Cuyahoga Falls Corp Line    | Broad Blvd                     | 0.70              | 14,700                      | 43               | 21                              | 3.83          | 1.70              |                 |                | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 20   | Graham Rd                  | Fishcreek Rd                | Stow East Corp Line            | 0.66              | 14,750                      | 53               | 27                              | 5.00          | 1.45              |                 |                | Stow            |
| 21   | SR-44                      | Tallmadge Rd (CR-18)        | SR 5 (NB off from IR-76)       | 0.66              | 27,333                      | 56               | 28                              | 2.84          | 1.68              |                 |                | Rootstown Twp   |
| 22   | Brittain Rd                | Eastwood Ave                | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261)       | 1.19              | 12,350                      | 73               | 21                              | 4.55          | 1.62              |                 | 2              | Akron           |
| 23   | W Market St (SR-18)        | Miller Rd                   | Fairlawn East Corp Line        | 0.68              | 17,540                      | 73               | 36                              | 5.61          | 1.36              |                 |                | Fairlawn        |
| 24   | S Arlington St             | E Waterloo Rd               | E Wilbeth Rd (SR-764)          | 0.70              | 12,800                      | 49               | 23                              | 4.96          | 1.45              |                 | 3              | Akron           |
| 25   | Howe Ave                   | Main St                     | Buchholzer Blvd                | 0.69              | 24,551                      | 58               | 28                              | 3.13          | 1.52              |                 |                | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 26   | W Exchange St              | Rhodes Ave                  | Dart Ave                       | 0.54              | 8,040                       | 32               | 20                              | 6.67          | 1.44              |                 |                | Akron           |
| 27   | E Main St (SR-59)          | Freedom St (SR 88)          | SR 14/SR 44                    | 0.76              | 13,724                      | 57               | 25                              | 5.01          | 1.39              |                 |                | Ravenna         |
| 28   | S Water St                 | Haymaker Pkwy (SR 59)       | E Main St                      | 0.18              | 5,260                       | 14               | 26                              | 13.78         | 1.29              |                 | 1              | Kent            |
| 29   | Broad Blvd / Broadway East | Second St                   | Newberry St                    | 0.29              | 16,170                      | 36               | 41                              | 6.90          | 1.17              |                 |                | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 29   | Arlington Rd (CR-15)       | IR-77 / Green NCL           | Killian Rd (CR-135)            | 0.61              | 18,130                      | 55               | 30                              | 4.52          | 1.36              |                 | 1              | Springfield Twp |
| 31   | Fuller Rd                  | 7th Ave                     | 5th Ave                        | 0.28              | 1,000                       | 14               | 17                              | 45.99         | 1.43              |                 |                | Akron           |
| 32   | W Streetsboro St (SR-303)  | Boston Mills Rd             | Main St (SR-91)                | 0.55              | 14,446                      | 42               | 26                              | 4.86          | 1.38              | 1               |                | Hudson          |
| 33   | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261)   | N Main St                   | Gorge Blvd                     | 0.60              | 16,610                      | 53               | 29                              | 4.84          | 1.34              |                 | 1              | Akron           |
| 34   | SR-14                      | IR-480 ramp to Turnpike     | SR 303 (W)                     | 1.18              | 31,551                      | 113              | 32                              | 2.77          | 1.48              |                 |                | Streetsboro     |
| 35   | Goodkirk St                | Buchtel Ave                 | E Market St (SR-18)            | 0.24              | 29,263                      | 31               | 43                              | 4.02          | 1.32              |                 |                | Akron           |
| 36   | E Exchange St              | S Broadway St (SR-261)      | Spicer St                      | 0.76              | 21,113                      | 95               | 42                              | 5.43          | 1.21              | 1               | 3              | Akron           |
| 37   | Graham Rd                  | Hudson Dr                   | Silver Lake West Corp Line     | 0.44              | 28,680                      | 42               | 32                              | 3.05          | 1.43              |                 |                | Stow            |
| 38   | W Market St (SR-18)        | Ghent Rd                    | Miller Rd                      | 0.29              | 28,390                      | 44               | 50                              | 4.83          | 1.27              |                 |                | Fairlawn        |
| 39   | Graham Rd                  | Oakwood Dr / Wyoga Lake Rd  | Hudson Dr                      | 0.72              | 21,205                      | 45               | 21                              | 2.70          | 1.67              |                 |                | Stow            |
| 40   | E Main St                  | Water St                    | Willow St                      | 0.27              | 9,070                       | 22               | 27                              | 8.20          | 1.18              |                 |                | Kent            |
| 41   | Brittain Rd                | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261)    | Independence Ave               | 0.61              | 12,614                      | 45               | 24                              | 5.31          | 1.31              |                 | 2              | Akron           |
| 42   | Wooster Rd W               | 14th St NW                  | Wooster Rd N                   | 0.75              | 10,919                      | 35               | 16                              | 3.91          | 1.63              |                 | 1              | Barberton       |
| 43   | N Main St                  | E Tallmadge Ave             | E Cuyahoga Falls Ave           | 0.36              | 10,420                      | 17               | 16                              | 4.14          | 1.59              |                 | 2              | Akron           |
| 44   | Front St / Kent Rd (SR-59) | Bailey Rd                   | Oak Park Blvd                  | 0.36              | 12,791                      | 26               | 24                              | 5.20          | 1.31              |                 |                | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 45   | Canton Rd (SR-91)          | Akron SCL                   | Triplett Blvd                  | 0.35              | 15,180                      | 21               | 20                              | 3.58          | 1.48              |                 |                | Akron           |
| 46   | N Main St (SR-91)          | Streetsboro St (SR-303)     | Owen Brown St                  | 0.23              | 20,220                      | 25               | 36                              | 4.88          | 1.16              |                 |                | Hudson          |
| 47   | Darrow Rd (SR-91)          | Kent Rd (SR-59)             | Stow Rd                        | 0.65              | 14,896                      | 41               | 21                              | 3.88          | 1.39              |                 |                | Stow            |
| 48   | State Rd                   | Broad Blvd                  | Portage Trail                  | 0.96              | 15,343                      | 61               | 21                              | 3.78          | 1.39              | 2               | 1              | Cuyahoga Falls  |
| 49   | S High St (SR-261)         | E Exchange St               | E Market St (SR-18)            | 0.67              | 7,771                       | 46               | 23                              | 8.11          | 1.13              |                 |                | Akron           |
| 50   | Garfield Rd W (SR-82)      | Aurora Rd (SR-43)           | Chillicothe Rd (SR-306)        | 0.24              | 9,885                       | 16               | 22                              | 6.19          | 1.25              |                 |                | Aurora          |

### Table 6-1 | High Crash Roadway Segments 2016-2018



SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

|      |                               |                                     | APPROACH |         |       |          |         |         |                     |
|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------|---------|---------|---------------------|
|      |                               |                                     | AVERACE  | TOTAL   | CRASH | SEVERITY | RIKE    | PED     |                     |
| RANK | STREET                        | INTERSECTING STREET(S)              |          | CDASHES | DATE  | INDEV    | DELATED | DELATED | LOCATION            |
|      |                               |                                     | DAILY    | CRASHES | KALE  | INDEA    | KELATED | RELATED |                     |
|      |                               |                                     | TRAFFIC  |         |       |          |         |         |                     |
| 1    | S Maple St (SR-162)           | Rhodes Ave                          | 13,195   | 43      | 2.98  | 1.84     | 1       |         | Akron               |
| 2    | S Maple St (SR-162)           | W Cedar St                          | 13,820   | 36      | 2.38  | 1.83     | 1       |         | Akron               |
| 3    | SR-14 / SR-303                | SR-43                               | 41,044   | 135     | 3.00  | 1.47     |         |         | Streetsboro         |
| 4    | Darrow Rd (SR-91)             | Graham Rd                           | 34,456   | 80      | 2.12  | 1.53     |         |         | Stow                |
| 5    | S Broadway St                 | E Miller Ave                        | 16,680   | 40      | 2.19  | 1.65     |         | 2       | Akron               |
| 6    | Portage Trail                 | 2nd St                              | 29,350   | 61      | 1.90  | 1.56     |         |         | Cuyahoga Falls      |
| 7    | W Market St (SR-18)           | Smith Rd                            | 24,604   | 44      | 1.63  | 1.77     |         |         | Fairlawn            |
| 8    | Vernon Odom Blvd (SR-261)     | Superior Ave                        | 13,265   | 32      | 2.20  | 1.75     |         |         | Akron               |
| 9    | E Tallmadge Ave (SR-261)      | Home Ave                            | 29,800   | 57      | 1.75  | 1.56     |         |         | Akron               |
| 10   | SR-14                         | Brook Valley Trail / Shady Lake Dr  | 31,551   | 49      | 1.42  | 1.94     |         |         | Streetsboro         |
| 11   | S Broadway St                 | E Thornton St                       | 19,670   | 53      | 2.46  | 1.45     | İ       | i       | Akron               |
| 12   | Graham Rd                     | Fishcreek Rd                        | 28,940   | 55      | 1.74  | 1.55     | 1       |         | Stow                |
| 13   | Opportunity Pkwy (SR-261)     | Dart Ave                            | 12,938   | 31      | 2.19  | 1.71     |         |         | Akron               |
| 13   | MLK Ir Blvd (SR-59)           | N Broadway St (SR-261)              | 22,402   | 46      | 1.88  | 1.57     |         |         | Akron               |
| 15   | S Miller Rd                   | Ridgewood Rd / IR-77 Ramps          | 28,552   | 52      | 1.66  | 1.58     |         |         | Fairlawn            |
| 16   | SR-43                         | Tallmadae Rd                        | 19.640   | 34      | 1.58  | 2.09     |         | 2       | Brimfield Two       |
| 17   | Bellows St                    | Crosier St                          | 3 230    | 27      | 7.63  | 1.67     |         | ~       | Akron               |
| 18   | N Howard St                   | Clenwood Ave                        | 10.360   | 25      | 2 20  | 1.07     |         |         | Akron               |
| 10   | CP 14                         | Mondial Plann / Singlatary Dr       | 21 551   | 52      | 1.52  | 1.50     |         |         | Streatchara         |
| 20   | Dimensione D J                | International Terry Di              | 51,551   | 22      | 2.92  | 1.97     |         |         | Current a rea Falla |
| 20   | MU K In Pland (SP, 50)        | N High St (SD 2(1)                  | 25,200   | 40      | 3.82  | 1.62     |         | 1       | Almon               |
| 21   | MLD 1 C                       | IN Fligh St (SK-201)                | 25,508   | 40      | 1.44  | 1.75     |         | 1       | AL                  |
| 22   | W Exchange St                 | Rand Ave                            | 14,630   | 31      | 1.94  | 1.65     |         |         | Akron               |
| 25   | Vernon Odom Bivd (SR-261)     | 5 Hawkins Ave                       | 18,960   | 45      | 2.17  | 1.44     |         |         | Akron               |
| 24   | E Exchange St                 | Spicer St                           | 22,975   | 46      | 1.83  | 1.48     | 1       |         | Akron               |
| 25   | Darrow Rd (SR-91)             | Glenwood Dr                         | 19,320   | 64      | 3.03  | 1.28     |         |         | Twinsburg           |
| 26   | E Market St (SR-18)           | Case Ave                            | 19,260   | 44      | 2.09  | 1.45     |         | 2       | Akron               |
| 27   | SR-261                        | Franklin Ave / Sunnybrook Rd        | 10,762   | 23      | 1.95  | 2.22     |         |         | Kent                |
| 28   | Brookmont Dr                  | Brookwall Dr                        | 6,020    | 20      | 3.03  | 1.80     |         |         | Fairlawn            |
| 29   | E Turkeyfoot Lake Rd (SR-619) | Arlington Rd                        | 29,089   | 50      | 1.57  | 1.52     |         |         | Green               |
| 30   | Mantua St (SR-43)             | SR-261                              | 28,953   | 56      | 1.77  | 1.43     |         |         | Kent                |
| 31   | Steels Corners Rd             | Wyoga Lake Rd                       | 16,569   | 38      | 2.09  | 1.47     |         |         | Cuyahoga Falls      |
| 32   | Old Forge Rd                  | Mogadore Rd                         | 2,320    | 20      | 7.87  | 1.70     |         |         | Brimfield Twp       |
| 33   | S Arlington St                | E Waterloo Rd                       | 21,783   | 40      | 1.68  | 1.50     |         |         | Akron               |
| 34   | SR-43                         | IR-76 Ramps / Edson Rd              | 51,626   | 59      | 3.24  | 1.24     |         |         | Brimfield Twp       |
| 34   | Portage Trail                 | State Rd                            | 34,965   | 86      | 2.25  | 1.26     |         | 1       | Cuyahoga Falls      |
| 36   | Tallmadge Circle              |                                     | 38,034   | 249     | 5.98  | 1.15     | 1       |         | Tallmadge           |
| 37   | SR-303                        | Akron Cleveland Rd / SR-8 Ramps     | 20,971   | 50      | 2.18  | 1.36     |         |         | Boston Heights      |
| 38   | Medina Rd (SR-18)             | Springside Dr                       | 37,789   | 51      | 1.23  | 1.71     |         |         | Bath Twp            |
| 39   | Glenwood Ave                  | SR-8 Ramps / Gorge Blvd             | 10,988   | 38      | 3.16  | 1.37     |         |         | Akron               |
| 40   | N Mantua St (SR-43)           | Fairchild Ave                       | 28,500   | 49      | 1.57  | 1.49     | 1       |         | Kent                |
| 41   | E Market St (SR-18)           | Mogadore Rd / IR-76 Ramps           | 37,408   | 63      | 1.54  | 1.44     | 1       | 1       | Akron               |
| 41   | S Main St                     | Miller Ave / Old Main St            | 10.010   | 2.9     | 2,65  | 1.52     | 1       | _       | Akron               |
| 43   | Northeast Ave (SR-261)        | E Howe Rd / N Munroe Ave            | 18,426   | 57      | 2.83  | 1.25     |         |         | Tallmadge           |
| 44   | W Cedar St                    | Rand Ave                            | 13 120   | 24      | 1.67  | 2.00     |         |         | Akron               |
| 45   | Medina Rd (SR-18)             | Crystal Lake Rd / Montrose West Ave | 48 380   | 66      | 1.07  | 1.58     |         |         | Bath Two            |
| 46   | Brittain Rd                   | Eastland Ave / Fastwood Ave         | 21.735   | 48      | 2.02  | 1.38     |         | 1       | Akron               |
| 47   | State St (SP_619)             | Wooster Rd N (SR_619)               | 23,755   | 39      | 1.51  | 1.56     |         | 1       | Barberton           |
| 47   | S High St                     | Solla St                            | 14.420   | 20      | 1.31  | 1.50     |         |         | Alrea               |
| 40   | STIIgi St<br>SP 0             | Aurora Rd (CD 02)                   | 35.025   | 20      | 2.00  | 1.04     |         |         | Macedonia           |
| 49   | T-11-rs Arr                   | N Harring St                        | 16.050   | 22      | 2.07  | 1.23     | 1       | 1       | Almen               |
| 50   | Talimadge Ave                 | IN Howard St                        | 16,050   | 33      | 1.88  | 1.48     | 1       | 1       | Akron               |

### Table 6-2 | High Crash Intersections 2016-2018



SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT





SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

## **Performance Measures**

Transportation Performance Management is required by MPOs as stated in MAP-21 and continued in the FAST Act. Transportation Performance Management is defined as a strategic approach that uses system information to make investment and policy decisions to achieve national performance goals. Within Transportation Performance Management, there are performance measures. Performance measures related to the CMP include Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR) and Level of Truck Travel Time Reliability (LOTTTR), Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) and Mode Share or Non Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) travel. Each of these performance measures have their own respective targets.

## **Travel Time Reliability and Freight Movement**

## Performance Measures

Federal rules 23 CFR 490.507 and 23 CFR 490.607 establish National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability measures. For both personal travel time reliability and freight travel time reliability measures, ODOT is required to establish 2-year and 4-year targets within a four year performance period. The two current (2020) targets are listed in Table 7-1 below:

| , ,   |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level of Travel Time Reliability                    |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY 2-YEAR TARGET 4-YEAR TARGET |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interstate Travel Time Reliability                  | 85%   | 85%    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Interstate NHS Travel Time Reliability          | N/A   | 80%    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Truck                                      | Travel Time Reliability                                   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRUCK TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY                       | TRUCK TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY 2-YEAR TARGET 4-YEAR TARGET |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interstate Truck Travel Time Reliability            | < 1.50  | < 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR) is defined as the ratio of the longer travel times (80th percentile) to a "normal" travel time (50th percentile). The measures are the percent of person-miles traveled on the relevant portion of the NHS that are reliable. If the longer travel time is greater than or equal to 1.5, the roadway segment or corridor is considered unreliable.

The variability or change in congestion on a day-to-day basis provides a measure of reliability. Recurring congestion is generally predictable, regularly occurring, and typically caused by excess demand compared to the capacity of the system. Conversely, non-recurring congestion causes unreliable travel times and is caused by transient events such as traffic incidents, weather conditions, work zones, or special events. This form of congestion is often the most frustrating for travelers. National estimates indicate that nearly 50% of all congestion is non-recurring (FHWA, October 2019).

LOTTR assesses the consistency or dependability of travel times from day to day or across different times of the day on the Interstate and Non-Interstate NHS systems. FHWA defines LOTTR as the percent of person-miles on the Interstate and NHS that are reliable. LOTTR is calculated as the ratio of the longer travel times (80th percentile) to a "normal" travel time (50th percentile), using NPMRDS or equivalent data. Data are collected in 15-minute segments during all time periods between 6 AM and 8 PM. Reliability measures are grouped into three weekday time periods (6-10 AM, 10 AM - 4 PM, 4- 8 PM) and one weekend time period (6 AM - 8 PM). Any roadway segment or corridor that has a reliability index of 1.5 or greater during any time period is considered to be unreliable. For example, a roadway segment with a freeflow speed of 60 mph where the observed average travel speed during one of the time study periods is 40 mph, would have a LOTTR value of 1.5.

Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) is the ratio generated by dividing the 95th percentile travel time by the normal time (50th percentile) for each Interstate segment. The TTTR Index is established by multiplying each segment's largest ratio of five reporting periods by its length then dividing the sum of all length-weighted segments by the total length of Interstate. If the longer truck travel time is greater than or equal to 1.5, the roadway segment or corridor is considered unreliable.

Data for TTTR are also collected in 15-minute segments during all time periods throughout the day. Reliability measures were grouped into three weekday time periods (6-10 AM, 10 AM-4 PM, 4-8 PM), one weekend time period (6 AM - 8 PM), and one overnight time period for all days (8 PM-6 AM). Any roadway segment or corridor that has a reliability index of 1.5 or greater during any time period is considered to be unreliable.

The data used to assess travel time reliability and establish targets is sourced from FHWA's National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS). ODOT is participating in FHWA's Performance Management Analytical Tool pooled fund where a contractor assists states in calculating NPMRDS travel time reliability metrics.

AMATS' current performance is documented in the following Table 7-2:

Table 7-2 | AMATS Travel Time Reliability

| Level of Travel Time Reliability |       |       |       |       |       |         |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| YEAR                             | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  | 2018  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| Interstate TTR                   | 97.6% | 96.5% | 97.6% | 98.6% | 98.5% | 97.8%   |  |  |  |
| Non-Interstate NHS TTR           | 60.7% | 63.1% | 59.8% | 89.3# | 90.4% | 72.7%   |  |  |  |
| Interstate TTTR Index            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01    |  |  |  |

## Table 7-1 | ODOT Travel Time Reliability Taraets

AMATS meets the performance targets for travel time reliability on the interstate system and on truck travel time. The AMATS non-interstate system meets the target as of 2017. Overall state of Ohio performance is documented in table 7-3 below:

|                                  | · ·   |       |       | /     |       |         |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| Level of Travel Time Reliability |       |       |       |       |       |         |  |  |  |
| YEAR                             | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  | 2018  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| Interstate TTR                   | 92.4% | 90.3% | 90.6% | 90.7% | 89.1% | 90.6%   |  |  |  |
| Non-Interstate NHS TTR           | 68.5% | 67.4% | 66.8% | 90.5% | 90.1% | 76.7%   |  |  |  |
| Interstate TTTR Index            | 1.46  | 1.48  | 1.45  | 1.34  | 1.38  | 1.42    |  |  |  |

#### Table 7-3 | Ohio Travel Time Reliability

## Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED)

ODOT and the Ohio MPOs collectively established a single target for each applicable urbanized area for the first performance period by May 20, 2018. As part of a phased implementation approach, only four-year targets were reported in the State's baseline performance period report that was due on October 1, 2018. There is no requirement for states to report two-year targets or baseline condition for this specific measure in the report for the first performance period. With the first mid-performance period progress report, due October 1, 2020, four-year targets may be adjusted, and two-year condition/performance will be reported as baselines.

Traffic congestion will be measured by the annual hours of peak hour excessive delay (PHED) per capita on the National Highway System (NHS). The threshold for excessive delay will be based on the travel time at 20 miles per hour or 60% of the posted speed limit travel time, whichever is greater, and will be measured in 15-minute intervals. Peak travel hours are defined as 6-10 am local time on weekday mornings and 3-7 pm on weekday afternoons, providing flexibility to state DOTs and MPOs. The total excessive delay metric will be weighted by vehicle volumes and occupancy.

For the establishment of the PHED measure, ODOT and its partner agencies reviewed data from 2017 using the RITIS Analytics Tool, which draws data from the NPMRDS. For the establishment of the Percent of Non-SOV Travel Measure, ODOT and its partner agencies used the American Community Survey data's estimates of the percentage of people that travel to work by means other than driving alone (i.e. carpooling, telework, biking, walking, or taking the bus). ODOT was able to review five years of data, noting stable travel patterns for this measure. Upon analysis, ODOT and its partner agencies adopted targets based on recent travel trends and future expected performance.

AMATS is located in part of the Cleveland urbanized area (UZA). Consequently, ODOT, NOACA and AMATS coordinated the setting of targets for the Cleveland

area. The Cleveland urbanized area performance is documented in the Charts 7-1 and 7-2.

## Mode Share (Non-SOV Travel)

Mode share is a measure of the percentage of each mode on all surface transportation occurring in the urbanized area. Modes of surface transportation include driving alone in a motorized vehicle (Single Occupancy Vehicle), car or van pooling, public transportation, commuter rail, walking, or bicycling as well as travel that is avoided by telecommuting. Non-SOV travel, defined by the FHWA, applies to any travel occurring on modes other than driving alone in a motorized vehicle. An analysis of mode share includes a calculation of the percent of Non-SOV travel within the urbanized area. This metric is derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) data. Higher levels of Non-SOV travel can reduce an area's traffic congestion by removing additional vehicles from the roadways. The PHED and Non-SOV measures and targets are listed in Table 7-4 as follows:

Table 7-4 | Peak Hour Excessive Delay and Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle Travel

| Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED)                  |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| URBANIZED AREA PHED                               | 2-YEAR TARGET  | 4-YEAR TARGET |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Excessive Delay per Capita - Cincinnati | N/A            | < 12 hrs / yr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Excessive Delay per Capita - Cleveland  | N/A            | < 10 hrs / yr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Excessive Delay per Capita - Columbus   | N/A            | < 12 hrs / yr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (No                  | on-SOV) Travel |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBANIZED AREA PERCENT OF NON-SOV TRAVEL          | 2-YEAR TARGET  | 4-YEAR TARGET |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Non-SOV Travel - Cincinnati            | 17.4%          | 17.4%         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Non-SOV Travel - Cleveland             | 18.0%          | 18.5%         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Non-SOV Travel - Columbus              | 18.2%          | 19.0%         |  |  |  |  |  |







#### Chart 7-1 | Cleveland Urbanized Area: MAP-21 Peak Hours Excessive Delay per Capita

2020 Congestion Management Process

SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT

## **Congestion Management Strategies and Assessments**

After thoroughly scanning and assessing the defined CMP network, AMATS has established generalized strategies that best match the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), FHWA guidance, and regional transportation planning context. A strategy or combination of strategies that are appropriate for deficient corridors and segments are selected based on the type of congestion. Effectively managing congestion over time requires a multi-faceted approach. Thus, the strategies are categorized into five tiers, ranked generally by the efficacy of mitigating congestion. The strategies in the top tiers should be given priority over the lower ones. The tiers are:

Tier 1: Demand management Tier 2: Traffic and roadway operational improvements Tier 3: Public Transportation improvements Tier 4: ITS Strategies Tier 5: Capacity expansion

## Tier 1: Demand Management

Demand-side strategies represent a more modern approach to managing traffic congestion. Demand-side strategies include those that focus on reducing vehicles on the roadway either permanently or during the busiest times of the day. City rush-hours are an example of when demand exceeds supply. A highway that easily accommodates traffic throughout most of the day is congested with vehicles during morning and afternoon peak hours. Demand-side management is any strategy that reduces the number of vehicles on the road at one time. Generally, demand-side congestion strategies cost significantly less than supply-side ones do. Below are examples of potential demand management strategies:

- Telecommuting AMATS is one of many organizations that have had employees work from home, i.e., telecommuting. Telecommuting can directly reduce work-related trips during the peak hours of the day when most congestion occurs. Another related benefit is an improvement in air quality. While in previous reports, telecommuting was not seen as being feasibly on a large scale, the COVID-19 pandemic has shown the impacts of large scale telecommuting by drastically reducing traffic.
- Flexible/Alternative Work Hours Working outside the typical workweek and workday timeframe. It may not eliminate vehicles on the road but could eliminate vehicles on the road during peak hours when congestion is the worst.
- Carpooling More than one person using a vehicle for a trip with similar origin or destination. Carpooling reduces SOV due to commuters sharing a ride with one or more people for trips. This reduces the number of vehicles

on the road. Software makes it easier to create carpools and vanpools by matching similar trip origins, destinations, and times.

- Employer Incentive Program Incentives may be offered by employers to encourage carpooling or public transportation. This may be financial or some other sort of perk.
- Encouraging a Shift to Alternative Modes of Transportation using transit, bicycling, walking, or other non-motorized travel. Bicycle and pedestrian modes may also include e-bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility-assistance devices, etc. Though buses do count as a vehicle on the road, they retain the capability to significantly reduce the total number of vehicle miles traveled. Bicycling, walking, and other modes of alternative transportation can eliminate vehicle miles traveled. However, these modes may not be feasible if trip lengths are too long. Typical trip length for a bicycle commute is up to four miles and up to one mile for a pedestrian.

## Tier 2: Traffic and Roadway Operational Improvements

Tier 2 strategies play an important role in congestion management. These strategies emphasize on getting more out of the existing transportation system. The strategies include but not limited to the following:

- Intersection and street improvements by adding and extending exclusive turning lanes exclusive and safe space for vehicles waiting to turn left.
- Reversible commuter lanes on the freeways more lanes for peak demand traffic flow without more pavement width.
- Variable speed limits allows traffic to efficiently utilize capacity at a safe speed.
- Variable message signs enables drivers to take alternative routes to avoid congestion.
- Exclusive shoulder lanes for buses frequent stopping can occur outside of the flow of traffic.
- Geometric improvements to road and intersections improves traffic flow and reduces incident related congestion by correcting geometric deficiencies.
- Channelization facilitates the safe and orderly movement of traffic and defines the paths of traffic by physical separation.
- Median barriers (moveable) to facilitate more capacity during peak periods more lanes for peak demand flow without adding more pavement.
- Traveler information information given to travelers to help reduce uncertainty and stress. It also can help avoid congestion, improve safety and save time.
- Complete Streets Designing streets to enable safe access for users of all ages

and abilities, including pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, and transit riders.

• Overpasses or underpasses at congested intersections or railroads – provides uninterrupted traffic flow by removing intersection conflict points.

## Tier 3: Public Transportation (Transit) Improvements

The public transportation improvement strategies focus on making public transportation more convenient and accessible in the AMATS region. It is worth mentioning that these strategies may be linked with tier 1 and tier 2 strategies. METRO and PARTA control the transit service strategies within the AMATS region with AMATS offering suggestions. The following strategies are included in this category:

- Expanding transit services adds new vehicles to expand transit services.
- Optimal control of headways by realigning transit service schedules and stop locations provides better accessibility to transit to a greater share of the population.
- Providing real-time information on transit schedules and arrivals using various ITS strategies provides real-time information so potential transit riders can estimate wait time.
- Universal transit fare cards and incentives may be offered to students, employees, or residents to help reduce the cost of transit to the user.
- Bus Rapid Transit high-quality bus-service that utilizes dedicated lanes, busways, traffic signal priority, off-board fare collection and enhanced or elevated stations to make transit more efficient and reliable. These lines work best in dense urban areas.
- Prioritizing transit vehicles at traffic signals gives transit vehicles priority at signals to help them run on schedule.





These strategies are strongly linked with most of the congestion management strategies. The recommended ITS strategies in the AMATS region are listed below:

- Traffic Signal Improvements Optimizing and coordinating the timing of traffic signals to improve traffic flow through a corridor or specific intersections.
- Simulation models Although, the AMATS has established travel demand models for predicting and evaluating the traffic in the region, simulation models may be used to analyze and evaluate the impact of operational strategies.
- Cars Connected to Cars / Cars Connected to Infrastructure When one vehicle can communicate to another vehicle nearby—in front, behind, etc. it's the core of autonomous driving technology. Sensors detect what's going on around the vehicle and additional technology can share that data with other vehicles on the road. The vehicle is also able to send and receive information about the infrastructure that can include physical things such as traffic signals and weather alert systems. The vehicle can send that data out while simultaneously the infrastructure can send important data back to it.
- Real-time traffic feedback The real-time traffic feedback provides information about the traffic around the city. A popular real-time feedback app used in Ohio was developed by ODOT and is called OHGO. It provides real-time information about traffic conditions, incidents, construction projects, and weather. It can be viewed on cell phones and computers.

## Tier 5: Capacity Expansion

As our national road network has grown dramatically over the last several decades, only a limited amount of funding remains for new road and lane construction. Construction and right-of-way costs for new roads are very expensive. New roads and adding additional through lanes is considered a last resort as system preservation is the main objective in the AMATS region. Financial restrictions, adverse environmental impacts, and project duration also make capacity improvements less attractive and feasible.

Capacity expansion may be necessary on major arterials and freeways as most other are not congested enough to warrant such an improvement. These roadways may benefit from capacity expansion projects as it will improve flow of all vehicles including transit and freight vehicles, not just passenger vehicles. This could reduce emissions and fuel consumption, and increased productivity and economic development. However, typically constructing new lanes is followed with additional demand for the roadway as travel times improve. More vehicles begin to use the roadway which then begins to reduce travel times. This is referred to as induced demand.

The capacity improvements include the following strategies:

- Removing bottlenecks by constructing new lanes removes or corrects short, isolated, and temporary lane reductions and substandard design elements.
- Closing gaps in the existing network provides more connections and means of traveling between places.
- Add travel lanes on major freeways and streets (including truck climbing lanes on grades) allows for additional vehicles to move through an area uninhibited by congestion.

## **Evaluation of Strategies**

Congestion management strategies were evaluated based upon their effectiveness and feasibility. The effectiveness was determined by how well each strategy would reduce congestion in the AMATS area. To make this determination, the strategies were reviewed by examining regional characteristics, previous local success of the strategies and examples from other urban areas. Decisions on the effectiveness of each strategy were made based on the data collected and staff input. Feasibility was rated by the degree to which the strategy could be realistically implemented in the region. Table 8-1 lists the strategies along with their corresponding effectiveness and feasibility.

| TIER                   | STRATEGY   | BENEFITS  | EFFECTIVENESS | FEASIBILITY  |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|
|                        | Telecommuting  | Reduces traffic, especially during peak hours             | Medium / High | Medium       |
| l:<br>nen              | Flexible / Alternative Work Hours  | Reduces traffic, especially during peak hours             | Medium        | Low / Medium |
| ier ager               | Carpooling   | Reduces traffic, especially during peak hours             | Medium / High | High         |
| T O T U                | Employer Incentive Program   | Reduces traffic, especially during peak hours             | Medium / High | Low          |
| Г                      | Alternative Modes of Transportation  | Reduces traffic   | Low / Medium  | Low          |
|                        |  |   | A. I. / T. I. | M. F         |
|                        | Adding Exclusive Left Turning Lanes  | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium / High | Medium       |
|                        | Reversible Commuter Lanes on Freeways  | Improves traffic capacity / flow                          | Medium / High | Low          |
|                        | Variable Speed Limits  | Improves traffic capacity / flow                          | Low / Medium  | Low          |
| _ s                    | Variable Message Signs   | Improves traffic flow and reduces additional congestion   | Low / Medium  | Medium       |
| 2:<br>onal             | Exclusive Shoulder Lanes for Buses   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium        | Low          |
| ier                    | Geometric Improvements to Road and Intersections   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium / High | High         |
| Ded Ded L              | Channelization   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Low / Medium  | Medium       |
| -                      | Median Barriers (Moveable) to Facilitate More Capacity During Peak Period                      | Improves traffic capacity / flow                          | Medium / High | Low          |
|                        | Traveler Information   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Low / Medium  | High         |
|                        | Complete Streets   | Improves capacity for alternative modes of transportation | Low / Medium  | Medium       |
|                        | Overpasses or Underpasses at Congested Intersections or Railroads                              | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | High          | Low / Medium |
|                        |  |   | I-            | -            |
|                        | Expanding Transit Services   | Encourage transit use / reduces SOV vehicles              | Low           | Low          |
| nsit                   | Optimal Control of Headways by Realigning Transit Service Schedules and Stop Locations         | Makes transit easier to use / reduces SOV vehicles        | Low           | Medium       |
| r 3:<br>Tra<br>'em     | Providing Real-Time Information on Transit Schedules and Arrivals Using Various ITS Strategies | Makes transit easier to use / reduces SOV vehicles        | Low           | Medium       |
| Dic Tie                | Universal Transit Fare Cards and Incentives  | Makes transit easier to use / reduces SOV vehicles        | Low           | High         |
| Pu<br>Im               | Bus Rapid Transit  | Makes transit easier to use / reduces SOV vehicles        | Medium        | Medium       |
|                        | Prioritizing Transit Vehicles at Traffic Signals   | Makes transit easier to use / reduces SOV vehicles        | Medium        | Medium       |
|                        | m. m. o 17   |   | A 10 / 170 A  | X X. 1       |
| s                      | Iraffic Signal Improvements  | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium / High | High         |
| rs 4<br>tegi           | Simulation Models  | Helps determine and fund projects with the most impact    | Medium / High | Medium       |
| Stra T                 | Cars Connected to Cars / Cars Connected to Infrastructure                                      | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium / High | Low          |
|                        | Real-Time Traffic Feedback   | Improves traffic flow / reduces additional congestion     | Medium / High | High         |
| × u                    | Removing Bottlenecks by Constructing New Lanes   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium        | Low          |
| er 5:<br>acit<br>unsic | Closing Gans in the Existing Network   | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium        | Low          |
| Cap<br>Cxpa            | Add Travel Lanes on Major Freeways and Streets (Including Truck Climbing Lanes on Crades)      | Improves traffic flow / safety                            | Medium        | Low          |
| - 4                    | Add Traver Lanes on Wrajor Freeways and Success (including Fruck Chimbing Lanes on Grades)     | Improves traine now / safety                              | Wiedium       | LOW          |

#### Table 8-1 | Congestion Management Strategies

SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFTer 8 - Congestion Management Strategies and Assessments | 28

## **Congestion Management Recommendations**

## Roadways

In the previous chapter, 5 tiers of congestion management strategies were identified and evaluated to determine their effectiveness and political feasibility. In this chapter AMATS applies these strategies to areas of congestion within the AMATS region.

As a reminder the tiers are as follows:

Tier 1: Demand management Tier 2: Traffic and roadway operational improvements Tier 3: Public Transportation improvements Tier 4: ITS Strategies Tier 5: Capacity expansion

## **Freeway Segments**

Each freeway segment was analyzed by direction throughout the 2017 year. Then, the peak-hour with the lowest speed percent was used to determine congestion levels for each segment. Table 9-1 and Map 9-1 includes 32 freeway segment locations that have a speed of 75 percent or lower of free-flow speed, i.e., congested. Recommendations have been listed for each congested segment. Given that the data was taken from calendar year 2017, a few locations have had projects completed or have upcoming projects soon; therefore, the recommendation for these locations is to monitor them in the future. These are still listed in the table with the project number and description included to make future monitoring easier.

Overall, the freeways within the AMATS region function well, and most will continue to do so into the future. Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) is in charge of maintaining freeway segments and funding improvements on these segments. ODOT coordinates with AMATS on a regular basis to define potential projects. This coordination helps maintain good traffic flow throughout the region.

## **Freeway Ramps**

Each freeway ramp was analyzed throughout the 2017 year. Those freeway ramps that had a speed of 65 percent or less of free-flow speed are considered congested. Table 9-2 lists and Map 9-2 shows the 10 freeway ramps that were considered congested. Please note that many of these ramps are related to I-80, the Ohio Turnpike, and are therefore are controlled and maintained by their commission.

## **Freeway Interchanges**

Each freeway interchange was analyzed throughout the 2017 year. Freeway interchange segments are typically segments on arterial roadway that are between freeway interchange ramps. The freeway interchange segments that had a speed of 65 percent or less than free-flow speed are considered congested. Table 9-3 lists and Map 9-3 displays the 15 freeway interchange segments that were considered congested. Please note that many of these segments are adjacent to congested arterial segments or intersections.

## **Arterial Segments**

Each arterial segment on the network was analyzed by direction throughout the 2017 year. Then, the peak-hour with the lowest speed was used to determine whether a segment is congested. Table 9-4 and Map 9-4 include 89 arterial segment locations that have a speed of 65 percent or lower of free-flow speed, i.e., congested. Recommendations are listed for each congested segment. Given that the data was taken from calendar year 2017, a few locations have had projects completed or have upcoming projects soon; therefore, the recommendation for these locations is to monitor them in the future. These locations are still listed in the table with the corresponding project number and description to make future analysis easier. Some congested locations might only have a recommendation to monitor after nearby construction is complete because these segments might be impacted by other construction projects nearby and that specific location might also have limited feasibility for improvements.

## Intersections

Intersections were analyzed throughout the 2017 year to determine congested approaches. Intersections were considered congested if the peak-hour speed was 65 percent or less of the free-flow speed. These congested intersections are listed in Table 9-5 and shown on Map 9-5. Each congested segment in the table includes a recommendation, even if that recommendation is to monitor the intersection in the future, similar to arterials.

| NAME  | POLITICAL UNIT                 | PEAKTIME     | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER  |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---|
| I-271 NB bet SR 82 and I-480  | Macedonia                      | 7:30-8:30 AM | 32.04       | Project 89548 complete, included adding through lanes; Monitor                                    |
| SR 8 SB bet Forge St and Market St                                  | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 32.61       | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| SR 8 SB bet Glenwood Ave and SB On-ramp                             | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 33.74       | Project 91710 planned, includes new bridges with auxiliary lanes; Monitor                         |
| SR 8 SB through the Perkins St interchange                          | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 34.00       | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| SR 8 SB bet Tallmadge On-ramp and Glenwood Ave Bridge               | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 34.68       | Project 91710 planned, includes new bridges with auxiliary lanes; Monitor                         |
| SR 8 SB bet Glenwood Ave On-ramp and Perkins St Off-ramp            | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 37.56       | Project 91710 planned, includes new bridges with auxiliary lanes; Monitor                         |
| SR 8 SB through the Tallmadge Ave interchange                       | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 38.06       | Project 91710 planned, includes new bridges with auxiliary lanes; Monitor                         |
| I-77 NB through the I-80 Interchange                                | Richfield                      | 7:30-8:30 AM | 40.70       | Project 111405 planned, includes adding through lanes; Increased express bus service; Monitor     |
| SR 8 SB bet Market St and the Central Interchange                   | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 41.16       | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| I-77 NB S of I-80 Ramps   | Richfield                      | 7:30-8:30 AM | 42.96       | Project 111405 planned, includes adding through lanes; Increased express bus service; Monitor     |
| SR 8 SB bet Cuyahoga Falls on ramp and Tallmadge off ramp           | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 48.03       | 1 and 4   |
| I-77 NB bet Brecksville Rd and I-80                                 | Richfield                      | 7:30-8:30 AM | 57.66       | Project 111405 planned, includes adding through lanes; Increased express bus service; Monitor     |
| SR 8 SB bet Gorge Blvd and On-ramp from Cuyahoga Falls Ave          | Akron                          | 4:00-5:00 PM | 63.53       | 1 and 4   |
| SR 8 SB bet Valley View Rd and SR 82                                | Macedonia / Sagamore Hills Twp | 5:00-6:00 PM | 64.31       | 1 and 4   |
| I-76/77 EB through the Main St/Broadway St interchange              | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 65.28       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| I-76/77 EB bet west of Wolf Ledges Off-ramp to Wolf Ledges Off-ramp | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 65.59       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| I-76/77 EB bet Wolf Ledges Off-ramp and Wolf Ledges bridge          | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 65.85       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| SR 8 SB bet ramp split and I-76 mainline                            | Akron                          | 5:00-6:00 PM | 66.89       | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| SR 8/I-77 SB bet I-76 and Lovers Lane                               | Akron                          | 5:00-6:00 PM | 67.1        | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| I-76/77 EB bet South St On-ramp and Main St Off-ramp                | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 67.12       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| SR 8 SB bet Front St and Howe Ave On-ramp                           | Akron                          | 4:00-5:00 PM | 67.13       | 1 and 4   |
| I-76/77 EB bet Wolf Ledges and Grant St                             | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 68.08       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| I-76/77 EB bet Grant St and Grant St On-ramp                        | Akron                          | 4:45-5:45 PM | 69.51       | Project 77269 nearly complete, included reconstruction and modification of access points; Monitor |
| I-77 NB bet Arlington Rd and I-277/US 224                           | Coventry Twp                   | 7:30-8:30 AM | 71.0        | Project 106002 planned, includes add through lanes; Monitor                                       |
| I-77 NB at Waterloo Rd  | Akron / Coventry Twp           | 7:30-8:30 AM | 71.87       | 1 and 4   |
| I-77 NB bet Waterloo Rd and Wilbeth Rd                              | Akron                          | 7:30-8:30 AM | 72.09       | 1 and 4   |
| SR 8 NB bet E Market St and Perkins Off-ramp                        | Akron                          | 5:00-6:00 PM | 72.89       | Project 102329 planned, includes added through lanes; Monitor                                     |
| SR 8 SB through the Portage Trail interchange                       | Cuyahoga Falls                 | 7:30-8:30 AM | 72.99       | 1 and 4   |
| SR 8 SB through the Howe Ave interchange                            | Cuyahoga Falls                 | 4:00-5:00 PM | 73.34       | 1 and 4   |
| SR 8 NB bet Perkins St Off-ramp and the High Level Bridge           | Akron                          | 5:00-6:00 PM | 73.48       | Project 91710 planned, includes new bridges with auxiliary lanes; Monitor                         |
| I-77 SB bet Lovers Lane and Cole Ave                                | Akron                          | 5:00-6:00 PM | 73.64       | 1 and 4   |
| SR & SR bet Broad Blyd and the SB On-ramp                           | Cuwahora Falls                 | 7:30-8:30 AM | 73 78       | 1 and 4   |

### Table 9-1 | Freeway Segment Recommendations

#### Table 9-2 | Freeway Ramp Recommendations

|  |                | , ,           |             |  |
|--|----------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| NAME                                     | POLITICAL UNIT | PEAKTIME      | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER   |
| SR 8 NB to I-80 EB Connector             | Boston Heights | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 40.71       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-271 NB to SR 8 intersection            | Macedonia      | 7:45-8:45 AM  | 44.37       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-80 WB Connector bet I-80 and SR 8      | Boston Heights | 5:15-6:15 PM  | 46.69       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| Ramp from I-80 WB Connector to I-77 NB   | Richfield      | 7:30-8:30 AM  | 54.98       | Project 111405 planned, includes adding through lanes; Monitor |
| I-271 SB to SR 8 intersection            | Macedonia      | 9:00-10:00 PM | 55.33       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-480 SWB Connector bet I-480 and I-80   | Streetsboro    | 9:15-10:15 PM | 57.22       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-80 EB Connector bet SR 8 SB and I-80   | Boston Heights | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 57.23       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-80 EB to I-80 WB connector (SR 8 exit) | Boston Heights | 5:15-6:15 PM  | 59.16       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| SR 21 SB to I-76 WB                      | Norton         | 9:30-10:30 AM | 60.80       | 1, 2 and 4   |
| I-80 WB to I-80 WB connector (SR 8 exit) | Boston Heights | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 64.10       | 1, 2 and 4   |

| NAME  | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT       | PEAKTIME      | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER   |
|---|-----------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| SR 59 Perkins St through the SR 8 interchange     | EB        | Akron                | 4:30-5:30 PM  | 46.68       | 2 and 5  |
| Tallmadge Ave through the SR 8 interchange        | WB        | Akron                | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 51.79       | 2 and 5  |
| White Pond Dr through the I-77 interchange        | SB        | Akron                | 4:15-5:15 PM  | 52.19       | 2 and 5  |
| Tallmadge Rd through the I-76 interchange         | WB        | Por Co-Brimfield Twp | 12:00-1:00 PM | 53.01       | Project 98585 planned, includes operational improvements; Monitor  |
| White Pond Dr through the I-77 Interchange        | NB        | Akron                | 7:30-8:30 AM  | 56.31       | 2 and 5  |
| SR 241 Massillon Rd through the I-77 interchange  | NB        | Green                | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 56.73       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts; Monitor |
| Tallmadge Rd through the I-76 interchange         | EB        | Por Co-Brimfield Twp | 4:15-5:15 PM  | 57.68       | Project 98585 planned, includes operational improvements; Monitor  |
| Howe Ave through the SR 8 interchange             | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls       | 12:15-1:15 PM | 57.77       | 2 and 5  |
| SR 303 through the SR 8 interchange               | WB        | Boston Heights       | 7:30-8:30 AM  | 57.81       | 2 and 5  |
| Broad Blvd through the SR 8 interchange           | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls       | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 57.84       | 2 and 5  |
| SR 8 SB through the I-271 interchange             | SB        | Macedonia            | 7:30-8:30 AM  | 62.26       | 2 and 5  |
| Massillon Rd through the I-77 interchange         | SB        | Green                | 4:15-5:15 PM  | 62.38       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts; Monitor |
| SR 261 Tallmadge Ave through the SR 8 interchange | EB        | Akron                | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 62.58       | 2 and 5  |
| SR 532 Southeast Ave through the I-76 interchange | SB        | Tallmadge            | 5:15-6:15 PM  | 62.70       | 2 and 5  |
| Ghent Rd through the I-77 interchange             | NB        | Sum Co-Bath Twp      | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 63.64       | 2 and 5  |

### Table 9-3 | Freeway Interchange Recommendations

#### Table 9-4 | Arterial Segment Recommendations

| NAME  | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT     | PEAKTIME       | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER   |
|---|-----------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| Brittain Rd from Independence Ave to Howe Ave   | NB        | Akron              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 28.60       | 2, 3 and 4   |
| SR 91 from Aurora St to SR 303                  | SB        | Hudson             | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 30.80       | 4  |
| SR 91 from Veterans Way to SR 303               | NB        | Hudson             | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 32.80       | 4  |
| SR 91 from SR 303 to Aurora St                  | NB        | Hudson             | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 37.80       | 4  |
| SR 91 from Valley View Rd to Aurora St          | SB        | Hudson             | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 38.00       | 4  |
| SR 59 from Union St to SR 8 SB ramps            | EB        | Akron              | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 40.50       | 2 and 4  |
| SR 303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury Blvd      | EB        | Hudson             | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 41.10       | 4  |
| Cedar St from Rand St to Dart Ave               | EB        | Akron              | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 43.48       | Project PID 88990 completed, included signal interconnect and bike lanes, Monitor  |
| SR 14 from SR 303 W Jct to SR 303 E Jct         | EB        | Streetsboro        | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 44.02       | Project PID 99879 completed, included signal interconnect, Monitor   |
| SR 18 from SR 59 to High St                     | EB        | Akron              | 8:30-9:30 AM   | 44.85       | 3 and 4  |
| SR 303 from Atterbury Blvd to SR 91             | EB        | Hudson             | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 47.10       | 4  |
| SR 91 from Georgetown Rd to Terex Rd            | SB        | Hudson             | 6:00-7:00 PM   | 47.30       | 4  |
| SR 14 from SR 5 WB ramps to SR 59               | WB        | Por Co-Ravenna Twp | 7:15-8:15 AM   | 47.53       | 2  |
| Firestone Blvd from S Main St and Grant St      | EB        | Akron              | 5:00-6:00 AM   | 48.53       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 241 from Raber Rd to Boettler Rd             | SB        | Green              | 10:00-11:00 AM | 49.50       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts, Monitor |
| West Ave from Brittain Rd to Tallmadge Circle   | EB        | Tallmadge          | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 51.44       | 2  |
| SR 91 from SR 303 to Veterans Way               | SB        | Hudson             | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 51.50       | 4  |
| SR 59 Front St from 2nd St to Hudson Dr         | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls     | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 51.56       | 2  |
| SR 241 from Graybill Rd to Steese Rd            | SB        | Green              | 1:00-2:00 PM   | 51.90       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 241 from SR 619 to Raber Rd                  | SB        | Green              | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 52.20       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts, Monitor   |
| Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater Pkwy to SR 8 | EB        | Stow               | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 52.70       | 4  |
| Ravenna Rd from SR 91 to Idlewood Dr            | NB        | Twinsburg          | 6:00-7:00 PM   | 53.10       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Akron Cleveland Rd to Terex Rd      | EB        | Hudson             | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 53.30       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to SR 91                | WB        | Hudson             | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 53.40       | 4  |
| W Exchange St from Dart Ave to Rand St          | WB        | Akron              | 6:15-7:15 AM   | 53.76       | Project 88990 completed, included signal interconnect and bike lanes, Monitor  |
| Hudson Dr from Walmart Dr to Graham Rd          | SB        | Stow               | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 54.10       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 14 from I-80 ramps to SR 43                  | EB        | Streetsboro        | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 54.14       | Project 99879 completed, included signal interconnect; Monitor   |

| Table 9-4 | Arterial Segmen | t Recommendations |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
|           |                 |                   |

| NAME   | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT      | PEAK TIME      | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER   |
|--|-----------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| SR 82 from SR 306 to SR 43                               | WB        | Aurora              | 9:15-10:15 AM  | 54.43       | Project 107761 planned, includes signal interconnect; Monitor  |
| State Rd from Marc Dr to Bath Rd                         | SB        | Cuyahoga Falls      | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 55.20       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to Stow Rd                       | EB        | Hudson              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.50       | 4  |
| Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bridgewater Pkwy | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls/Stow | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.60       | 4  |
| High St from SR 59 to SR 18                              | SB        | Akron               | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 55.75       | 2 and 4  |
| SR 241 from Boettler Rd to Raber Rd                      | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 55.80       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts; Monitor |
| Brittain Rd from Howe Ave to Independence Ave            | SB        | Akron               | 10:00-11:00 AM | 56.30       | 2, 3 and 4   |
| SR 241 from Graybill Rd to Boettler Rd                   | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 56.30       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| Ridgewood Rd from I-77 NB On-ramp to Miller Rd           | EB        | Fairlawn/Copley Twp | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 56.43       | 4  |
| SR 241 from SR 619 to Raber Rd                           | SB        | Green               | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 52.20       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts, Monitor   |
| Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater Pkwy to SR 8          | EB        | Stow                | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 52.70       | 4  |
| Ravenna Rd from SR 91 to Idlewood Dr                     | NB        | Twinsburg           | 6:00-7:00 PM   | 53.10       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Akron Cleveland Rd to Terex Rd               | EB        | Hudson              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 53.30       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to SR 91                         | WB        | Hudson              | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 53.40       | 4  |
| W Exchange St from Dart Ave to Rand St                   | WB        | Akron               | 6:15-7:15 AM   | 53.76       | Project 88990 completed, included signal interconnect and bike lanes, Monitor  |
| Hudson Dr from Walmart Dr to Graham Rd                   | SB        | Stow                | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 54.10       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 14 from I-80 ramps to SR 43                           | EB        | Streetsboro         | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 54.14       | Project 99879 completed, included signal interconnect; Monitor   |
| SR 82 from SR 306 to SR 43                               | WB        | Aurora              | 9:15-10:15 AM  | 54.43       | Project 107761 planned, includes signal interconnect; Monitor  |
| State Rd from Marc Dr to Bath Rd                         | SB        | Cuyahoga Falls      | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 55.20       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to Stow Rd                       | EB        | Hudson              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.50       | 4  |
| Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bridgewater Pkwy | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls/Stow | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.60       | 4  |
| High St from SR 59 to SR 18                              | SB        | Akron               | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 55.75       | 2 and 4  |
| SR 241 from Boettler Rd to Raber Rd                      | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 55.80       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts; Monitor |
| Brittain Rd from Howe Ave to Independence Ave            | SB        | Akron               | 10:00-11:00 AM | 56.30       | 2, 3 and 4   |
| SR 241 from Graybill Rd to Boettler Rd                   | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 56.30       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| Ridgewood Rd from I-77 NB On-ramp to Miller Rd           | EB        | Fairlawn/Copley Twp | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 56.43       | 4  |
| SR 241 from SR 619 to Raber Rd                           | SB        | Green               | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 52.20       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts, Monitor   |
| Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater Pkwy to SR 8          | EB        | Stow                | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 52.70       | 4  |
| Ravenna Rd from SR 91 to Idlewood Dr                     | NB        | Twinsburg           | 6:00-7:00 PM   | 53.10       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Akron Cleveland Rd to Terex Rd               | EB        | Hudson              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 53.30       | 4  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to SR 91                         | WB        | Hudson              | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 53.40       | 4  |
| W Exchange St from Dart Ave to Rand St                   | WB        | Akron               | 6:15-7:15 AM   | 53.76       | Project 88990 completed, included signal interconnect and bike lanes, Monitor  |
| Hudson Dr from Walmart Dr to Graham Rd                   | SB        | Stow                | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 54.10       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 14 from I-80 ramps to SR 43                           | EB        | Streetsboro         | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 54.14       | Project 99879 completed, included signal interconnect; Monitor   |
| SR 82 from SR 306 to SR 43                               | WB        | Aurora              | 9:15-10:15 AM  | 54.43       | Project 107761 planned, includes signal interconnect; Monitor  |
| State Rd from Marc Dr to Bath Rd                         | SB        | Cuyahoga Falls      | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 55.20       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| SR 303 from Hayden Pkwy to Stow Rd                       | EB        | Hudson              | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.50       | 4  |
| Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bridgewater Pkwy | EB        | Cuvahoga Falls/Stow | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 55.60       | 4  |
| High St from SR 59 to SR 18                              | SB        | Akron               | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 55.75       | 2 and 4  |
| SR 241 from Boettler Rd to Raber Rd                      | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 55.80       | Project 90415 underway, includes widening and roundabouts; Projects 103172 & 103173 upcom-<br>ing, includes roundabouts; Monitor |
| Brittain Rd from Howe Ave to Independence Ave            | SB        | Akron               | 10:00-11:00 AM | 56.30       | 2, 3 and 4   |
| SR 241 from Graybill Rd to Boettler Rd                   | NB        | Green               | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 56.30       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete  |
| Ridgewood Rd from I-77 NB On-ramp to Miller Rd           | EB        | Fairlawn/Copley Twp | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 56.43       | 4  |
| Ravenna Rd from Chamberlin Rd to Cuyahoga Co Line        | NB        | Twinsburg           | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 60.60       | Project 113165 planned, includes intersection improvements at Shephard; Monitor  |
| SR 59 from Prospect St to Chestnut St                    | WB        | Ravenna             | 12:15-1:15 PM  | 60.63       | 4  |

| NAME  | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT  | PEAKTIME       | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER  |
|---|-----------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---|
| Brittain Rd from Independence Ave to Tallmadge Ave      | SB        | Akron           | 6:00-7:00 AM   | 60.70       | 2, 3 and 4  |
| SR 59 from Chestnut St to Prospect St                   | EB        | Ravenna         | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 60.70       | 4   |
| Aurora Hudson Rd from I-480 SB Ramps to Frost Rd        | EB        | Streetsboro     | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 60.90       | Project 92561 completed, included signal interconnect, turn lanes, bridge widening; Monitor                 |
| SR 303 from SR 91 to Atterbury Blvd                     | WB        | Hudson          | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 60.90       | 4   |
| Locust St from Exchange St to Cedar St                  | SB        | Akron           | 6:00-7:00 AM   | 61.34       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| SR 82 from SR 91 to Cannon Rd                           | WB        | Twinsburg       | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 61.37       | 4   |
| Newberry St from Broad Blvd to Portage Trail            | NB        | Cuyahoga Falls  | 1:00-2:00 PM   | 61.40       | 2 and 4   |
| SR 82 from SR 43 to SR 306                              | EB        | Aurora          | 10:00-11:00 AM | 61.48       | Project 107761 planned, includes signal interconnect; Monitor   |
| SR 303 from Atterbury Blvd to Boston Mills              | WB        | Hudson          | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 61.70       | 4   |
| SR 91 from Hudson Dr to Terex Rd                        | SB        | Hudson          | 6:00-7:00 PM   | 61.70       | 4   |
| Terex Rd from SR 91 to Hudson Dr                        | WB        | Hudson          | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 61.80       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| S Arlington Rd from SR 619 to I-77 SB ramps             | NB        | Green           | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 62.36       | 4   |
| SR 91 from Terex Rd to Hudson Dr                        | NB        | Hudson          | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 62.70       | 4   |
| Ghent Rd from Smith Rd to Market St                     | SB        | Fairlawn        | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 63.00       | 2 and 4   |
| SR 18 from High St to SR 59                             | WB        | Akron           | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 63.15       | 3 and 4   |
| Cleveland Massillon Rd from Bywood Ave to Elgin Dr      | SB        | Fairlawn        | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 63.20       | Project 103293 underway, includes widen to 5 lanes, roundabout, signal upgrade; Monitor                     |
| State Rd from Bath Rd to Graham Rd                      | SB        | Cuyahoga Falls  | 3:00-4:00 PM   | 63.20       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| SR 18 from Union St to High St                          | WB        | Akron           | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 63.21       | 3 and 4   |
| SR 91 from Terex Rd to Georgetown Rd                    | NB        | Hudson          | 12:00-1:00 PM  | 63.30       | 4   |
| Ghent Rd from I-77 SB Ramps to Cleveland Massillon Rd   | NB        | Sum Co-Bath Twp | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 63.37       | Project to realign intersection and add new right turn lane underway; Monitor                               |
| Cedar St from Dart Ave to Locust St                     | EB        | Akron           | 7:45-8:45 AM   | 63.41       | Monitor   |
| SR 91 North Ave from Howe Rd to Tallmadge Circle        | SB        | Tallmadge       | 4:45-5:45 PM   | 63.44       | Project 93444 completed, included reconstruction with turn lanes and sidewalks; Monitor                     |
| SR 59 under the SR 18 Market St bridge                  | EB        | Akron           | 7:00-8:00 AM   | 63.85       | Project 75436 completed, included SR 59 rerouting and intersection improvements at Howard/<br>Main; Monitor |
| Graham Rd from Bath Rd to Wyoga Lake Rd                 | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls  | 4:00-5:00 PM   | 63.90       | 2   |
| Opportunity Pkwy from Cedar St to SR 59                 | WB        | Akron           | 5:00-6:00 AM   | 63.95       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| SR 59 from River St to Water St                         | EB        | Kent            | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 64.15       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| SR 18 Market St bridge over SR 59                       | EB        | Akron           | 8:00-9:00 AM   | 64.21       | 3 and 4   |
| N Miller Rd from Sand Run Pkwy to Market St             | SB        | Fairlawn        | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 64.33       | 2   |
| Broad Blvd from 2nd St to SR 8 SB ramps                 | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls  | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 64.45       | 2 and 4   |
| Reimer Rd from Medina Line Rd to Cleveland Massillon Rd | EB        | Norton          | 5:00-6:00 AM   | 64.56       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| SR 82 from I-480 WB ramps to SR 91                      | EB        | Twinsburg       | 5:00-6:00 PM   | 64.74       | 4   |
| State Rd from Ouick Rd to Steels Corners Rd             | SB        | Cuvahoga Falls  | 2:00-3:00 PM   | 65.00       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |

#### Table 9-4 | Arterial Segment Recommendations

#### Table 9-5 | Intersection Recommendations

|  |           | •                   |               |             |   |
|--|-----------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|---|
| NAME   | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT      | PEAKTIME      | % FREE FLOW | RECOMMENDED TIER                              |
| SR 8 NB S of and adjacent to SR 82             | NB        | Macedonia           | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 33.99       | 4   |
| SR 8 SB north leg of SR 82 intersection        | SB        | Macedonia           | 12:00-1:00 PM | 34.57       | 4   |
| SR 14/44 N of and adjacent to SR 59            | EB        | Por Co-Ravenna Twp  | 1:15-2:15 PM  | 47.76       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete |
| SR 8 NB S of and adjacent to Valley View Rd    | NB        | Macedonia           | 3:00-4:00 PM  | 49.82       | 4   |
| Southeast Ave NW of Eastwood Ave               | SB        | Tallmadge           | 4:45-5:45 PM  | 51.19       | 2   |
| US 224 E of and adjacent to SR 241             | WB        | Akron               | 9:15-10:15 AM | 52.79       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete |
| SR 91 both legs of Graham Rd intersection      | SB        | Stow                | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 54.67       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete |
| SR 18 W Market St at Ghent Rd                  | EB        | Fairlawn            | 1:45-2:45 PM  | 54.83       | 4   |
| US 224 W of and adjacent to SR 241             | EB        | Akron               | 7:15-8:15 AM  | 55.81       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete |
| SR 44 through US 224 intersection              | NB        | Randolph Twp        | 5:00-6:00 PM  | 55.83       | 4   |
| Ridgewood Rd bet I-77 NB on ramp and Miller Rd | EB        | Fairlawn/Copley Twp | 7:45-8:45 AM  | 56.43       | 4   |

| NAME   | DIRECTION | POLITICAL UNIT         | PEAKTIME          | % FREE FLOW | <b>RECOMMENDED TIER</b>   |
|--|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|
| Wheatley Rd through the Brecksville Rd intersection  | NB        | Richfield              | 5:30-6:30 PM      | 56.62       | 2   |
| Cleveland Massillon Rd through Copley Circle         | SB        | Sum Co-Copley Twp      | 7:30-8:30 AM      | 57.33       | Project 103171 completed, included additional turn lanes; Monitor                                       |
| Brecksville Rd through the Wheatley Rd Intersection  | SB        | Richfield              | 5:15-6:15 PM      | 57.86       | 2   |
| Broad Blvd bet RR tracks and SR 8 NB ramps           | WB        | Cuyahoga Falls         | 4:30-5:30 PM      | 58.04       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| Merriman Rd W of and adjacent to Portage Path        | SB        | Akron                  | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 58.69       | 2   |
| Ghent Rd N of and adjacent to W Market St            | SB        | Fairlawn               | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 58.89       | 4   |
| SR 261 S of and adjacent to Summit Rd                | EB        | Kent/Franklin Twp      | 4:00-5:00 PM      | 58.96       | 4   |
| SR 43 through SR 261 intersection                    | NB        | Kent                   | 4:45-5:45 PM      | 59.13       | 2   |
| Portage Trail Ext W of and adjacent to State Rd      | EB        | Cuyahoga Falls         | 12:00-1:00 PM     | 60.10       | Project 108084 planned, includes add two-way left turn lane; Monitor                                    |
| SR 91 N of and adjacent to Graham Rd                 | NB        | Stow                   | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 60.87       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| Canton Rd through the US 224 Intersection            | NB        | Sum Co-Springfield Twp | 4:00-5:00 PM      | 61.31       | Project 89113 underway, includes concrete median and turn lanes; Monitor                                |
| Cleveland Massillon Rd through Copley Circle         | NB        | Sum Co-Copley Twp      | 7:30-8:30 AM      | 61.31       | Project 103171 completed, included additional turn lanes; Monitor                                       |
| SR 44 at the US 224 intersection                     | SB        | Por Co-Randolph Twp    | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 61.44       | 4   |
| US 224 through the SR 91 intersection                | EB        | Sum Co-Springfield Twp | 4:45-5:45 PM      | 61.61       | Project 89113 underway, includes concrete median and turn lanes; Monitor                                |
| Brecksville Rd through the Wheatley Rd Intersection  | NB        | Richfield              | 7:45-8:45 AM      | 62.03       | 2   |
| SR 91 Canton Rd through the US 224 intersection      | SB        | Sum Co-Springfield Twp | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 62.32       | Project 89113 underway, includes concrete median and turn lanes; Monitor                                |
| Wilbeth Rd E of and adjacent to SR 93                | WB        | Akron                  | 4:00-5:00 PM      | 62.46       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |
| Cleveland Massillon Rd bet the Ridgewood Roads       | NB        | Fairlawn/Copley Twp    | 3:00-4:00 PM      | 63.44       | Project 108131 completed, included add turn lanes; Monitor  |
| Merriman Rd at Portage Path Intersection             | NB        | Akron                  | 5:00-6:00 AM      | 63.67       | 2   |
| Waterloo Rd through the Arlington St intersection    | EB        | Akron                  | 3:00-4:00 PM      | 63.99       | Project 96359 completed, included intersection improvements; Monitor                                    |
| SR 43 through US 224 intersection                    | NB        | Por Co-Suffield Twp    | 8:00-9:00 PM      | 64.01       | 4   |
| E Main St W of and adjacent to Willow/Haymaker       | EB        | Kent                   | 4:00-5:00 PM      | 64.11       | Project 112026 planned, includes reconstruction with median, roundabouts, and bus pull-outs;<br>Monitor |
| Cleveland Massillon Rd through Ghent Rd intersection | NB        | Sum Co-Bath Twp        | 7:45-8:45 AM      | 64.14       | Project to realign intersection and add new right turn lane underway; Monitor                           |
| SR 18 E of and adjacent to Smith Rd                  | WB        | Fairlawn               | 4:00-5:00 PM      | 64.49       | 4   |
| Wheatley Rd through the Brecksville Rd intersection  | SB        | Richfield              | 5:00-6:00 PM      | 64.51       | 2   |
| SR 82 through the SR 8 intersection                  | WB        | Macedonia              | 12:15-1:15 PM     | 64.59       | 4   |
| Portage Trail Ext E of and adjacent to Portage Path  | WB        | Akron/Cuyahoga Falls   | 11:30 AM-12:30 PM | 64.63       | Monitor after nearby construction is complete   |

#### Table 9-5 | Intersection Recommendations





SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT Chapter 9 - Congestion Management Recommendations 36







## **Public Transit**

As part of the congestion management process, AMATS identifies potential strategies to alleviate congestion and evaluates the expected effectiveness of those strategies in improving the efficiency and safety of existing and future transportation systems. As an established method for reducing single occupancy vehicles (SOVs), strategies aimed at making transit more attractive or accessible can help to reduce the number of vehicles on the road.

The 2020 AMATS Transit Plan made a number of recommendations suited for congestion management. Specific strategies include: high frequency fixed route transit service on congested arterials, realigning routes and services to meet demographic changes, flexible fare policies and employer-based incentive programs, transit oriented land use development, integrating the scheduling and services of the region's transit agencies and improving access to multiple modes of travel (pedestrian, bicycle, vehicle).

Public transportation will never completely replace the automobile for most people. However, with a well maintained and effective public transit system, an increasing percentage of people may come to rely on transit for their transportation needs. Ultimately, any increase in transit use will reduce congestion and vehicle emissions in the AMATS area.

#### Increased Service Frequency (Headways)

High ridership transit routes on congested roadways have the potential to improve transportation if headways are improved. Transit has always been an affordable alternative to cars. With headways improved to the point that schedules are no longer necessary, transit becomes an easy choice in high density development areas. For this reason, it is recommended that transit agencies review their routes and consider adding more frequent service on their busiest routes.

#### **Consider Transit Oriented Development**

Certain intersections or neighborhoods are particularly viable for frequent transit service. Transit stops with characteristics such as high population and job densities, proximity to popular destinations and overall neighborhood vitality may be greatly enhanced through the establishment of transit oriented development/design (TOD) nodes.

METRO's restructured route system intends to use a number of nodes outside of the city center to connect multiple routes beyond the standard radial system. TOD at

these nodes would aid in transit ridership and efficiency. Common TOD treatments include:

- Wide, pedestrian friendly sidewalks
- Buildings containing a mixture of uses, built near and facing towards the street
- Incorporation of an inviting ground-level feel: active uses, transparency, pedestrian shelters, bicycle racks, attractive signage, etc.
- Parking located behind the building, typically with alleyway access
- Well-designed bus shelters, bus stops and bus pull-offs (bus bays) for comfortable waiting and loading/unloading, developed in coordination with local communities

#### Continued Support for NEORide – Cross County Service and Coordination

Public transportation in Ohio has historically been funded through a dedicated portion of the county sales tax. Because of this funding structure, there has been a long-standing principle of only operating services within an agency's home county. NEORide is a Council of Governments (COG) formed originally by Akron METRO RTA, PARTA and SARTA (Stark County) in 2014 to coordinate fixed route and demand response service in northeast Ohio. This on-going transit study is examining the potential for expanded transit service linking Portage, Summit and Stark counties. Integrated services would create transit connections that are needed by transit users across the three counties, improve the efficiency and effectiveness of existing services, and would reduce the operating costs of all three agencies. The NEORide Inter-County Transit study identifies these inter-county transit needs and develops innovative approaches to improve inter-county services in the region. Cross-county service is a key strategy to growing overall transit ridership and a positive transit culture in our region. Key cross-county corridors include:

- Aurora Streetsboro Hudson Stow Cuyahoga Falls Akron
- · Akron Cuyahoga Falls Stow Kent Ravenna
- Akron Green North Canton Canton
- Akron Barberton Norton Wadsworth
- Solon Aurora Streetsboro

Please see the 2020 Transit Plan for more specific details regarding transit recommendations.

## Freight (Trucks and Railroads)

Proper freight movement can help reduce congestion on highly traveled roadways. Most truck freight movement is on interstates and state routes, so an improvement to those roadways will help both car and truck traffic. Please see the recommended highway improvements above, as many of those improvements will significantly impact freight.

Railroad-highway intersections are a source of congestion and safety concerns. Specific improvements related to rail recommendations are listed below.

- Provide support or engage in public-private partnerships to alleviate congestion on rail lines (such as CSX Lambert to Warwick section near Clinton and NS Cleveland to Pennsylvania Line that passes through Macedonia, Hudson and Ravenna on its way to Alliance)
- Improve rail lines owned by METRO RTA and make them available to local industry.
- Preserve out of service rail lines for future rail use or conversion to bike/ pedestrian trails
- Consider public/private partnerships with the rail companies in order to improve freight service in the area
- Improve the Hines Hill Road crossing of the Norfolk-Southern line in Hudson
- Rail grade separation at the following locations:
- » The Stow Road crossing of the Norfolk-Southern Line in Hudson
- » The North Main Street (SR 91) crossing of the CSX Line in Munroe Falls

Please see the 2020 Freight Plan for more detailed information regarding freight.

## **Evaluating Strategy Effectiveness**

Performance monitoring is not a one-time event, but rather an ongoing activity that must be matched to existing and future resources. This is how the AMATS will monitor not only the ongoing performance of the region's transportation system, but also the effectiveness of the strategies and projects that are put in place. By evaluating congestion in the area, AMATS can determine which strategies worked the best in mitigating specific types of congestion, and which had the least impact. This will in turn identify the best actions in subsequent CMP updates.

The CMP provides a framework for weighing congestion relief projects against one another in terms of effectiveness but does not establish priorities for the region. To effectively monitor the performance of the system, access to good, reliable and consistent data is important. AMATS and ODOT have longstanding data collection efforts, such as traffic volumes, pavement conditions and crashes, but there are issues related to standardization of data. AMATS is committed to an effective regional transportation monitoring system. It is important for AMATS to ensure the data collection efforts are coordinated to facilitate meaningful and efficient analysis. Performance measures are applied at multiple dimensions within the AMATS planning process and include evaluation of strategies at every stage in the process:

- Regional Analysis of Performance Measures
- · Project-level Analysis of Performance Measures
- · Determination of progress towards regional goals and objectives

The implemented strategies will be monitored to assess their effectiveness. Monitoring techniques and schedules will be dependent on the type of improvement that is implemented, and the data availability. It may take years to assess the benefits of safety-type improvements that are intended to reduce crash rates, crash severity, or incidents. Conversely, the benefits of capacity improvements are relatively easy to measure and assess.

The benefits of the implemented strategies will be documented in a biannual report. For the improvements that may not be accurately measured in a two-year time frame, results will be presented with a description of the limitations of monitoring. Capacity projects and other improvements that are implemented through non- CMP methods will still be monitored to determine their benefits. Based upon the monitoring results, the learned facts will feedback for the CMP to verify and update the used performance measures, the applied data analysis techniques, and the considered strategies. If necessary, the CMP objectives and the CMP itself will be adjusted.

All AMATS funded projects, related to improving congestion, sold between 2016 and

2019 were evaluated for effectiveness of reducing congestion. AMATS analyzed the projects' percent free flow speed in 2016 and 2019 to try to capture the percent before and after the project. This process can help AMATS determine the effectiveness of congestion related strategies. While AMATS included projects sold in 2019 those projects will continue to be monitored because most were not operational until at least 2020. A few of the earlier projects were multi-year projects meaning that their completion date might be in 2019 or later. These projects will also need to be monitored as new data becomes available.

It is important to keep in mind that while these projects were related to congestion, the main intent of the project may not have been congestion alleviation. Some projects were designed to improve safety, sometimes not just for vehicles but also pedestrians and bicyclists. If the project's main goal was not to reduce recurring congestion, the percent free flow speed may not have changed after project completion. This is not an indictment of the project and each project must be analyzed individually while keeping in mind the goals and intent of the project.



## Occomposition Management Process

|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | -  |   |                         |                                    |                                    |                                    |   |  |
|---------|-----------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| FY SOLD | CY<br>COMPLETED | CIId   | POLITICAL<br>UNIT               | LOCATION                         | TYPE<br>OF<br>WORK  | SEGMENT /<br>DIRECTION                                   | 2016 WORST<br>% FREFLOW                       | 2019 WORST<br>% FREFLOW | 2016<br>PEAK PERIOD<br>TRAFFIC VOL | 2019<br>PEAK PERIOD<br>TRAFFIC VOL | IMPROVEMENT<br>TYPE                | AFFECT ON<br>CONGESTION<br>AND TRAFFIC                                |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | S Lincoln St to Risman Dr (2-way)                        | 64  | 61                      | 1,619                              | 1,765                              |                                    | No significant change   |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Loordinated Signals,  | Risman Dr to Johnston Dr (EB only)                       | N/A   | 65                      | N/A                                | 1,638                              |                                    | N/A   |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 84546  | Kent                            | E Summit St<br>from S Lincoln St | Improvements,   | Johnston Dr to Fraternity Cir (WB only)                  | 60  | 61                      | 942                                | 1,785                              | Operational,<br>Bike / Ped         | No significant change in congestion / Traffic increased               |  |
| 2010    | 2019            | 04540  | Kent                            | to Loop Rd                       | Raised Median,  | Johnston Dr to Campus Center Dr (EB only)                | 65  | 68                      | 1,683                              | 1,674                              | Safety                             | Congestion decreased  |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Bike Lanes  | Campus Center Dr to Johnston Dr (WB only)                | 55  | 67                      | 1,502                              | 1,568                              |                                    | Congestion significantly decreased / No significant change in traffic |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | Campus Center Dr to Loop Rd (2-way)                      | 65  | 64                      | 2,141                              | 2,782                              |                                    | No significant change in congestion/traffic increased                 |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Signal Coordination   | N Diamond St from W Main St to Cleveland Rd (2-way)      | 42  | 79                      | 56                                 | N/A                                |                                    | Congestion significantly decreased                                    |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 02441  | D                               | Highland Ave & Diamond St,       | Preemption,   | Cleveland Rd from W Highland Ave to N Diamond St (2-way) | 77  | 76                      | 1,897                              | 1,845                              | Operational,                       | No significant change in both congestion and traffic                  |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 93441  | Kavenna                         | Diamond St & Cleveland Ave       | Ped Signals,  | W Highland Ave from Phelps St to Day St (2-way)          | 84  | 83                      | 113                                | 281                                | Safety                             | No significant change in congestion / Traffic increased               |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Curb Ramps  | N Sycamore St from W Main St to W Highland Ave (2-way)   | 80  | 69                      | 1,047                              | 1,747                              | ~                                  | Congestion and traffic both increased                                 |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 88528  | Coventry Twp<br>Springfield Twp | Arlington Rd                     | NB Left Turn Lane<br>at Warner Rd,<br>Signal Interconnect,<br>Sidewalks | Chenoweth Rd to Akron S Corp line (2-way)                | 74  | 70                      | 2,720                              | 1,653                              | Operational,<br>Ped                | No significant change in congestion / Traffic decreased               |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 93432  | Akron                           | Brittain Rd                      | Signal Coordination,<br>Fiber Optics                                    | E Market St to Eastwood Ave (2-way)                      | 65  | 77                      | 594                                | 933                                | Operational                        | Congestion significantly decreased / Traffic increased                |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 85076  | Norton                          | Cleveland Massillon Rd           | Median Turn Lane,<br>Signal Upgrades                                    | Pleasant Dr to Greenridge Rd (2-way)                     | 70  | 76                      | 3,505                              | 3,063                              | Operational                        | Congestion decreased / Traffic decreased                              |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | W Exchange St from S Portage Path to Rhodes Ave (2-way)  | 83  | 78                      | 4,900                              | 4,858                              |                                    | No significant change in both congestion and traffic                  |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Signal Interconnect,  | W Exchange St from Rand Ave to Rhodes Ave (WB Only)      | 85  | 79                      | 2,857                              | 2,786                              | Operational,                       | No significant change in both congestion and traffic                  |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 88990  | Akron                           | W Exchange St / Cedar St         | Lane Reduction,   | W Exchange St from S Broadway St to Rand Ave (WB Only)   | 78  | 68                      | 2,055                              | 1,906                              | Bike,                              | Congestion slightly increased   |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | Parking, Bike Lanes                                      | Cedar St from Water St to Broadway St (2-way) | 74                      | 76                                 | 123                                | 1,072                              | Safety  | Congestion decreased / Traffic decreased |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  |   | W Cedar St from Rhodes Ave to Water St (EB only)         | 80  | 78                      | 1,068                              | 1,136                              |                                    | Congestion decreased / Traffic decreased                              |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 93435  | Akron                           | W Market St                      | Upgrade Signals   | Portage Path to S Summit St (2-way)                      | 65  | 61                      | 1,410                              | 1,248                              | Operational                        | Congestion decreased  |  |
| 2016    | 2020            | 75 426 | A 1                             | SD 50 Demotion                   | Reconstruct SR-59 on  | N Howard St to Exchange St (SB Only)                     | 87  | 80                      | 6,090                              | 2,754                              | Reconfigure,                       | Congestion increased / Traffic significantly decreased                |  |
| 2016    | 2020            | 75430  | Akron                           | SK-59 Kerouting                  | Rand Ave and Dart Ave   | Exchange St to N Howard St (NB Only)                     | 80  | 73                      | 5,656                              | 180                                | Safety                             | Congestion increased / Traffic significantly decreased                |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Reconstruct & Modify  | IR-76/77 from Princeton St to Summer St (EB Only)        | 87  | 86                      | 8,485                              | 12,386                             |                                    | Congestion decreased / Traffic significantly increased                |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Access to Main /  | S Main St from Thornton St to Miller Ave (SB Only)       | N/A   | 72                      | N/A                                | 2,197                              |                                    | Low congestion  |  |
| 2017    | 2020            | 772(0  | 41                              |                                  | Broadway Interchange,   | IR-76/77 W of Main St Interchange On-ramp (WB Only)      | 78  | 90                      | 3,112                              | 195                                | Reconfigure,                       | Congestion decreased / Traffic significantly decreased                |  |
| 2016    | 2020            | 11209  | Akron                           | Wain / Broadway Interchange      | Access to/from  | IR-76/77 W of Main St Interchange Off-ramp (WB Only)     | N/A   | 83                      | N/A                                | 4                                  | Safety                             | No congestion   |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Wolf Ledges Pkwy /  | Wolf Ledges Pkwy over IR-76/77 (2-way)                   | 79  | 73                      | 1,371                              | 3,109                              |                                    | Congestion slightly increased / Traffic significantly increased       |  |
|         |                 |        |                                 |                                  | Grant Interchange   | Grant St over IR-76/77 (2-way)                           | 75  | 81                      | 642                                | 1,378                              |                                    | Congestion decreased / Traffic significantly increased                |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 93444  | Tallmadge                       | SR-91 North Ave                  | Median Turn Lane,<br>Sidewalks  | Tallmadge Circle to Garwood Dr (2-way)                   | 65  | 69                      | 2,611                              | 3,700                              | Operational,<br>ped, and<br>safety | Congestion decreased/Traffic significantly increased                  |  |
|         |                 |        | Hudson                          |                                  | Turn Lanes,   | Norton Rd from Lawnmark Dt to Sodalite Dr (2-way)        | 70  | 71                      | 1,459                              | 1,681                              | Operational,                       | Congestion slightly increased / Traffic increased                     |  |
| 2016    | 2017            | 82956  | Stow                            | SR-91 Darrow Rd                  | New Signal,   | SR-91 from Fishcreek Rd to Norton Rd (2-way)             | 70  | 69                      | 4,288                              | 4,593                              | bike/ped,                          | Congestion decreased / Traffic increased                              |  |
|         |                 |        | Boston Heights                  |                                  | Bridge Replacement  | SR-303 Bridge over Hike & Bike Trail (2-way)             | 72  | 76                      | 4,601                              | 4,683                              | and safety                         | Congestion decreased / Traffic increased                              |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 88968  | Akron                           | SR-162 Signals                   | Signal Interconnect   | Collier Rd to Glendale Ave (2-way)                       | 59  | 67                      | 16                                 | 131                                | Operational                        | Both congestion and traffic increased                                 |  |
| 2016    | 2019            | 93439  | Akron                           | SR-261 Signals                   | Signal Coordination   | Home Ave to Brittain Rd (2-way)                          | 72  | 72                      | 4,119                              | 4,333                              | Operational                        | No significant change   |  |
| 2017    | 2018            | 92561  | Streetsboro                     | Frost Rd                         | Turn Lanes,<br>Signal Interconnect,<br>Bridge Widening                  | IR-480 to SR-43 (2-way)                                  | 77  | 80                      | 1,127                              | 1,336                              | Operational,<br>Safety             | No significant change   |  |
| 2017    | 2017            | 88548  | Hudson                          | SR-91 / Prospect St              | Signal Interconnect,  | SR-91 (Main St) at Prospect St                           | 60  | 56                      | 5,417                              | 5,153                              | Operational,                       | No significant change   |  |

#### Table 10-1 | Evaluation of Strategies Effectiveness and Congestion

Occomposition Management Process

| FYSOLD | CY<br>COMPLETED | PID    | POLITICAL<br>UNIT  | LOCATION                   | TYPE<br>OF<br>WORK  | SEGMENT /<br>DIRECTION  | 2016 WORST<br>% FREFLOW | 2019 WORST<br>% FREFLOW | 2016<br>PEAK PERIOD<br>TRAFFIC VOL | 2019<br>PEAK PERIOD<br>TRAFFIC VOL | IMPROVEMENT<br>TYPE            | AFFECT ON<br>CONGESTION<br>AND TRAFFIC                               |
|--------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 2017   | 2018            | 93436  | Akron              | SR-18 Signals              | Signal Coordination,<br>Reconstruct<br>Kenilworth / Elmdale<br>Intersection                       | Hawkins Ave to Portage Path (2-way)                               | 69                      | 72                      | 2,294                              | 2,052                              | Operational,<br>Safety         | No significant change  |
| 2018   | 2018            | 93442  | Kent               | SR-43 (S Water St)         | Turn Lanes,<br>Signal Interconnect,<br>Sidewalk Ramps   | SR-261 to Summit St (2-way)                                       | 71                      | 75                      | 4,067                              | 4,455                              | Operational,<br>Ped            | No significant change  |
| 2018   | 2020            | 104042 | Akron              | S Main St, Phase 1         | Street and Sidewalk<br>Replacement,<br>Roundabout,<br>Bike Lanes                                  | Cedar St to Mill St (2-way)                                       | N/A                     | 69                      | N/A                                | 642                                | Operational,<br>Bike / Ped     | No significant change  |
| 2018   | 2020            | 92032  | Twinsburg          | SR-91 (Darrow Rd)          | Widen to 4 Lanes,<br>Sidewalk,<br>Intersection<br>Improvements                                    | Glenwood Dr to North Corp line (2-way)                            | 70                      | 73                      | 4,805                              | 5,265                              | Add<br>Capacity,<br>Ped        | No significant change  |
|        |                 |        |                    |                            |   | SR-14 from Mondial Pkwy to Diagonal Rd (2-way)                    | 69                      | 64                      | 2,323                              | 2,834                              |                                | Both congestion and traffic increased                                |
| 2010   | 2020            | 00070  | C 1                |                            | Signal Interconnect,  | SR-43 from Pike Pwky to Seasons Rd (2-way)                        | 67                      | 67                      | 2,001                              | 2,241                              | Operational,                   | No significant change  |
| 2019   | 2020            | 99879  | Streetsboro        | Streetsboro Signal Opgrade | Emergency Preemption  | SR-303 From Market Sq to SR-14 (2-way)                            | 59                      | 71                      | 869                                | 1,354                              | Safety                         | Congestion significantly decreased / Traffic increased               |
|        |                 |        |                    |                            |   | Streetsboro Rd from SR-14 to Root Dr (2-way)                      | 66                      | 67                      | 161                                | 315                                |                                | No significant change  |
| 2019   | 2020            | 97638  | Norton             | Cleveland Massillon Rd     | Median Turn Lane,<br>Signal Upgrades,<br>Sidewalk   | Shannon Ave to Pleasant Dr (2-way)                                | 76                      | 73                      | 1,547                              | 1,233                              | Operational,<br>Ped,<br>Safety | Congestion slightly increased / Traffic decreased                    |
|        |                 |        |                    |                            | Street and Sidewalk<br>Replacement  | Mill St to SR-59 (NB only)  | 65                      | 49                      | 536                                | 688                                | Operational                    | Congestion significantly decreased / Traffic increased               |
| 2019   | 2021            | 108164 | Akron              | S Main St, Phase 2         | Roundabout,<br>Bike Lanes   | SR-59 to Mill St (SB only)  | 71                      | 61                      | 783                                | 484                                | Bike / Ped                     | Congestion significantly decreased / Traffic decreased               |
| 2019   | 2022            | 96670  | Akron<br>Barberton | IR-76                      | Reconstruct IR-76 /<br>Wooster / East Ave /<br>State St Interchanges                              | Central Ave to 27th St (EB Only)                                  | 94                      | 95                      | 8,478                              | 8,386                              | Reconfigure,<br>Safety         | No significant change  |
| 2019   | 2021            | 89113  | Lakemore           | SR-91 / US-224 / Canton Rd | Standard lanes, raised<br>median, turn lanes,<br>sidewalk   | Springfield Lake Dr to Farmdale Rd (2-way)                        | 73                      | 65                      | 3,151                              | 3,613                              | Operational,<br>Ped,<br>Safety | No significant change  |
|        |                 |        |                    |                            | New EB<br>Left Turn Lane  | Sunset Dr to Cleveland Massillon Rd (Copley Circle) (2-way)       | 81                      | 77                      | 3,271                              | 2,551                              | Operational                    | No significant change  |
| 2019   | 2020            | 103171 | Copley Twp         | SR-162 (Copley Rd)         | New SB<br>Right Turn Lane   | Schoolcraft Ave to Cleveland Massillon Rd (Copley Circle) (2-way) | 73                      | 83                      | 1,846                              | 3,096                              | Safety                         | Congestion significantly decreased / Traffic significantly increased |
| 2019   | 2021            | 88556  | Akron              | SR-261 (Tallmadge Ave)     | Reduce to 3 Lanes,<br>Realign Dayton St<br>Intersections,<br>Signal Upgrades,<br>Sidewalk Upgrade | N Main St to Gorge Blvd (2-way)                                   | 74                      | 56                      | 2,580                              | 2,444                              | Operational,<br>Ped,<br>Safety | Congestion increased / Traffic decreased                             |

### Table 10-1 | Evaluation of Strategies Effectiveness and Congestion

## Conclusion

Congestion management is an important element of the transportation planning process. Millions of federal, state and local transportation improvement dollars have been invested in highly effective projects all throughout the AMATS region, which has greatly reduced overall congested within the region. With limited availability of funding for transportation improvements expected into the foreseeable future, it is to our advantage to focus our resources on these most congested segments of our region's roadway network.

In summary, there are fewer extremely congested areas today than in the past. The benefit of this reduction is that we can better leverage decreasing transportation funding by focusing on only the most important regional areas of concern. Unfortunately, most of these remaining areas of concern have not yet been addressed due to their tremendous complexity and/or cost. The many communities and agencies that comprise AMATS must continue diligently working together to find unique solutions to address our remaining congested areas, and to wisely allocate available resources to implement those solutions.

The recommendations in this report will be considered for inclusion into the upcoming long-range regional transportation plan, *Transportation Outlook 2045*. If the recommendations from this report are adopted in the Plan, they will include a more detailed project description and will include costs and an estimated implementation schedule.



### Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Segments

| POLITICAL UNIT         | NAME   | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Akron                  | Brittain Rd from Independence to Howe Ave                  | 28.60      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Forge and Market St                            | 32.61      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Glenwood Ave and SB on Ramp                    | 33.74      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB through the Perkins St Interchange                 | 34.00      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Tallmadge on Ramp and Glenwood Ave Bridge      | 34.68      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Glenwood Ave on Ramp and Perkins St off Ramp   | 37.56      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB Through the Tallmadge Ave Interchange              | 38.06      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Exchange St bet Main St and Paul Williams St               | 39.97      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-59 bet Union St and SR-8 SB Ramps                       | 40.50      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Market St and the Central Interchange          | 41.16      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Cedar St bet Rand St and Dart Ave                          | 43.48      | 7:45 - 8:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | SR-18 bet High St and SR-59                                | 44.85      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-59 Perkins St through the SR-8 Interchange              | 46.68      | 4:30 - 5:30   | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Cuyahoga Falls on Ramp and Tallmadge off Ramp  | 48.03      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Firestone Blvd bet S Main and Grant St                     | 48.53      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | US-224 E of and adjacent to SR-241                         | 51.63      | 2:00 - 3:00   | Intersection        | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron                  | Tallmadge Ave through the SR-8 Interchange                 | 51.79      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron                  | White Pond Dr through the IR-77 Interchange                | 52.19      | 4:15 - 5:15   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | W Exchange St bet Dart Ave and Rand St                     | 53.76      | 6:15 - 7:15   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | IR-76 / IR-77 EB   | 55.63      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Akron                  | High St bet SR-18 and SR-59                                | 55.75      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | US-224 W of and adjacent to SR-241                         | 55.81      | 7:15 - 815    | Intersection        | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | Brittain Rd from Howe to Independence                      | 56.30      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron / Cuyahoga Falls | Home Ave from Annapolis to Howe                            | 57.40      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                  | Broadway St bet Mill St and SR-18 Market St                | 57.62      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | Euclid Ave from Dart to Rand                               | 58.00      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | Merriman Rd W of and adjacent to Portage Path              | 58.69      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Firestone Blvd from S Main St to Grant St                  | 58.80      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron / Coventry Twp   | S Main St bet Waterloo Rd and IR-277 EB Ramps              | 60.27      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Brittain Rd from Independence to Tallmadge Ave             | 60.30      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Locust St bet Cedar St and Exchange St                     | 61.34      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | Wilbeth Rd E of and adjacent to SR-93                      | 62.46      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Intersection        | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | SR-261 Tallmadge Ave through the SR-8 Interchange          | 62.58      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron                  | SR-18 bet Union St and High St                             | 63.21      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                  | Cedar St bet Dart Ave and Locust St                        | 63.41      | 7:45 - 8:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron / Cuyahoga Falls | Home Ave from Howe to Annapolis                            | 63.50      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Akron                  | SR-8 SB bet Gorge Blvd and on Ramp from Cuyahoga Falls Ave | 63.53      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Merriman Rd at Portage Path Intersection                   | 63.67      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                  | SK-59 under the SK-18 Market St bridge                     | 63.85      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                  | Opportunity Prwy det Cedar St and SK-59                    | 63.95      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | VVestbound | AM          |
| Akron                  | Vialence Au Inforgen the Arlington St Intersection         | 63.99      | 5:00 - 4:00   | Intersection        | Eastbound  | IVID        |
| Akron                  | 5K-18 Ivlarket St Dridge over SK-59                        | 64.21      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron / Cuyahoga Falls | Portage Trail Ext & of and adjacent to Portage Path        | 64.63      | 11:30 - 12:30 | Intersection        | VVestbound | MD          |
| Akron                  | Drittain Ku irom Unapman to Eastwood                       | 65.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                  | Ramp from IK-76 WB to IK-77 SB                             | 65.17      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Kamp                | N. 41 1    | PM          |
| Akron                  | Brittain Rd from E. Market St to Bauer                     | 65.20      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |

\*Table sorted alphabetically by Political Unit, then by Percent Free Flow Speed.

SEPTEMBER 2020 DRAFT
| Table A-L CMP Final Analysis Segment | Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Segments |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|

| POLITICAL UNIT                | NAME  | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB through the Main St / Broadway St Interchange   | 65.28      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Waterloo Rd through the Arlington Rd Intersection           | 65.29      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | Perkins St through the SR-8 Interchange                     | 65.30      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | SR-93 between IR-277 EB on Ramp and Waterloo Rd             | 65.53      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | Waterloo Rd bet SR-93 and IR-277 WB on Ramp                 | 65.57      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB bet South St West of Wolf Ledges off Ramp       | 65.59      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB bet Wolf Ledges off Ramp and Wolf Ledges bridge | 65.85      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Bauer to E Market St                       | 66.50      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Akron                         | Cuyahoga Falls Ave bet Riverside Dr and SR-8 SB Ramps       | 66.71      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | SR-8 SB bet Ramp split and IR-76 Mainline                   | 66.89      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | SR-93 between IR-277 EB Ramp and Waterloo Rd                | 66.89      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron / Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Ridgewood Rd at Miller Rd                                   | 66.96      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | SR-8 / IR-77 bet IR-76 and Lovers Lane                      | 67.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB bet South St on Ramp and Main St off Ramp       | 67.12      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | SR-8 SB bet Front St and Howe Ave on Ramp                   | 67.13      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | Cuyahoga Falls Ave from Riverside Dr to SR-8 SB Ramps       | 67.51      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Arlington St bet Market St and Buchtel Ave                  | 67.75      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | SR-241 NB just S of and adjacent to US-224                  | 67.75      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | Cedar from Rand to Dart                                     | 67.80      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Goodyear to Newton St                      | 67.80      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Goodyear to Bauer                          | 67.90      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB bet Wolf Ledges and Grant St                    | 68.08      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | IR-271 NB bet SR-82 and IR-480                              | 68.22      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Freeway             | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Tallmadge Ave to Independence              | 68.30      | 2:00 - 3:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | W Thornton St bet Dart Ave and S Main St                    | 68.41      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron / Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Ridgewood Rd at Miller Rd Intersection                      | 68.48      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Intersection        | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Kelly Ave bet US-224 WB Ramps and Exeter Rd                 | 68.58      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | Ridgewood Rd bet Miller Rd and Halifax Rd                   | 68.68      | 11:00 - 12:00 | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron                         | Grant St bet Thornton St and IR-76/77                       | 68.75      | 4:15 - 5:15   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                         | High St from Cedar to Bartges                               | 68.80      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron / Bath Twp              | Smith Rd bet Revere Rd and Sand Run Rd                      | 69.22      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Kenmore Blvd bet Lakeshore Blvd and Ira Ave                 | 69.30      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | IR-76/77 EB bet Grant St and Grant St on Ramp               | 69.51      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway             | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | IR-77 SB bet Lafollette St and Mckinley St                  | 69.59      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Akron                         | N Firestone Blvd bet Grant St and Coventry St               | 69.63      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | Grant St through the IR-76 Interchange                      | 69.77      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | Euclid Ave bet East Ave and Diagonal Rd                     | 69.83      | 11:00 - 12:00 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron                         | Tallmadge Ave bet N Main St and SR-8                        | 69.90      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Bauer to Goodyear Ave                      | 70.00      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | Brittain Rd from Evans to Tallmadge Ave                     | 70.00      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                         | SR-261 bet SR-59 NB off ranp and Rand                       | 70.02      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | Tallmadge Ave bet SR-8 and N Main St                        | 70.03      | 4:15 - 5:15   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron / Coventry Twp          | S Main St bet IR-277 WB Ramps and Waterloo Rd               | 70.09      | 815 - 9:15    | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                         | SR-59 bet SR-18 and Union St                                | 70.12      | 7:45 - 8:45   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                         | SR-18 E Market St through the IR-76 Interchange             | 70.32      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                         | Buchtel Ave bet Fountain St and Goodkirk St                 | 70.45      | 11:00 - 12:00 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |

| Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Segments |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
|           |                             |

| POLITICAL UNIT              | NAME   | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Akron                       | SR-241 South leg of US-224 Intersection                  | 70.51      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | Grant St from IR-76 to E Thornton St                     | 70.96      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | Brittain Rd from Newton to Goodyear                      | 71.00      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | White Pond Dr bet Mull Ave and Frank Blvd                | 71.03      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron / Springfield Twp     | US-224 bet Massillon Rd and Canton Rd                    | 71.10      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | SR-18 bet General St and Seiberling St                   | 71.20      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | Thornton Ave bet East Ave and SR-93                      | 71.28      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | Exchange St bet Main St and Broadway St                  | 71.32      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | Thronton bet S Main and Dart Ave                         | 71.36      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | IR-77 / Vietnam Veterans Memorial Hwy NB                 | 71.44      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Ramp                |            | AM          |
| Akron                       | Grant St from Cole to IR-76/77                           | 71.70      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | Brittain Rd from Eastwood to Tonawanda                   | 71.70      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron / Coventry Twp        | IR-77 NB at Waterloo Rd                                  | 71.87      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway             | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | SR-93 Manchester Rd North leg of Wilbeth Rd Intersection | 71.92      | 1:45 - 2:45   | Intersection        | Southbound | MD          |
| Akron / Coventry Twp        | S Main St through the IR-277 Interchange                 | 72.08      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | IR-77 NB bet Waterloo Rd and Wilbeth Rd                  | 72.09      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway             | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | Manchester Rd bet IR-277 EB Ramps and Waterloo Rd        | 72.26      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | S Arlington Rd S of and adjacent to Waterloo Rd          | 72.44      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | Buchtel Ave bet E Market and N Arlington St              | 72.55      | 11:00 - 12:00 | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron / Fairlawn            | SR-18 bet Rand St and Ghent Rd                           | 72.55      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron                       | Buchtel Ave bet Union St and Goodkirk St                 | 72.84      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Akron                       | SR-8 NB bet E Market and Perkins off Ramp                | 72.89      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway             | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | SR-59 bet Market St and Union St                         | 72.93      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | Wilbeth Rd bet Allendale St and Coventry St              | 72.99      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron                       | Buchtel Ave from Arlington St to E Market                | 73.17      | 11:45 - 12:45 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Akron / Cuyahoga Falls      | Portage Trail bet N Portage Path and Northampton Rd      | 73.29      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | Home Ave from Tallmadge to Independence                  | 73.30      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Akron                       | Broadway St bet SR-18 Market St and SR-59                | 73.38      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | SR-8 NB bet E Market and the High Level Bridge           | 73.48      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway             | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | Grant St from N Firestone Blvd to Cole Ave               | 73.60      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | IR-77 SB bet Lovers Lane and Cole Ave                    | 73.64      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway             | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | Brittain Rd from Tonawanda to Newton                     | 73.70      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Akron / Fairlawn / Bath Twp | Smith Rd bet Ghent Rd and Revere Rd                      | 73.86      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | SR-261 bet Rand and the NB exit Ramp                     | 74.03      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | Kenmore Blvd E of and adjacent to 4th St                 | 74.23      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Westbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | SR-18 E Market bet Seiberling St and General St          | 74.43      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Akron                       | Arlington St bet Wilbeth and Triplett Blvd               | 74.55      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron                       | Arlington St bet Wilbeth Rd and Triplett Blvd            | 74.55      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | E Market bet Union St and Goodkirk Rd                    | 74.56      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Akron                       | Home Ave from Independence to Annapolis                  | 74.70      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Akron / Springfield Twp     | Hillbish Ave from Krumroy Rd to US-224                   | 74.86      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Akron                       | N Arlington St bet E Market St and Buchtel Ave           | 74.92      | 6:45 - 7:45   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
|                             |  |            |               |                     |            |             |
| Aurora                      | SR-82 bet SR-43 and SR-306                               | 54.43      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
|                             |  |            |               |                     |            |             |
| Barberton                   | Robinson Ave from SR-619 to Van Buren                    | 65.50      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |

| Table A-1   CMP F | inal Analysis Segments |
|-------------------|------------------------|
|-------------------|------------------------|

| POLITICAL UNIT              | NAME   | % FREEFLOW | TIME         | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Barberton                   | Norton Ave bet Barber Rd and Wooster Rd N        | 69.40      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Barberton                   | Wooster Rd North through the IR-76 Interchange   | 71.82      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | MD          |
| Barberton                   | Wooster Rd N through the IR-76 Interchange       | 72.51      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Barberton                   | Robinson Ave from Van Buren to Wooster Rd W      | 72.70      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Barberton                   | Robinson Ave from Wooster Rd W to Van Buren      | 74.20      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
|                             |  |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Boston Heights              | SR-8 NB to IR-80                                 | 40.71      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Boston Heights              | WB Connector bet IR-80 and SR-8                  | 46.69      | 5:15 - 6:15  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Boston Heights              | EB Connector bet SR-8 SB and IR-80               | 57.23      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Boston Heights              | SR-303 through the SR-8 Interchange              | 57.81      | 5:15 - 6:15  | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | PM          |
| Boston Heights              | IR-80 EB to SR-8                                 | 59.16      | 5:15 - 6:15  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Boston Heights              | IR-80 WB to SR-8                                 | 64.10      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Boston Heights / Boston Twp | Akron Cleveland Rd from Seasons Rd to SR-303     | 73.30      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
|                             |  |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | SR-59 Front St bet 2nd St and Hudson Dr          | 51.56      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Marc to Bath                       | 55.20      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls / Stow       | Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater to Wyoga Lake | 55.60      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Graham Rd from Lillis to State Rd                | 57.10      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls / Stow       | Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake to Bridgewater | 57.10      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Howe Ave through the SR-8 Interchange            | 57.77      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Broad Blvd through the SR-8 Interchange          | 57.84      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Broad Blvd bet RR tracks and SR-8 NB Ramps       | 58.04      | 4:30 - 5:30  | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Marc to Steels Corners             | 59.00      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Portage Trail Ext W of and adjacent to State Rd  | 60.10      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Intersection        | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Newberry St from Broad to Portage Tr             | 61.40      | 6:00 - 7:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Bath to Graham                     | 63.20      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Graham Rd from Bath Rd to Wyoga Lake Rd          | 63.90      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Broad Blvd EB bet 2nd St and SR-8 SB Ramps       | 64.45      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Quick to Steels Corners            | 65.00      | 2:00 - 3:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Portage Trail through the SR-8 Interchange       | 65.08      | 7:15 - 815   | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Front St at the Broad Blvd Intersection          | 66.06      | 9:00 - 10:00 | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Graham to Bath                     | 68.10      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Graham Rd from Wyoga Lake Rd to Bath Rd          | 68.10      | 2:00 - 3:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Front St bet 2nd St and Hudson Dr                | 68.14      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | 2nd St South and adjacent to Oakwood Dr          | 69.33      | 9:00 - 10:00 | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Broad Blvd EB bet 6th St and 2nd St              | 69.69      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Steels Corners Rd from State to Wyoga Lake Rd    | 69.90      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Hudson Dr from Graham to SR-8 NB Ramp            | 70.40      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Portage Trail bet 6th St and SR-8                | 70.50      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Steels Corners to Marc             | 70.50      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Graham Rd from State Rd to Lillis                | 70.60      | 1:00 - 2:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Steels Corners to Quick            | 70.80      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Broad Blvd E of and adjacent to 2nd St           | 70.93      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Hudson Dr from SR-59 to SR-8 NB Ramp             | 71.10      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | Newberry St from Portage Tr to Broad             | 71.20      | 1:00 - 2:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls              | State Rd from Bath to Marc                       | 71.50      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |

| Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Seaments       |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|           | Civil Tillar Allarysis Oegilients |

| POLITICAL UNIT        | NAME  | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                  | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|
| Cuyahoga Falls        | State Rd from Seasons to Quick                          | 71.60      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Hudson Dr from SR-8 NB Ramp to Graham                   | 71.70      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Hudson Dr from SR-8 NB Ramp to SR-59 SB                 | 72.70      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | SR-8 SB Through the Portage Trail Interchange           | 72.99      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway               | Southbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Steels Corners Rd from Wyoga Lake to State              | 73.10      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | 2nd St through and N of Broad Blvd                      | 73.13      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Southbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Graham Rd from Bath Rd to Lillis                        | 73.30      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial              | Westbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | SR-8 SB through the Howe Ave Interchange                | 73.34      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway               | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Portage Trail Ext bet Northampton Rd and State Rd       | 73.40      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial              | Westbound  | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | SR-8 SB bet Broad Blvd and the SB on Ramp               | 73.78      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway               | Southbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Portage Trail bet 6th St and SR-8 SB Ramps              | 74.07      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial              | Westbound  | MD          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | Bailey Rd / Hudson Dr from Munroe Falls Ave to SR-59    | 74.30      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | AM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | 2nd St bet Portage Trail and Oakwood Dr                 | 74.50      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Cuyahoga Falls        | 2nd St-Portage Trail to Oakwood Dr                      | 74.90      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | AM          |
|                       |   |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Fairlawn              | SR-18 W Market St at Ghent Rd                           | 54.83      | 1:45 - 2:45   | Intersection          | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Ridgewood Rd bet IR-77 NB on Ramp and Miller Rd         | 56.43      | 7:45 - 8:45   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Fairlawn              | Ghent Rd N of and adjacent to W Market St               | 58.89      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn / Bath Twp   | SR-18 bet Smith Rd and Cleveland Massillon Rd           | 60.15      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial              | Westbound  | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | Ghent Rd bet Market St and Smith Rd                     | 63.00      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | Cleveland Massillon Rd Bywood to Elgin                  | 63.20      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Cleveland Massillon Rd bet the Ridgewood Roads          | 63.44      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Intersection/Arterial | Northbound | MD          |
| Fairlawn              | N Miller Rd bet Market St and Sand Run Pkwy             | 64.33      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | SR-18 E of and adjacent to Smith Rd                     | 64.49      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Intersection          | Westbound  | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | Cleveland Massillon from IR-77 to Elgin                 | 66.20      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | Smith Rd N of and adjacent to SR-18                     | 66.72      | 1:15 - 2:15   | Intersection          | Westbound  | MD          |
| Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Cleveland Massillon Rd bet IR-77 and SR-18              | 70.13      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Fairlawn              | Cleveland Massillon Rd from Elgin to Bywood             | 71.90      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial              | Northbound | MD          |
| Fairlawn              | SR-18 bet Cleveland Massillon Rd and Smith Rd           | 73.36      | 1:15 - 2:15   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Ridgewood Rd through the IR-77 Interchange              | 73.77      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Freeway Interchange   | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Fairlawn / Copley Twp | Cleveland Massillon Rd bet the Ridgewood Rd offset legs | 74.19      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Intersection/Arterial | Southbound | AM          |
|                       |   |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Boettler to Raber SB                        | 50.50      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Steese to Graybill SB                       | 51.90      | 1:00 - 2:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | MD          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Raber to SR-619 SB                          | 52.20      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Raber to SR-619 SB                          | 52.70      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial              | Southbound | MD          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Raber to SR-619 SB                          | 54.00      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | AM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Boettler to Raber SB                        | 54.30      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial              | Southbound | MD          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Boettler to Raber NB                        | 55.80      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler NB                     | 56.30      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 Massillon Rd through the IR-77 Interchange       | 56.73      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway Interchange   | Northbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler NB                     | 57.80      | 2:00 - 3:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | MD          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Boettler to Raber SB                        | 59.60      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Arterial              | Southbound | AM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler SB                     | 60.50      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler SB                     | 61.30      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial              | Southbound | MD          |
| Green                 | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler NB                     | 62.00      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Arterial              | Northbound | AM          |

| Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Segments | 5 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|
|           |                             | _ |

| POLITICAL UNIT       | NAME  | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | TYPE                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|----------------------|---|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Green                | S Arlington Rd bet SR-619 and IR-77 SB Ramps                | 62.36      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Green                | Massillon Rd through the IR-77 Interchange                  | 62.38      | 4:15 - 5:15   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                | SR-241 from Steese to Graybill SB                           | 64.40      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Green                | SR-241 from Graybill to Boettler SB                         | 64.50      | 9:00 - 10:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Green                | Lauby Rd NB S of exit Ramp / CAK entrance                   | 65.35      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Green                | S Arlington Rd through the IR-77 Interchange                | 65.72      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                | Arlington Rd bet IR-77 SB Ramps and SR-619                  | 66.18      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Green                | Lauby Rd at the IR-77 Ramps / Airport Entrance Intersection | 66.58      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Green / Coventry Twp | IR-77 NB through the Arlington Rd Interchange               | 71.49      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | AM          |
| Green                | Arlington from Greensburg to E Caston                       | 71.90      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Green                | Lauby Rd NB S of and adjacent to Greensburg Rd              | 74.27      | 9:00 - 10:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
|                      |   |            |               |                     |            |             |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St SB                           | 30.80      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 NB                        | 32.80      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St SB                           | 36.10      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St NB                           | 37.70      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St NB                           | 37.80      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Aurora to Valleyview SB                          | 38.00      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury EB                    | 41.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St NB                           | 41.40      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 NB                        | 42.00      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 From SR-303 to Aurora St SB                           | 43.80      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Atterbury to SR-91 EB                           | 47.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 Georgetown to Terex SB                                | 47.30      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury EB                    | 49.70      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 NB                        | 49.90      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Aurora to Valleyview SB                          | 50.10      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 SB                        | 51.50      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury EB                    | 52.10      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Atterbury to SR-91 EB                           | 52.30      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Akron Cleveland to Terex EB                     | 53.30      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from SR-91 to Hayden Pkwy WB                         | 53.40      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 SB                        | 53.60      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Atterbury to SR-91 EB                           | 55.10      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Hayden to Stow EB                               | 55.50      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Norton to Georgetown SB                          | 57.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson               | Stow Rd from Canterbury to SR-303                           | 57.60      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | Terex Rd from Hudson to SR-91                               | 57.80      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Norton to Georgetown SB                          | 58.80      | 12:00 - 1:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Hudson to Veterans Way NB                        | 59.10      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from SR-91 to Hayden Pkwy EB                         | 60.00      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Akron Cleveland to Terex WB                     | 60.10      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Hayden to Stow EB                               | 60.20      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Hudson               | SR-91 from Veterans Way to SR-303 SB                        | 60.50      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Akron Cleveland to Terex WB                     | 60.80      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Hudson               | SR-303 from Atterbury to SR-91 WB                           | 60.90      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |

| Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Seaments       |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|           | Civil Tillar Allarysis Oegilients |

| POLITICAL UNIT                 | NAME   | % FREEFLOW | TIME         | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|--------------------------------|--|------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Hudson                         | SR-91 Terex to Hudson Dr SB                      | 61.70      | 6:00 - 7:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury WB         | 61.70      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Hudson                         | Terex Rd from SR-91 to Hudson Dr                 | 61.80      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 from Atterbury to SR-91 WB                | 61.80      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 Terex to Hudson Dr SB                      | 62.30      | 8:00 - 9:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 from SR-91 to Hayden Pkwy EB              | 62.40      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 Terex to Hudson Dr NB                      | 62.70      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 from Hudson to Veterans Way NB             | 63.20      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 Georgetown to Terex NB                     | 63.30      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 from Boston Mills to Atterbury WB         | 63.70      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 from Aurora to Valleyview SB               | 64.10      | 8:00 - 9:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 from Hayden to Stow EB                    | 64.10      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Hudson Dr from Terex to Norton                   | 68.20      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Barlow to Norton                    | 69.40      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Ravenna to Barlow                   | 70.30      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Barlow to Ravenna                   | 70.40      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-303 bet SR-91 and Stow Rd                     | 71.58      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Hudson / Twinsburg Twp         | SR-91 bet SR-303 and Twinsburg Rd                | 72.09      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Norton to Barlow                    | 72.40      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Canterbury to Ravenna               | 73.00      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Terex Rd from Barlow to SR-303                   | 73.50      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Hudson                         | Stow Rd from Ravenna to Canterbury               | 74.40      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Hudson                         | SR-91 bet Hudson Dr and SR-303                   | 74.90      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
|                                |  |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Kent / Franklin Twp            | SR-261 S of and adjacent to Summit Rd            | 58.96      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Kent                           | SR-43 through SR-261 Intersection                | 59.13      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Kent                           | E Main St W of and adjacent to Willow / Haymaker | 64.11      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Kent                           | SR-59 bet River St and Water St                  | 64.15      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Kent                           | E Main St bet Willow St and Gougler Ave          | 67.74      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Kent                           | Fairchild from SR-43 to Hudson Dr                | 70.50      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
|                                |  |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 NB South of and adjacent to SR-82           | 33.99      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | MD          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 SB North leg of SR-82 Intersection          | 34.57      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Intersection        | Southbound | MD          |
| Macedonia                      | IR-271 NB to SR-8                                | 44.37      | 7:45 - 8:45  | Ramp                |            | AM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 NB South of and adjacent to Valley View Rd  | 49.82      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | MD          |
| Macedonia                      | IR-271 SB to SR-8                                | 55.33      | 9:00 - 10:00 | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Macedonia                      | IR-271 NB just North of SR-82                    | 57.09      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Freeway             | Northbound | AM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 NB South of and adjacent to Valley View Rd  | 57.40      | 7:15 - 815   | Intersection        | Northbound | AM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 SB through the IR-271 Interchange           | 62.26      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | AM          |
| Macedonia / Sagamore Hills Twp | SR-8 SB bet Valleyview and SR-82                 | 64.31      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-82 through the SR-8 Intersection              | 64.59      | 12:15 - 1:15 | Intersection        | Westbound  | MD          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-82 through the IR-271 Interchange             | 65.45      | 12:15 - 1:15 | Freeway Interchange | Westbound  | MD          |
| Macedonia / Sagamore Hills Twp | SR-82 bet SR-8 and Boyden Rd                     | 67.46      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-82 at the SR-8 Intersection                   | 70.60      | 7:45 - 8:45  | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 through the IR-271 Interchange              | 70.94      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | AM          |
| Macedonia                      | SR-8 to IR-271 SB                                | 72.13      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Ramp                |            | AM          |

| Table A-1 | CMP Final Analysis Segr | nents |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------|
|           |                         |       |

| POLITICAL UNIT                     | NAME   | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                  | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|------------------------------------|--|------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|
| Macedonia / Sagamore Hills Twp     | SR-82 bet Boyden Rd and SR-8                         | 72.15      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Macedonia                          | SR-8 SB to IR-271 SB                                 | 72.53      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Ramp                  |            | PM          |
| Macedonia                          | SR-8 SB South leg of Valleyview Intersection         | 72.68      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Southbound | PM          |
| Macedonia / Sagamore Hills Twp     | SR-8 NB bet SR-82 and Valley View Rd                 | 73.32      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial              | Northbound | PM          |
| Northfield / Sagamore Hills Twp    | Olde Eight Rd from SR-8 to Valleyview                | 67.10      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Southbound | AM          |
| Northfield / Sagamore Hills Twp    | Olde Eight Rd from Valleyview to SR-8                | 71.20      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | PM          |
|                                    |  |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Norton                             | SR-21 SB to IR-76 WB                                 | 60.80      | 9:30 - 10:30  | Ramp                  |            | AM          |
| Norton                             | Reimer Rd bet Medina Line and Cleve Mass Rd          | 64.56      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Norton                             | IR-76 WB to SR-21 SB                                 | 65.62      | 9:00 - 10:00  | Ramp                  |            | AM          |
| Norton                             | SR-21 NB to IR-76 WB                                 | 67.36      | 9:00 - 10:00  | Ramp                  |            | AM          |
| Norton                             | Cleveland Massillon Rd through the IR-76 Interchange | 69.92      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Freeway Interchange   | Southbound | MD          |
| Norton                             | Barber Rd bet Clark Mill and Summit Rd               | 73.37      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | PM          |
| Norton                             | SR-21 SB to IR-76 EB                                 | 73.46      | 12:15 - 1:15  | Ramp                  |            | MD          |
| Norton                             | SR-261 through the SR-21 Intersection                | 74.44      | 7:15 - 815    | Freeway Interchange   | Eastbound  | AM          |
|                                    |  |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-14 bet SR-5 WB Ramps and SR-59                    | 47.53      | 7:15 - 815    | Arterial              | Westbound  | AM          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-14/44 N of and adjacent to SR-59                  | 47.76      | 1:15 - 2:15   | Intersection          | Eastbound  | MD          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-14/44 North leg of SR-59 Intersection             | 48.07      | 1:15 - 2:15   | Intersection          | Southbound | MD          |
| Portage Co - Brimfield Twp         | Tallmadge Rd through the IR-76 Interchange           | 53.01      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Freeway Interchange   | Westbound  | PM          |
| Portage Co - Randolph Twp          | SR-44 through US-224 Intersection                    | 55.83      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Northbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Randolph Twp          | SR-44 at the US-224 Intersection                     | 61.44      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Southbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Suffield Twp          | SR-43 through US-224 Intersection                    | 64.01      | 8:00 - 9:00   | Intersection          | Northbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-14/44 North of and adjacent to SR-59              | 64.20      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Intersection          | Northbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Rootstown Twp         | SR-44 bet Tallmadge Rd and IR-76 EB Ramps            | 65.17      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Suffield Twp          | SR-43 through the US-224 Intersection                | 65.97      | 10:00 - 11:00 | Intersection          | Southbound | AM          |
| Portage Co - Suffield Twp          | SR-43 through the SR-261 Intersection                | 66.31      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Intersection          | Southbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-59 W of and adjacent to SR-14/44                  | 66.61      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection          | Westbound  | AM          |
| Portage Co - Rootstown Twp         | SR-44 NB through the IR-76 Interchange               | 67.19      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway Interchange   | Northbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Rootstown Twp         | SR-44 bet Tallmadge Rd and IR-76                     | 67.34      | 7:15 - 815    | Arterial              | Northbound | AM          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp           | SR-14/44 bet SR-5 and SR-59                          | 70.33      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial              | Northbound | MD          |
| Portage Co - Rootstown Twp         | SR-44 bet Prospect St and IR-76 WB Ramps             | 71.11      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial              | Southbound | PM          |
| Portage Co - Franklin Twp          | SR-261 South leg of Intersection with Summit St      | 73.19      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Intersection          | Westbound  | PM          |
| Portage Co - Edinburg Twp          | SR-14 bet IR-76 EB Ramps and Rock Spring Rd          | 73.63      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Portage Co - Ravenna Twp / Ravenna | SR-14 bet Infirmary Rd and SR-44 / Chestnut St       | 74.55      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | PM          |
|                                    |  |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Ravenna                            | SR-59 bet S Prospect St and N Chestnut St            | 60.63      | 12:15 - 1:15  | Arterial              | Westbound  | MD          |
| Ravenna                            | SR-59 Main St bet Chestnut St and Prospect St        | 60.67      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial/Intersection | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Ravenna                            | SR-59 bet Diamond St and Chestnut St                 | 67.25      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial              | Eastbound  | MD          |
|                                    |  |            |               |                       |            |             |
| Richfield                          | IR-77 NB Through the IR-80 Interchange               | 40.70      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway               | Northbound | AM          |
| Richfield                          | IR-77 NB South of IR-80 Ramps                        | 42.96      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway               | Northbound | AM          |
| Richfield                          | Ramp from IR-80 to IR-77 NB                          | 54.98      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Ramp                  |            | AM          |
| Richfield                          | Wheatley Rd through the Brecksville Rd Intersection  | 56.62      | 5:30 - 6:30   | Intersection          | Northbound | PM          |
| Richfield                          | IR-77 NB bet Brecksville Rd and IR-80                | 57.66      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway               | Northbound | AM          |
| Richfield                          | Brecksville Rd through the Wheatly Rd Intersection   | 57.86      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Intersection          | Southbound | PM          |

| Iable A-I   CMP Final Analysis Segm |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

| POLITICAL UNIT                    | NAME  | % FREEFLOW | TIME         | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Richfield                         | Brecksville Rd through Intersection with Wheatly Road | 62.03      | 7:45 - 8:45  | Intersection        | Northbound | AM          |
| Richfield                         | Wheatly Rd through the Brecksville Rd Intersection    | 64.51      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Richfield / Richfield Twp         | Wheatly Rd through the IR-77 Interchange              | 69.80      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Richfield                         | WB Connector bet IR-80 and SR-21                      | 72.48      | 8:45 - 9:45  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Richfield                         | Bet IR-80 Connector Rd WB to SR-21                    | 72.71      | 8:45 - 9:45  | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Richfield                         | Brecksville Rd at IR-80 Interchange                   | 74.29      | 7:45 - 8:45  | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | AM          |
|                                   |   |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Stow                              | Steels Corners Rd from Bridgewater to SR-8            | 52.70      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Stow                              | Hudson Dr from Walmart Dr to Graham                   | 54.10      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | SR-91 North Leg of Graham Rd Intersection             | 54.67      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from Portage Co Line to Fishcreek           | 57.70      | 2:00 - 3:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Stow                              | Steels Corners Rd from SR-8 to Hudson                 | 58.80      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Stow                              | SR-91 N of and adjacent to Graham Rd                  | 60.87      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from Baird to Fishcreek Rd                  | 66.00      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Stow                              | SR-91 bet SR-59 and Graham Rd                         | 66.36      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | Steels Corners Rd from SR-8 to Bridgewater            | 66.50      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Stow                              | Stow Rd from Fishcreek to SR-91                       | 66.60      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Stow                              | Hudson Dr from Graham to Walmart Dr                   | 67.50      | 8:00 - 9:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from Fishcreek to Portage Co Line           | 67.70      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Stow                              | Steels Corners Rd from Hudson to SR-8                 | 68.20      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Stow                              | Hudson Dr from Steels Corners to Springdale           | 69.40      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Stow                              | Stow Rd from SR-91 to Fishcreek                       | 70.70      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from Fishcreek to Baird                     | 71.30      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Stow                              | Hudson Dr from Springdale to Steels Corners           | 72.80      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from SR-91 to Charring Cross                | 73.10      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Stow                              | Graham Rd from Charring Cross to SR-91                | 74.30      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
|                                   |   |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Streetsboro                       | SR-14/303 bet W jct and E jct                         | 44.02      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Streetsboro                       | SR-14 bet IR-80 Ramps and SR-43                       | 54.14      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Streetsboro                       | SWB Connector bet IR-480 and IR-80                    | 57.22      | 9:15 - 10:15 | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Streetsboro                       | Aurora Hudson Rd from IR-480 SB Ramps to Frost Rd     | 60.90      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Arterial            | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Streetsboro                       | NEB Connector bet IR-480 and IR-80                    | 67.12      | 9:15 - 10:15 | Ramp                |            | PM          |
| Streetsboro                       | SR-14/303 bet West Junction and East Junction         | 72.19      | 3:00 - 4:00  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Streetsboro                       | SR-14 bet SR-43 and the IR-80 Ramps                   | 73.60      | 12:15 - 1:15 | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Streetsboro                       | SR-303 E of and adjacent to SR-14 (East)              | 73.86      | 7:00 - 8:00  | Intersection        | Westbound  | AM          |
|                                   |   |            |              |                     |            |             |
| Summit Co - Copley Twp            | Cleveland Massillon Rd through Copley Circle          | 57.33      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Intersection        | Southbound | AM          |
| Summit Co - Northfield Center Twp | Olde Eight Rd from Valleyview to SR-82                | 58.70      | 12:00 - 1:00 | Arterial            | Southbound | MD          |
| Summit Co - Richfield Twp         | Medina Line Rd bet Bath Rd and SR-303                 | 59.89      | 6:00 - 7:00  | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Summit Co - Copley Twp            | Cleveland Massillon Rd through the circle             | 61.31      | 7:30 - 8:30  | Intersection        | Northbound | AM          |
| Summit Co - Springfield Twp       | Canton Rd through the US-224 Intersection             | 61.31      | 4:00 - 5:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Springfield Twp       | US-224 through the SR-91 Intersection                 | 61.61      | 4:45 - 5:45  | Intersection        | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Summit Co - Springfield Twp       | SR-91 through the US-224 Intersection                 | 62.32      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp              | Ghent Rd bet Cleveland Mass Rd and IR-77 SB ent Ramp  | 63.37      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp              | Ghent Rd through the IR-77 Interchange                | 63.64      | 5:00 - 6:00  | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp              | Cleveland Massillon Rd through Ghent Rd Intersection  | 64.14      | 7:45 - 8:45  | Intersection        | Northbound | AM          |

|--|

| POLITICAL UNIT                    | NAME  | % FREEFLOW | TIME          | ТҮРЕ                | DIRECTION  | TIME PERIOD |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| Summit Co - Northfield Center Twp | Olde Eight Rd from SR-82 to Valleyview                          | 65.50      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp / Copley Twp | SR-18 bet Cleveland Massillon Rd and IR-77 NB Ramps             | 67.03      | 12:30 - 1:30  | Arterial            | Westbound  | MD          |
| Summit Co - Coventry Twp          | S Main St bet Swartz Rd and N Turkeyfoot Rd                     | 67.47      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Summit Co - Coventry Twp          | S Main St at the Killian Rd Intersection                        | 68.58      | 9:00 - 10:00  | Intersection        | Northbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Copley Twp            | SR-162 Copley Rd through the SR-21 Interchange                  | 68.69      | 7:45 - 8:45   | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | AM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp / Copley Twp | SR-18 E of and adjacent to Medina Line Rd                       | 68.87      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Intersection        | Westbound  | PM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp / Copley Twp | SR-18 bet IR-77 NB Ramps and Cleveland Massillon Rd             | 69.60      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Summit Co - Coventry Twp          | IR-77 NB bet Arlington Rd and IR-277 / US-224                   | 70.96      | 7:30 - 8:30   | Freeway             | Northbound | AM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp              | Cleveland Massillon Rd through the Ghent Rd Intersection        | 71.36      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Copley Twp            | Cleveland Massillon Rd bet Copley Rd and Ridgewood Rd           | 72.86      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Summit Co - Bath Twp              | Ghent Rd bet IR-77 SB Ramps and Cleveland Massillon Rd          | 73.16      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
|                                   |   |            |               |                     |            |             |
| Tallmadge                         | SouthEast Ave NW of Eastwood Ave                                | 51.19      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Intersection        | Southbound | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | West Ave bet Brittain Rd and Tallmadge Circle                   | 51.44      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | SR-532 SouthEast Ave through the IR-76 Interchange              | 62.70      | 5:15 - 6:15   | Freeway Interchange | Southbound | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | SR-91 North Ave bet Tallmadge Circle and Howe Rd                | 63.44      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | SouthEast Ave through the IR-76 Interchange                     | 70.42      | 3:00 - 4:00   | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | MD          |
| Tallmadge                         | NorthWest Ave bet Howe Rd and Tallmadge Circle                  | 70.52      | 4:00 - 5:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | SouthEast Ave bet Eastwood / Munroe and IR-76                   | 71.58      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Tallmadge                         | SouthEast Ave NW and adjacent to Eastwood / Munroe Intersection | 74.57      | 11:15 - 12:15 | Intersection        | Northbound | MD          |
|                                   |   |            |               |                     |            |             |
| Twinsburg                         | Ravenna Rd from SR-91 to Idlewood                               | 49.90      | 2:00 - 3:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | MD          |
| Twinsburg                         | Ravenna Rd from Idlewood to SR-91                               | 57.60      | 6:00 - 7:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |
| Twinsburg                         | Ravenna Rd from Chamberlin to Cuyahoga Co Line                  | 60.60      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Northbound | AM          |
| Twinsburg                         | SR-82 bet SR-91 and Cannon Rd                                   | 61.37      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Westbound  | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | SR-82 bet IR-480 WB Ramps and SR-91                             | 64.74      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | SR-91 NB through the IR-480 Interchange                         | 68.13      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway Interchange | Northbound | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | Ravenna Rd from Cuyahoga Co Line to Chamberlin                  | 69.30      | 5:00 - 6:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | SR-91 bet Twinsburg Rd and IR-480                               | 71.64      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Arterial            | Northbound | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | SR-82 EB through the IR-480 Interchange                         | 72.17      | 4:45 - 5:45   | Freeway Interchange | Eastbound  | PM          |
| Twinsburg                         | Ravenna Rd from Chamberlin to Idlewood                          | 72.40      | 7:00 - 8:00   | Arterial            | Southbound | AM          |





The 2020 Congestion Management Process is published by: Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study 161 S High St | Suite 201 Akron, Ohio 44308-1423 Editorial comments are welcome.

Director: Curtis Baker

Please visit our website at: www.amatsplanning.org Phone

Phone: 330-375-2436 | Fax: 330-375-2275

This report was prepared by the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Ohio Department of Transportation, and the Village, City and County governemtns of Portage and Summit Counties and a portion of Wayne County.

The contents of this report reflect the views of AMATS, which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view and policies of the Ohio and/or U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

#### AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## **MEMORANDUM**

| TO:   | Policy Committee<br>Technical Advisory Committee<br>Citizens Involvement Committee  |
|-------|---|
| FROM: | AMATS Staff   |
| RE:   | Resolution 2020-11 – Approving FY 2021 Elderly and Disabled Program<br>Project Recommendations (FY 2021-2024 TIP Amendment #1). |
| DATE: | September 9, 2020   |

#### **Executive Summary**

This memorandum discusses the latest round of funding for the area's Elderly and Disabled Program. The staff is recommending that the Policy Committee approve \$1,014,879 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program for handicap-accessible buses and associated equipment for METRO RTA, PARTA, United Disability Services, Family & Community Services, Easter Seals and Hattie Larlham. The approved projects will be programmed into FY 2021 of the TIP.

#### Introduction

On June 15, 2020, AMATS posted an announcement that it would be accepting applications to receive funding under the Federal Transit Administration's Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program. Eligible sponsors include non-profit organizations, state or local government authorities, and operators of public transportation services, including private operators of public transportation for services in Summit County, Portage County, or the AMATS portions of Wayne County. AMATS awards these funds biennially.

Projects awarded through the Elderly and Disabled Program must be included in, or consistent with, the AMATS Area Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan. The AMATS Policy Committee approved the current Coordinated Plan in May 2018. The function of the Coordinated Plan is to improve transportation services for persons with disabilities, older Americans, and individuals with lower incomes.

The deadline for project applications was August 7, 2020.

#### Background

The purpose of the Elderly and Disabled Program is to improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers to transportation services and expanding the transportation mobility options available. Capital and operating expenses are eligible. Capital expenses include the acquisition of vehicles, handicap-accessible equipment and computer hardware and scheduling software. Operating expenses may be used to meet and exceed the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and to fill the gaps between human services and public transportation services previously available and to facilitate the integration of individuals with disabilities into the workforce; including transportation to and from jobs and employment support services.

The total amount of Elderly and Disabled funds allocated to the AMATS area from Federal Fiscal Year 2019 is \$558,729, and from FFY 2020 is \$568,914. Ten percent of these funds, \$112,764, will be used for program management by METRO RTA and PARTA, yielding total federal funds available for this application cycle of \$1,014,879. Approved projects will be programmed into FY 2021 of the TIP.

## **Review of Applications**

The staff received six applications for funding. METRO RTA, PARTA, United Disability Services (UDS), Hattie Larlham, Easter Seals Northern Ohio, and Family & Community Services (FCS) submitted applications. All six agencies are eligible to submit applications under this program. The six applicants requested \$1,575,388 in federal funds, while only \$1,014,879 is available.

METRO RTA applied for \$242,054 in federal funds for capital expenses for the acquisition of three Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs). Federal funds may not exceed 80% of the total project cost. The total project cost is estimated at \$302,568. These vehicles would be used as replacement vehicles for existing elderly and disabled service provided through the SCAT program.

PARTA applied for \$216,000 in federal funds for capital expenses for the acquisition of three Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs). Federal funds may not exceed 80% of the total project cost. The total project cost is estimated at \$270,000. These vehicles would be used as replacement vehicles for existing elderly and disabled service.

UDS applied for \$764,211 in federal funds for 12 converted vans and six Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs). The total project cost is \$955,264 and includes radio equipment.

Hattie Larlham Center for Children with Disabilities is applying for \$204,800 in federal funds for two converted vans (CVs). The total project cost is \$256,000. Federal funds may not exceed 80% of the total project cost for capital items.

Easter Seals Northern Ohio, is applying for \$48,323 in federal funds for one handicapaccessible converted van (CV). The total project cost is \$60,403.

FCS is applying for \$100,000 in federal funds for two Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs). The total project cost is \$125,000.

The attached Table 1 shows the project scoring for all six agencies' applications. Scoring criteria are found in the AMATS Funding Policy Guidelines. Projects are scored based on project type, level of coordination with other agencies, project effectiveness, the management capacity of the applicant, and the completeness of the application.

## Staff Comment

As with all TIP amendments, considerations with respect to public participation, financial capability, air quality, environmental justice and Regional Transportation Plan consistency are important. Sufficient funding is forecasted from federal and state sources for this amendment. The new projects listed meet all amendment requirements mentioned above. Therefore, this amendment is free of negative impact.

## **Recommendations**

After review and discussion with the project applicants, the staff recommends that the Policy Committee approve \$298,436 in federal funds through the Elderly and Disabled Program for METRO RTA for the acquisition of three Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs), and the administration of the program in Summit County; and \$272,382 for PARTA for the acquisition of three Light Transit Vehicles (LTVs), as well as for the administration of the program in Portage County.

The staff also recommends \$373,600 in federal funds for United Disability Services for the purchase of two converted vans (CVs) and six LTVs; \$48,423 in federal funds for Easter Seals Northern Ohio for the purchase of one converted van (CV); \$50,000 in federal funds for Family & Community Services for the purchase of one LTV; and \$84,800 for Hattie Larlham to purchase two converted vans (CVs). This recommendation utilizes the full amount of funding available to the AMATS area at this time, and provides funding to all six applicants. These projects are programmed under ODOT PIDs: 111808 and 111811 in FY 2021.

Attached to this memo is Resolution 2020-11. This resolution approves the requested changes to FY 2021 of the TIP as described above. The Staff recommends approval.

#### Table 1

#### Enhanced Mobility for the Elderly and Disabled

Draft Project Recommendations

| NO | SPONSOR                           | PROJECT   | LOCATION                       | DESCRIPTION   | TOTAL<br>PROJECT<br>COST | FEDERAL<br>OPERATING FUNDS<br>REQ'D | FEDERAL CAPITAL<br>FUNDS REQ'D | TOTAL<br>FED. FUNDS<br>REQUESTED | COORDINATED PLAN<br>PAGE # | PROJECT TYPE        |    | coordination 5          |    | PROJECT<br>EFFECTIVENESS <sup>©</sup> | SCORE | MANAGEMENT<br>CAPACITY | 4 SCORE | APPLICATION<br>COMPLETENESS <sup>9</sup> | 5<br>SCORE |    |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----|-------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------|--|------------|----|
| 1  | United<br>Disability<br>Services  | Replacement Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled                  | Summit and<br>Portage Counties | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles and<br>Radios: 12 CVs and 6 LTVs | \$955,264                | \$0                                 | \$764,211                      | \$764,211                        | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | 2 RTAs + SS<br>Agencies | 30 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 25    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 5       | Complete                                 | 5          | 9  |
| 2  | METRO RTA                         | Replacement Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled                  | Summit County                  | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles: Three<br>LTVs                   | \$302,568                | \$0                                 | \$242,054                      | \$242,054                        | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | 2 RTAs + SS<br>Agencies | 27 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 25    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 5       | Complete                                 | 5          | 9  |
| 3  | PARTA                             | Replacement Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled                  | Portage County                 | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles: Three<br>LTVs                   | \$270,000                | \$0                                 | \$216,000                      | \$216,000                        | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | 2 RTAs + SS<br>Agencies | 27 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 25    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 5       | Complete                                 | 5          | 9: |
| 4  | Hattie<br>Larlham                 | Replacement and<br>Expansion Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled | Portage County                 | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles: Two CVs<br>and Two LTVs         | \$256,000                | \$0                                 | \$204,800                      | \$204,800                        | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | RTA + SS<br>Agencies    | 20 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 20    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 5       | Complete                                 | 5          | 80 |
| 5  | Family &<br>Community<br>Services | Expansion Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled                    | Portage County                 | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles: Two LTNs                        | \$125,000                | \$0                                 | \$100,000                      | \$100,000                        | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | RTA + SS<br>Agencies    | 20 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 18    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 4       | Complete                                 | 5          | 77 |
| 6  | Easter Seals                      | Expansion Vehicles -<br>Transportation for the<br>Elderly and Disabled                    | Summit County                  | Capital Project - Purchase of<br>Accessible Vehicles: One CV                          | \$60,403                 | \$0                                 | \$48,323                       | \$48,323                         | 33                         | Vehicle<br>Purchase | 30 | RTA + SS<br>Agencies    | 18 | Impact,<br>Cost, Effect               | 18    | Organized,<br>Capable  | 4       | Complete                                 | 5          | 75 |
|    |                                   |   |                                |   |                          |                                     |                                | \$1,575,388                      |                            |                     |    |                         |    |                                       |       |                        |         |  |            |    |

| Federal Funds | Allocation | -10% | Admin.   |   | Projects  |
|---------------|------------|------|----------|---|-----------|
| FY 2019       | \$558,729  | -    | \$55,873 | = | \$502,856 |
| FY 2020       | \$568,914  | -    | \$56,891 | = | \$512,023 |

|       | Maximum RTA<br>Project Budget |
|-------|-------------------------------|
| METRO | \$319,687                     |
| PARTA | \$137,009                     |
|       | \$456,695                     |

\$1,127,643 - \$112,764 = \$1,014,879 Maximum Federal Funds Available

| Recommendations: | METRO RTA      | \$56,382            | + | \$242,054   | = | \$298,436 |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------|
|                  | PARTA          | \$56,382            | + | \$216,000   | = | \$272,382 |
|                  | Hattie Larlham |                     |   | \$84,800    |   |           |
|                  | Easter Seals   |                     |   | \$48,423    |   |           |
|                  | UDS            |                     |   | \$373,600   |   |           |
|                  | FCS            |                     |   | \$50,000    |   |           |
|                  | Total Federa   | I Funds Recommended |   | \$1,014,877 |   |           |

#### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-11**

## OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## APPROVING FY 2021 ELDERLY AND DISABLED PROGRAM PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (TIP AMENDMENT #1)

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage Counties and the Chippewa Township and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the responsibility of AMATS to develop and maintain the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the area in cooperation with ODOT and the area's transit authorities; and

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study accepted applications from eligible agencies in the AMATS area to receive funding under the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program; and

WHEREAS, six applications were submitted by eligible agencies in the AMATS area; and

WHEREAS, the Staff has reviewed and scored these applications; and

**WHEREAS**, the Staff recommends the projects submitted by METRO RTA, PARTA, United Disability Services, Easter Seals Northern Ohio, Family & Community Services and Hattie Larlham as described in the accompanying memorandum; and

WHEREAS, METRO RTA, PARTA, United Disability Services, Easter Seals Northern Ohio, Family & Community Services and Hattie Larlham are eligible recipients, or subrecipients, of FTA Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program funds; and

**WHEREAS**, the AMATS Policy Committee have previously designated METRO RTA and PARTA as the recipients of FTA Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility funds for the area; and

**WHEREAS,** METRO RTA and PARTA, as the designated recipients, are responsible for administering the grant process and providing oversight for any subrecipients of FTA Section 5310 funds; and

WHEREAS, these projects will be viewed as air quality neutral for TIP purposes; and

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-11 (continued)**

**WHEREAS**, the requested TIP amendment described above was posted online via several media, as well as presented to the AMATS Citizens Involvement Committee (CIC) on September 17, 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has reviewed the public comments collected prior to the September 24, 2020, Policy Committee meeting; and

WHEREAS, the projects submitted by METRO RTA, PARTA, United Disability Services Easter Seals Northern Ohio, Family & Community Services and Hattie Larlham are consistent with the *AMATS Area Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan*; and

**WHEREAS**, the environmental justice impacts of this amendment have been considered to be consistent with "Executive Order 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations"; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has analyzed these requests and found them to be consistent with *Transportation Outlook*, the area's Regional Transportation Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has been requested to amend FY 2021 of the AMATS FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program as discussed in the accompanying memorandum.

## NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee amends the Transportation Improvement Program FY 2021-2024 as specified above.
- 2. That this Committee authorizes that METRO RTA receive \$242,054 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their vehicle acquisition project, along with \$56,382 for the administration of grants and subrecipient oversight.
- 3. That this Committee authorizes that PARTA receive \$216,000 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their acquisition of scheduling, monitoring and tracking hardware (computers and cameras), along with \$56,382 for the administration of grants and subrecipient oversight.
- 4. That this Committee authorizes that United Disability Services (UDS) receive \$373,600 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their acquisition of eight replacement vehicles.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-11 (continued)**

- 5. That this Committee authorizes that Easter Seals Northern Ohio receive \$48,423 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their acquisition of a converted van.
- 6. That this Committee authorizes that Family & Community Services (FCS) receive \$50,000 in federal funds from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their acquisition of one LTV.
- 7. That this Committee authorizes that Hattie Larlham receive \$84,800 from the Elderly and Disabled Program in support of their acquisition of two converted vans.
- 8. That this Committee considers the Citizens Involvement Committee meeting of September 17, 2020, and online public notices as adequately providing an opportunity for public involvement.
- 9. That this Committee authorizes the Staff to provide copies of this Resolution to the appropriate agencies as evidence of action by the Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

#### AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

#### **MEMORANDUM**

| TO:   | Policy Committee   |
|-------|--|
|       | Technical Advisory Committee   |
|       | Citizens Involvement Committee   |
| FROM: | AMATS Staff  |
| RE:   | Resolution 2020-12 – To Add ODOT and FTA Funds in FY 2021 for PARTA - (FY 2021-2024 TIP Amendment #2). |
| DATE: | September 9, 2020  |

#### Executive Summary

This memorandum discusses a TIP amendment to the FY 2021 program of projects for PARTA to add recently awarded funding from ODOT and FTA.

The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has awarded METRO RTA and PARTA funding through its Ohio Transit Partnership Program (OTP2). This competitive grant program was established to provide additional capital funding to Ohio's public transit operators for projects emphasizing system preservation. In August, METRO and PARTA were both awarded funds through this program for inclusion in FY 2021 of the AMATS Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). METRO received \$2,215,775. PARTA received \$485,800. The source of the OTP2 funds is State of Ohio General Revenue Funds (GRF).

The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has also awarded METRO RTA and PARTA funding through its Urban Transit Program (UTP). These funds are generally used to help match federal funds. For FY 2021, ODOT awarded \$1,037,827 to METRO, and \$222,398 to PARTA. The source of the UTP funds is likewise state GRF funds.

The United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) has awarded PARTA funding through its Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Bus and Bus Infrastructure Program (Section 5339-b). This nationally competitive capital grant program was established to assist in the financing of buses and bus facilities projects, including replacing, rehabilitating, purchasing or leasing buses or related bus facilities and equipment. On August 11, 2020, PARTA was awarded \$446,742 in funding through this program for the purchase of a large Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) bus to replace an ageing diesel bus.

Consequently, PARTA is requesting that these additional funds be added to the TIP to include the recently awarded OTP2, UTP and FTA Section 5339-b funded projects. The bulk of these funds will be used for the maintenance of PARTA's capital assets.

PARTA is requesting the following changes to the TIP:

## - Add Capital Funds for a CDL Driver Training Pad (PID 113728)

This new project is intended to provide on-site driver training at PARTA's main facility. ODOT awarded \$400,000 through the OTP2 Program for FY 2021. The total project cost is \$400,000. PARTA local share funding will not be required. The OTP2 funds are derived from state General Revenue Funds (GRF) and cover 100% of the project cost.

## - Revise and Add Capital Funds for the Purchase of an Additional Large Bus (PID 102992)

This project is intended to maintain PARTA's vehicle fleet. PARTA has been awarded \$446,742 in federal funds through the FTA's Section 5339-b Program. PARTA requests to add these recently awarded funds to an existing bus purchase project using CMAQ funds. This amendment increases the number of large CNG buses to be purchased from two to three vehicles. The federal funding will increase to \$1,278,742. The total project cost will increase to \$1,598,458. The project is scheduled for FY 2021.

## - Add Capital Funds for Mobility Management (PID 113902)

This new project is intended to facilitate mobility management for PARTA. The mobility management project involves community outreach events and travel training sessions for groups as well as individual riders. Federal funds (\$50,000) are derived from PARTA's share of the area's FTA Section 5307 funds. PARTA's local share funding will be \$12,500. The total project cost will be approximately \$62,500, to be scheduled in FY 2021.

#### - Perform an Administrative Modification to an Existing Project to Include OTP2 Funds

PARTA will add OTP2 funds to the following project from FY 2020 in order to replace the local share:

• Purchase of Six Small Buses (PID 104391) – adding \$85,000 in OTP2 (GRF) funds

## **STAFF COMMENTS**

As with all TIP amendments, considerations with respect to consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan, financial capability, air quality conformity, public involvement, and environmental justice are important.

#### **Regional Transportation Plan**

The project proposed in this amendment is consistent with *Transportation Outlook*, the area's Regional Transportation Plan.

#### **Financial Capability**

With respect to financial capability, there are sufficient funds available for this amendment.

## Air Quality

The project can be viewed as either exempt from air quality or has been analyzed as part of the air quality networks and has resulted in a finding of compliance with the Clean Air Act. Therefore, this amendment will not affect adversely the air quality conformity approval of *Transportation Outlook* or the TIP.

## **Public Involvement**

The Staff is recommending that the Policy Committee consider this action as not regionally significant. As a result, the modified procedures in the AMATS *Public Participation Plan* are appropriate.

## **Environmental Justice**

*Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations* states that, "each federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs policies and activities on minority and low-income populations." This requirement also applies to recipients of federal funds, such as METRO RTA and PARTA.

The project that will result from this TIP amendment does not appear to impose disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minorities and/or low-income people who reside in the METRO RTA or PARTA service areas.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Attached to this memo is Resolution 2020-12. This resolution approves the requested changes to FY 2021 of the TIP as described above. The Staff recommends approval.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-12**

## OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

# TO ADD ODOT AND FTA FUNDS IN FY 2021 FOR PARTA - (FY 2021-2024 TIP AMENDMENT #2)

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage Counties and the Chippewa Township and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; and

**WHEREAS,** it is the responsibility of this Committee to develop and maintain the area's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, METRO RTA and PARTA provide public transportation services in the AMATS area; and

**WHEREAS**, METRO RTA and PARTA intend to maintain their capital assets in a state of good repair as described more fully in their Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plans; and

**WHEREAS**, METRO RTA and PARTA are eligible recipients of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds; and

**WHEREAS**, METRO RTA and PARTA are eligible recipients of state of Ohio General Revenue Funds (GRF); and

**WHEREAS**, PARTA has requested that FY 2021 of the TIP be amended to add funds awarded through ODOT's Ohio Transit Partnership Program (OTP2) and Urban Transit Program; and

**WHEREAS**, PARTA has requested that FY 2021 of the TIP be amended to add funds awarded through FTA's Bus and Bus Infrastructure Program (Section 5339-b); and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has analyzed this request and found it to be consistent with *Transportation Outlook*, the area's Regional Transportation Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, this project has been determined to be in conformity with the State Implementation Plan for air quality; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has determined that the effects of this amendment are consistent with *Executive Order 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations*.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-12 Continued**

#### NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee amends the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program as previously specified in the attached memorandum.
- 2. That this Committee affirms that the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program is in reasonable fiscal constraint.
- 3. That this Committee affirms consistency with *Transportation Outlook*, the Regional Transportation Plan.
- 4. That this Committee reaffirms the air quality conformity determination of *Transportation Outlook*.
- 5. That this Committee considers the necessary public involvement has been carried out as described in the AMATS Public Participation Plan.
- 6. That this Committee affirms consistency with environmental justice requirements.
- 7. That this Committee authorizes the Staff to provide copies of this Resolution to the appropriate agencies as evidence of action by the Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

## AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY M E M O R A N D U M

- TO: Policy Committee Technical Advisory Committee Citizens Involvement Committee
- FROM: AMATS Staff
- RE: Resolution 2020-13 Approving Amendment #3 to the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program to cancel one existing project, add two new projects, and revise the funding and scope of work to one existing project and one of the new projects.
- DATE: September 10, 2020

The following changes have been requested to the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program:

## Cancel an Existing Project

 $2^{nd}$  Street SW/Wooster Road – Is a resurfacing and road diet project on  $2^{nd}$  Street from Hudson Run Road to Wooster Avenue and a shared use path on Wooster Avenue from  $2^{nd}$  Street to  $4^{th}$  Street. Although this Project ID (PID) is being cancelled the scope of work and funding is being absorbed by other nearby projects planned in Barberton and discussed below.

#### Add the Following New Project to the FY 2021-2024 TIP

**East Avenue** – Is a project in the City of Tallmadge to construct a center two way left turn lane with new curbs and sidewalks from Community Road to the Portage County Line. Right of way is scheduled in FY 2021 using \$859,500 of federal and state Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds.

## Add The Following New Project to the FY 2021-2024 TIP and Revise the Funding and Scope of Work.

**Wooster Road/Robinson Avenue-** Is a project in the City of Barberton to improve the intersection of Wooster Road and Robinson Avenue. This was exclusively an ODOT project and was listed in the "Line Item" portion of the TIP. Since \$422,600 of TASA funds are now being added to construct a shared use path on Wooster Avenue between 2<sup>nd</sup> Street and 4<sup>th</sup> Street it is being added to the list of AMATS funded projects. The TASA funds are from cancelled project 105373. Construction is scheduled in FY 2022.

## Revise the Funding and Scope of Work to the Following Existing Project

2<sup>nd</sup> Street/Wooster Road N/Norton Road – Is a resurfacing project in the City of Barberton. 2nd Street between Hudson Run Road and Wooster Avenue is being added to the scope of work. 2nd Street will also receive a road diet with the additional space allocated to bike lanes. An additional \$408,400 of STBG funding is also being added to the project. The additional work and funding is from the cancelled project above. Construction is scheduled in FY 2021.

## **STAFF COMMENTS**

As with all TIP amendments, considerations with respect to public participation, financial capability, air quality, environmental justice and Plan consistency are important. Sufficient funding is forecasted from federal and state sources for this amendment. The new project listed meet all amendment requirements mentioned above. Therefore this amendment does not cause any negative impact.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Attached to this memo is Resolution Number 2020-13. This Resolution approves the amendment to the TIP FY 2021-2024. The Staff recommends approval.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-13**

## OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

Approving Amendment #3 to the Transportation Improvement Program FY 2021-2024 to cancel one existing project, add two new projects, and revise the funding and scope of work to one existing project and one of the new projects.

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage Counties and the Chippewa Township and Milton Township areas of Wayne County and,

**WHEREAS,** it is the responsibility of this Committee to develop and maintain the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and,

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has been requested to amend the AMATS FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program to cancel one existing project, add two new projects, and revise the funding and scope of work to one existing project and one of the new project as discussed in the accompanying memorandum:

- 1. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street SW/Wooster Road (PID 105373) Is a resurfacing and road diet project on 2nd Street from Hudson Run Road to Wooster Avenue and a shared use path on Wooster Avenue from 2nd Street to 4th Street. Although this Project ID (PID) is being cancelled the scope of work and funding is being absorbed by other nearby projects planned in Barberton and discussed below.
- East Avenue (PID 112869) Is adding a new project in the City of Tallmadge to construct a center two way left turn lane with new curbs and sidewalks from Community Road to the Portage County Line. Right of way is scheduled in FY 2021 using \$859,500 of federal and state Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds.
- **3.** Wooster Road/Robinson Avenue (PID 106539) Is a project in the City of Barberton to improve the intersection of Wooster Road and Robinson Avenue. This was exclusively an ODOT project and was listed in the "Line Item" portion of the TIP. Since \$422,600 of TASA funds are now being added to construct a shared use path on Wooster Avenue between 2<sup>nd</sup> Street and 4<sup>th</sup> Street it is being added to the list of AMATS funded projects. The TASA funds are from cancelled project 105373. Construction is scheduled in FY 2022.
- 4. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street/Wooster Road N/Norton Road (PID 108372) Is a resurfacing project in the City of Barberton. 2nd Street between Hudson Run Road and Wooster Avenue is being added to the scope of work. 2nd Street will also receive a road diet with the additional space allocated to bike lanes. An additional \$408,400 of STBG funding is also being added to the project. The additional work and funding is from cancelled project 105373 above. Construction is scheduled in FY 2021.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-13 (Continued)**

**WHEREAS**, the necessary public involvement has been carried out as described in the AMATS Public Participation Plan and,

WHEREAS, the amendment has been judged to be air quality neutral and is, therefore, excluded from additional regional air quality conformity analysis and,

**WHEREAS**, the environmental justice impacts of this amendment has been considered consistent with "Executive Order 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations" and,

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has analyzed this request and found this amendment to be consistent with Transportation Outlook, the Regional Transportation Plan, and with the availability of federal funds forecasted for the AMATS area.

## NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee amends the Transportation Improvement Program FY 2021-2024 as previously specified.
- 2. That this Committee considers the necessary public involvement has been carried out as described in the AMATS Public Participation Plan.
- 3. That this Committee affirms that sufficient federal funding is expected to be available for the Akron Urbanized Area to maintain financial constraint.
- 4. That this Committee reaffirms the air quality conformity determination of Transportation Outlook, the Regional Transportation Plan.
- 5. That this Committee affirms conformity with environmental justice requirements.
- 6. That this Committee affirms consistency with Transportation Outlook, the Regional Transportation Plan.
- 7. That this Committee authorizes the Staff to provide copies of this Resolution to the appropriate agencies as evidence of action by the Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

## AMENDMENT # 3 - 09/09/20 AMATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FY 2021-2024 TABLE H-3 HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS

| PID #  | CO-RTE-SECTION   | LENGTH<br>(MILES) | LOCATION & TERMINI  | TYPE OF WORK  | FUND<br>TYPE                                    | PHASE       | 2021                           | 2022                                    | 2023 | 2024 | TOTAL<br>PROJECT<br>COST<br>(\$000) | PROJECT<br>SPONSOR | AIR<br>QUALITY<br>STATUS |
|--------|--|-------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--------------------------------|---|------|------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 105373 | SUM-2ND ST SW/WOOSTER RD<br>(Cancel Project)   | 0.74              | BARBERTON<br>2ND FROM HUDSON RUN RD TO WOOSTER RD<br>WOOSTER RD FROM 2ND ST SW TO 4TH ST<br>NW  | RESURFACING<br>ROAD DIET<br>SHARED USE PATH ALONG WOOSTER   | TASA<br>STBG<br>LOCAL                           | 0<br>0<br>0 |                                | 4 <u>22.6</u><br>408.4<br>207.8         |      |      | 1,057.5                             | BARBERTON          | EXEMPT                   |
| 112869 | SUM-EAST AVE (Tallmadge)<br>(Add New Project)  | 2.19              | TALLMADGE<br>EAST AVENUE FROM COMMUNITY RD TO<br>THE PORTAGE COUNTY LINE  | CENTER TWO-WAY LEFT TURN LANE,<br>NEW CURBS AND SIDEWALKS ON<br>BOTH SIDES                            | HSIP<br>STATE                                   | R<br>R      | 773.6<br>85.9                  |   |      |      | 2,936.2                             | TALLMADGE          | EXEMPT                   |
| 106539 | SUM-WOOSTER RD/ROBINSON AVE<br>(Add New Project)<br>(Add TASA funds from PID 105373)<br>(Revise Scope of Work) | 0.03              | BARBERTON<br>WOOSTER RD W FROM ROBINSON AVE<br>TO <del>2ND ST</del> <b>4TH ST</b>   | ELIMINATE WB OUTSIDE LANE<br>IMPROVE INTERSECTION<br>SIGNAL COORDINATION<br>CONSTRUCT SHARED USE PATH | HSIP<br>LOCAL<br>TASA<br>HSIP<br>STATE<br>LOCAL | P P C C C C | 48.0<br>5.3                    | <b>422.6</b><br>793.0<br>150.0<br>190.8 |      |      | 1,544.6                             | BARBERTON          | EXEMPT                   |
| 108372 | SUM-2ND ST/WOOSTER RD N/NORTON RD<br>(Add STBG funds from PID 105373)<br>(Revise Scope of Work)                | 1.00              | BARBERTON<br>2ND ST FROM HUDSON RUN TO WOOSTER RD<br>WOOSTER RD FROM NORTON AVE TO BURT ST<br>NORTON RD FROM BARBER RD TO WOOSTER RD<br>ROAD DIET ON 2ND STREET | RESURFACING<br>ROAD DIET  | STBG<br><b>STBG</b><br>LOCAL                    | с<br>с<br>с | 767.2<br><b>408.4</b><br>411.5 |   |      |      | 1,587.1                             | BARBERTON          | EXEMPT                   |

## AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## M E M O R A N D U M

| TO:   | Policy Committee Members   |
|-------|--|
|       | Technical Advisory Committee Members   |
|       | Citizens Involvement Committee Members                                       |
| FROM: | AMATS Staff  |
| RE:   | <b>Resolution 2020-14 – Approving the FY 2020 Year End Completion Report</b> |
| DATE: | September 8, 2020  |

This memorandum discusses the status of activities and expenditures for transportation planning in the Akron Metropolitan Area for the state fiscal year ending June 30, 2020. The purpose of this resolution is to approve the Fiscal Year 2020 Year End Completion Report for transmittal to ODOT.

Each year AMATS prepares a Transportation Planning Work Program that is the basis for federal financial assistance for planning. ODOT requires AMATS, following the close of each fiscal year (June 30), to review the previous year's Work Program and compare the scope of work that was originally proposed to that which was completed. Completion of the Work Program is a prerequisite for certification of the planning process. This memorandum summarizes the Fiscal Year 2020 Year End Completion Report. The planning work necessary for FY 2020 was completed, and the expenditures were within the budgeted amounts. All items were completed by the end of the fiscal year on June 30, with few exceptions due to the COVID-19 pandemic. A DRAFT copy of the report can be viewed here FY 2020 Year End Completion DRAFT.

Upon approval, this report will be submitted to the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT).

Attached is a financial summary of the Fiscal Year 2020 Year End Completion Report for all of the work elements scheduled during FY 2020. A number of significant products and activities were completed during FY 2020. These include:

- 1. Developed and approved the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program
- 2. Participated in the Statewide CMAQ Discretionary Funds Program
- 3. Monitored projects that use federal funds sub-allocated to AMATS
- 4. Maintained the current Regional Transportation Plan: Transportation Outlook 2040
- 5. The Traffic Crashes and Safety Performance Measures (2016-2018) Technical Memorandum
- 6. Over 180 Traffic Counts
- 7. 2019 AMATS Annual Report

- 8. The promotion of commuter alternatives through bicycle and pedestrian advocacy
- 9. Management of the Gohio Commute Program
- 10. Continued implementation of performance measures as part of the Plan and TIP processes consistent with the FAST Act

Because AMATS is well within budget, funds were able to be carried over from FY 2020. These funds total approximately \$498,800 (see the attached summary table) and must be expended by December 31, 2020.

Attached is Resolution Number 2020-14 approving the FY 2020 Year End Completion Report and authorizing its submission to ODOT and USDOT as evidence of completing the FY 2020 Transportation Planning Work Program. All work elements remain within budget. The Staff recommends approval.

#### YEAR END FINANCIAL PROGRESS REPORT AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY July 1, 2019 thru June 30, 2020

|            | Description   | Annual<br>Budget | FY2020<br>Expenses | % Budget<br>Expended | Carryover<br>to FY2021 |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Ι.         | Short Range Planning  | \$546,000        | \$531,966          | 97%                  | \$14,700               |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 146,000          | 146,723            |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 400,000          | 385,243            |                      | 14,700                 |
| II.        | Transportation Improvement Program                                    | \$331,550        | \$244,749          | 74%                  | \$86,100               |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 69,050           | 68,407             |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 262,500          | 176,342            |                      | 86,100                 |
| III.       | Continuing Planning & Data Collection<br>Transportation System Update | \$243,800        | \$235,584          | 97%                  | \$7,600                |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 63,800           | 63,281             |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 180,000          | 172,303            |                      | 7,600                  |
| IV.        | Long Range Plan Activity  | \$304,000        | \$217,702          | 72%                  | \$86,000               |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 54,000           | 53,874             |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 250,000          | 163,828            |                      | 86,000                 |
| <b>V</b> . | Service   | \$449,500        | \$338,801          | 75%                  | \$110,500              |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 124,500          | 124,304            |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 325,000          | 214,497            |                      | 110,500                |
| VI.        | OhioRideshare and AQ Advocacy   | \$241,630        | \$14,825           | 6%                   | \$147,000              |
|            | FY2019 OhioRideshare Carryover  | 33,480           | 1,092              |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020 OhioRideshare  | 60,000           | 12,975             |                      | 47,000                 |
|            | FY2019 Air Quality Carryover  | 48,150           | 757                |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020 Air Quality  | 100,000          | 0                  |                      | 100,000                |
| VII.       | Local   | \$25,000         | \$32,797           | 131%                 | \$0                    |
|            | AMATS local Costs   | 25,000           | 32,797             |                      | 0                      |
| VIII.      | AMATS Transportation Quarterly  | \$85,342         | \$38,290           | 45%                  | \$46,900               |
|            | FY2019 Carryover  | 15,750           | 15,660             |                      | 0                      |
|            | FY2020  | 69,592           | 22,629             |                      | 46,900                 |
| IX.        | GRAND TOTAL AMATS BUDGET  | \$2,226,822      | \$1,654,714        | 74%                  | \$498,800              |

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-14**

## OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2020 YEAR END COMPLETION REPORT

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage counties and the Chippewa and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee is responsible for directing, coordinating and administering the Transportation Planning Work Program for the AMATS area; and

**WHEREAS,** an AMATS Year End Completion Report that compares the scope of work proposed in the Transportation Planning Work Program to the work that was completed, must be prepared annually; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has reviewed and found acceptable the Fiscal Year 2020 Year End Completion Report containing the work scheduled in the FY 2020 Transportation Planning Work Program and a comparison with progress made on those products.

## NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee approves the FY 2020 Year End Completion Report.
- 2. That this Committee directs the AMATS Staff to transmit a copy of this resolution to the United States Department of Transportation and the Ohio Department of Transportation as evidence of completing the FY 2020 Transportation Planning Work Program.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

## AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## **MEMORANDUM**

## TO: Policy Committee Members Technical Advisory Committee Members Citizens Involvement Committee Members

- FROM: AMATS Staff
- **RE:** Resolution 2020-15 Authorizing the AMATS Staff to adjust Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget element allocations.
- DATE: September 8, 2020

This memorandum discusses a resolution regarding the Fiscal Year 2021 Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget for the state fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020. The FY 2021 Transportation Work Program and Budget was approved by the Policy Committee at its meeting on May 14, 2020. Since that approval, new guidance received from ODOT requires that the Policy Committee approve a resolution that allows staff to reallocate funds between Work Program elements, as necessary, to reduce carryover and ensure appropriate expenditure of AMATS Consolidated Planning Grant (CPG) funds. It provides staff the authority to make changes to work element budgets as long as it does not impact the intent of the Work Program and Budget. It codifies existing Work Program and Budget procedures. The Work Program and Budget remain the same as the version presented to, and approved by, the Policy Committee in May.

#### Staff Recommendation

Attached is Resolution 2020-15 for your review and consideration. This resolution authorizes the AMATS staff to adjust Work Program and Budget elements as necessary to carry out the urban transportation process. Adjustments to the FY 2021 Work Program and Budget are made to fully utilize CPG funds and reduce carryover. This resolution does not impact the funding levels for the Work Program and Budget, local shares or any transportation projects. The Policy Committee's approval is requested.

## **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-15**

## OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## AUTHORIZING THE AMATS STAFF TO ADJUST TRANSPORTATION PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET ELEMENT ALLOCATIONS, AS NECESSARY, TO CARRY OUT THE URBAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage Counties and the Chippewa Township and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; and

**WHEREAS**, this Committee annually reviews and approves a Work Program and Budget for the continuation of the transportation planning process; and

**WHEREAS,** this Committee has reviewed the Transportation Planning Work Program for Fiscal Year 2021 and has found it to be consistent with local, State and Federal transportation planning priorities.

**WHEREAS**, this Committee has been requested to authorize staff to make changes to the Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget work elements, when necessary, that will not change the intent of the activity of the Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget.

## NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee affirms the Fiscal Year 2021 Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget.
- 2. That this Committee authorizes the AMATS staff to adjust the FY 2021 Transportation Planning Work Program and Budget as previously specified to make such changes to the work element budgets as are needed to satisfy clarifying comments from the Ohio and U.S. Departments of Transportation, or to make such changes to fully utilize funds and minimize carryover, but which do not change the intent of the activity.
- 3. That this Committee authorizes the Staff to provide copies of this Resolution to the appropriate agencies as evidence of action by the Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

## AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

## **MEMORANDUM**

| TO:   | Policy Committee Members   |
|-------|--|
|       | Technical Advisory Committee Members   |
|       | Citizens Involvement Committee Members                                       |
| FROM: | AMATS Staff  |
| RE:   | <b>Resolution 2020-16 – CMAQ Performance Plan Mid-Period Progress Report</b> |
| DATE: | September 9, 2020  |

#### Executive Summary

The purpose of this resolution is to approve the area's CMAQ performance plan mid-period progress report.

#### Background

Federal legislation features an emphasis on performance measurement. This focus is consistent with AMATS' goals and objectives, which promote the transparency of public data and decision-making and seeks to improve the accountability of public spending by better linking investments to outcomes.

Performance measures are central to implementing a Performance-Based Planning Process (PBPP) that guides decision making. How performance is defined and measured can significantly affect the types of projects and strategies that are advanced by decision makers. Moreover, performance results inform agencies whether the types of projects and strategies they are implementing are in fact helping them achieve their goals. Performance measures aim to answer questions about whether the performance of the transportation system is getting better or worse over time. Performance measures also aim to demonstrate whether transportation investments are correlated or linked to stated goals and whether they produce desired outcomes.

Introducing a performance management approach to planning is intended to improve project and program delivery, inform investment decision making, focus staff efforts on priorities, and provide greater transparency and accountability to the public. Recent federal legislation applies performance measurement at the programmatic, rather than project level and links performance measures and targets to funding decisions by way of performance-based funding. The purpose of this approach is to move towards performance-based decision-making for project selection in the future.

With federal guidance, ODOT is continuing to implement performance planning in coordination with MPOs like AMATS, and other partners. State investments must make progress toward

these performance targets, and MPOs must incorporate these performance measures and targets into their Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) and long range Regional Transportation Plans. Federal guidance imposes financial penalties on states that fail to make progress toward these performance goals.

Like all planning, the performance based planning process is cyclical. As planning cycles evolve, goals and objectives may be adjusted and performance measures and targets may be refined. Making adjustments ensures that agencies focus on the most important priorities and that those priorities remain achievable.

The AMATS CMAQ Performance Plan was initially prepared two years ago as an element of the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) statewide CMAQ performance report by the AMATS staff in collaboration with ODOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and other stakeholders. Specifically, the report addresses performance measures promulgated through the PM3 regulations. PM3 is the third category of performance management, addressing system performance and reliability, using a broad range of measures that help agencies to examine the overall dependability of the transportation system, urban area congestion and contributions toward air quality improvement.

The purpose of the accompanying report (CMAQ Mid Performance Period Progress Report) is to show the progress that was made over the last two years since the adoption of the area's CMAQ Plan in 2018. In 2022, a full period progress report will be produced, along with a new baseline report. Air quality related targets and progress are monitored on an on-going basis and tracked in relation to CMAQ funded projects. A full discussion of all performance measures, including air quality related performance measures, can be found in Appendix H of the AMATS Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) FY 2021-2024.

## CMAQ Traffic Congestion Performance Measures

Federal rule 23 CFR 490.707 establishes Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Traffic Congestion performance measures for large urbanized areas in Ohio. One measure focuses on monitoring the Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED), which is the effort to monitor the time people spend in traffic delays. Another measure focuses on decreasing single occupant vehicle trips (Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel). See the accompanying AMATS CMAQ Performance Plan Mid Period Progress Report for further discussion.

## Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED)

In 2018, ODOT and the Ohio MPOs collectively established a single target for each applicable urbanized area for the first performance period. With the first mid-performance period progress report, due October 1, 2020, AMATS is required to assess the two-year condition/performance compared to the target/goal.

Traffic congestion is measured by the annual hours of peak hour excessive delay (PHED) per capita on the National Highway System (NHS). The threshold for excessive delay will be based

on the travel time at 20 miles per hour or 60% of the posted speed limit travel time, whichever is greater, and will be measured in 15-minute intervals. Peak travel hours are defined as 6-10 am local time on weekday mornings; the weekday afternoon period is 3-7 pm, providing flexibility to state DOTs and MPOs. The total excessive delay metric will be weighted by vehicle volumes and occupancy. The four year target for PHED is ten hours per person annually. Subsequently, the PHED target was achieved each year of the 2017-2019 period (see Table 1 below).

| Table 1<br>Traffic Congestion Measures: Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED)<br>Annual Hours per Person<br>Cleveland Urbanized Area<br>Progress<br>2014 - 2019 |      |      |      |      |      |      |  |  |  |  |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| Actual Data  | 9.1  | 10.6 | 12.3 | 7.7  | 8.1  | 6    |  |  |  |  |
| Target   | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10   |  |  |  |  |

2

## Mode Share (Non-SOV Travel)

In 2018, ODOT and the Ohio MPOs collectively established a single, unified two-year and fouryear target for each applicable urbanized area for the first performance period. The baseline report for the first performance period was October 1, 2018 and included two and four-year targets and a description of the data collection method used. The two-year Mid Period Progress Report is due October 1, 2020.

Mode Share is a calculation of the percent of Non-SOV travel within the urbanized area. Non-SOV travel, defined by the FHWA, applies to travel occurring on modes other than driving alone in a motorized vehicle (Single Occupancy Vehicle) and includes travel that is avoided by telecommuting. It is a measure of the percentage of all surface transportation occurring in the urbanized area.

For the establishment of the percent of Non-SOV Travel Measure, ODOT and its partner agencies used the American Community Survey data's estimates of the percentage of people that travel to work by means other than driving alone (i.e. carpooling, telework, biking, walking, or taking the bus). ODOT was able to review five years of data, noting stable travel patterns for this measure. Upon analysis, ODOT and its partner agencies adopted targets based on recent travel trends and future expected performance.

AMATS is located in part of the Cleveland urbanized area (UZA). Consequently, ODOT, NOACA and AMATS coordinated the setting of targets for the Cleveland area.

Progress toward the non-SOV travel target is shown below (Table 2). For the period 2012-2019, non-SOV travel hovers near the two-year target of 18.0 percent, showing slight improvement towards the four-year target of 18.5 percent. Accordingly, the Cleveland urbanized area is
currently meeting the PHED target and the 2 year non-SOV target. The Cleveland urbanized area is not currently meeting the 4 year non-SOV target of 18.5%.

# Table 2 Traffic Congestion Measures: Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel Percent of Non-SOV Travel Progress

| Non-SOV Travel - Cleveland Urbanized Area |                              |                     |                             |               |                      |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Year                                      | Percent Drive<br>Alone (SOV) | Percent Non-<br>SOV | 2-Year Target               | 4-Year Target | ACS 5YR Estimates    |  |  |  |
| 2012                                      | 82.00%                       | 18.00%              | <b>18.00%</b> 18.00% 18.50% |               |                      |  |  |  |
| 2013                                      | 82.20%                       | 17.80%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |  |
| 2014                                      | 82.40%                       | 17.60%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |  |
| 2015                                      | 82.30%                       | 17.70%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |  |
| 2016                                      | 82.10%                       | 17.90%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |  |
| 2017                                      | 81.80%                       | 18.20%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2016 5-Year DP03 |  |  |  |
| 2018                                      | 82.00%                       | 18.00%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2017 5-Year DP03 |  |  |  |
| 2019                                      | 81.90%                       | 18.10%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2018 5-Year DP03 |  |  |  |

### Total CMAQ Emission Reduction Performance Measures

Federal rule 23 CFR 490.807 establishes Total CMAQ Emission Reduction performance measures for Ohio's US EPA designated air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas. There are three mobile source pollutants Ohio is required to set performance targets for: Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Nitrous Oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), and Particulate Matter at 2.5 micrometers in diameter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ). For all three measures, ODOT is required to set both 2-year and 4-year targets within a four year performance period.

### **Emissions Reduction**

ODOT, in coordination with the Ohio MPOs, established statewide two and four-year targets for total emissions reduction of on-road mobile source emissions for each performance period for all non-attainment and maintenance areas within the state boundary, for each applicable criteria pollutants and precursors. ODOT set targets prior to the deadline of May 20, 2018 and targets were reported to FHWA by October 1, 2018. MPOs, in coordination with State DOTs, must establish two and four-year targets for all nonattainment and maintenance areas within the metropolitan planning area. Targets are to be set within 180 days after state DOTs have set their targets. In both cases, the targets shall reflect the anticipated cumulative emissions reductions to be reported in the CMAQ Public Access System.

Emissions reduction is defined as the total on-road mobile source total emission reductions for each applicable criteria pollutant and precursor for a nonattainment area. For nonattainment and maintenance areas, the applicable criteria pollutants are Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs),

Nitrogen Oxides ( $NO_x$ ) and Particulate Matter having a diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers ( $PM_{2.5}$ ). This performance measure applies to projects that receive or are programmed for CMAQ funding. Data was collected from the CMAQ Public Access System, as specified in the federal rulemaking.

Table 3 aggregates the emission reduction benefits for the CMAQ funded projects for the AMATS area for the period 2015-2019. These figures were provided by ODOT Central Office, and were derived from the FHWA CMAQ Public Access System, and contribute towards statewide emissions reductions. AMATS is acting in support of ODOT's targets.

| Table 3   |
|---|
| AMATS Area – CMAQ Funded Projects – Emissions Reduction Benefit |
| 2015-2019   |

|      | Total VOC Emissions Reduction |        |              | Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Reduction |        |              | Total PM2.5 Emissions Reduction |        |              |
|------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------|---|--------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------------|
|      | Total<br>Reduction            | E Voor | OH 2 and $4$ | Total<br>Reduction                        | E Voor | OH 2 and $4$ | Total<br>Reduction              | E Voor | OH 2 and 4   |
| Year | (kg/day)                      | Avg.   | Year Targets | (kg/day)                                  | Avg.   | Year Targets | (kg/day)                        | Avg.   | Year Targets |
| 2015 | 5.417                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 19.512                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 1.170                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2016 | 2.187                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 1.485                                     | 31.869 | 537.000      | 0.038                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2017 | 7.265                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 85.655                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 3.745                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2018 | 15.740                        | 8.332  | 69.000       | 26.300                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 0.739                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2019 | 11.053                        | 8.332  | 69.000       | 26.395                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 1.101                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |

### Staff Recommendation

Attached is Resolution 2020-16 for your review and consideration. This resolution approves the AMATS area's CMAQ performance plan mid period progress report. The staff recommends approval of this resolution.

### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-16**

### OF THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE AKRON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

### **CMAQ PERFORMANCE PLAN MID PERIOD PROGRESS REPORT**

**WHEREAS**, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS) is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor, acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) and in cooperation with locally elected officials in Summit and Portage Counties and the Chippewa Township and Milton Township areas of Wayne County; and

**WHEREAS**, the federal authorization legislation: the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act (MAP-21) and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST) direct state DOTs and MPOs to collectively implement performance based transportation planning processes; and

**WHEREAS**, AMATS is required to establish and set targets for five safety performance measures (per Title 23 CFR part 490), those measures applicable to all public roads: as the number of fatalities, number of serious injuries, fatality rate, serious injury rate, and number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries; and

**WHEREAS**, the development of performance measures is being required in order to foster transparency and accountability, and help track safety progress at regional, state, and national levels; and

**WHEREAS**, the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has established performance targets for congestion and emissions reduction according to federal guidance and timetables; and

**WHEREAS**, AMATS must establish its own performance targets for the area or support the targets set by ODOT within 180 days of ODOT's establishment of targets; and

**WHEREAS**, the AMATS Policy Committee has determined that it will support the established Ohio Department of Transportation's statewide performance targets; and

WHEREAS, Summit County and Portage County are part of the U.S. Census-designated eightcounty Cleveland-Akron-Lorain Combined Statistical Area (CSA), and this area includes: Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage, and Summit counties; based on air quality readings, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) designated this area as marginal non-attainment for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard and as a maintenance area for the 2008 8-hour ozone standard; and

**WHEREAS**, USEPA has designated several of the counties in this area (including Summit and Portage) as maintenance areas for  $PM_{2.5}$  (particulate matter) under the 2006 standard; and

### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 2020-16** (continued)

WHEREAS, the necessary coordination between the Cleveland-Akron-Lorain air quality area partners (Erie Regional Planning Commission for the Lorain County portion of the City of Vermilion; AMATS for Portage and Summit Counties; NOACA for Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, and Medina Counties; and ODOT for Ashtabula County) has occurred in order to develop CMAQ program performance targets; and

WHEREAS, AMATS, NOACA and Erie County manage the transportation planning process in this non-attainment or maintenance area, and coordinate on air quality issues. Consequently, AMATS has coordinated with ODOT, NOACA and ERPC in developing the Cleveland urbanized area traffic congestion (PHED and Non-SOV) targets as described in the above memorandum; and

**WHEREAS**, AMATS has developed performance targets for the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program in coordination with ODOT and NOACA; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the responsibility of the AMATS Policy Committee to develop and maintain the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in accordance with current state and federal guidelines; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the responsibility of the AMATS Policy Committee to develop and maintain the area's Regional Transportation Plan, *Transportation Outlook*, in accordance with current state and federal guidelines; and

**WHEREAS**, the AMATS Policy Committee agrees to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the achievement of ODOT's targets for the performance measures described in the attached memorandum.

### NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That this Committee approves the AMATS Area CMAQ Performance Plan Mid Period Progress Report described in the above memorandum.
- 2. That this Committee reaffirms a Cleveland urbanized area 4-year target of less than 10 hours annual of peak hour excessive delay (PHED) per person.
- 3. That this Committee reaffirms a Cleveland urbanized area non-single occupancy vehicle (Non-SOV) travel 2-year target of 18 percent and 4-year target of 18.5 percent.
- 4. That this Committee supports the emissions reductions targets as part of the Cleveland-Akron-Lorain non-attainment area as described in the attached memorandum.

- 5. That this Committee agrees to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of the Ohio Department of Transportation's targets for each performance measure as discussed in the attached memorandum.
- 6. That this Committee agrees to modify or amend the Transportation Improvement Program and Regional Transportation Plan, *Transportation Outlook*, to include further discussion of performance measures, including support for ODOT's performance goals and targets, as well as include performance-based decision-making as part of the project selection and funding process in order to contribute towards the accomplishment of those ODOT performance goals and targets.
- 7. That this Committee approves that AMATS, as part of the Cleveland-Akron-Lorain nonattainment area, supports the intent of ODOT's statewide targets for air quality improvements.
- 8. That this committee allows the AMATS staff to address any ODOT or USDOT comments following submittal and review.
- 9. That this Committee authorizes the Staff to provide copies of this Resolution to the appropriate agencies as evidence of action by the Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Mayor Linda Clark, 2020 Chairwoman Metropolitan Transportation Policy Committee

Date

# **CMAQ Performance Plan**

**Mid Performance Period Progress Report** 

# AMATS



September 9, 2020

Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study

201 Ocasek State Office Building / 161 South High Street / Akron, Ohio 44308 Phone – 330-375-2436 E-Mail - <u>amats@akronohio.gov</u> Web - www.amatsplanning.org

## AMATS CMAQ Performance Plan Mid Performance Period Progress Report

| MPO Name: | Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study |
|-----------|--|
|           |  |

TMA and State(s): <u>Akron, Ohio</u>

The AMATS CMAQ Performance Plan was initially prepared two years ago as an element of the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) statewide CMAQ performance report for the baseline period in accordance with the requirements of 23 CFR 490.107(c) and 23 USC 149(I) by AMATS staff in collaboration with ODOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and other stakeholders. Specifically, the report addresses performance measures promulgated through the PM3 regulation Subpart G (Measures to Assess the CMAQ Program – Traffic Congestion) and Subpart H (Measures to Assess the CMAQ Program – On-Road Mobile Source Emissions). PM3 is the third category of performance management, addressing system performance and reliability, using a broad range of measures that help agencies to examine the overall dependability of the transportation system, urban area congestion and contributions toward air quality improvement.

US DOT requires agencies to adopt travel time reliability measures to better manage and operate their transportation system. Traffic professionals have come to recognize the importance of travel time reliability because it better quantifies the benefits of traffic management and operation activities than simple averages over a twenty-four hour period.

Federal rule 23 CFR 490.707 establishes Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Traffic Congestion performance measures for large urbanized areas in Ohio. One measure focuses on monitoring the Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED), which is the effort to monitor the time people spend in traffic delays. Another measure focuses on decreasing single occupant vehicle trips by analyzing the Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel.

The purpose of this report (CMAQ Mid Performance Period Progress Report) is to show the progress that was made over the last two years since the adoption of the area's CMAQ Plan in 2018. In 2022, a full period progress report will be produced, along with a new baseline report. Air quality related targets and progress are monitored on an on-going basis and tracked in relation to CMAQ funded projects. These activities are performed in coordination with AMATS' air quality partners in the area, along with ODOT. A full discussion of performance measures, including air quality related performance measures, can be found in Appendix H of the AMATS Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) FY 2021-2024.

Table 1a shows the baseline and four-year target for peak hours of excessive delay (PHED) per person, per year for the Cleveland urbanized area. The data for this metric was derived from FHWA vehicle occupancy factors, HPMS traffic count data, and the NPMRDS travel time data set. Federal rules required that the Cleveland, Cincinnati and Columbus urbanized areas set air quality related targets in 2018 (performance period 1). The northern portions of the AMATS area are located in the Cleveland urbanized area. Consequently, AMATS must coordinate with

the Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency (NOACA) to set targets for the Cleveland urbanized area.

Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) is based on the calculation of all segments of the National Highway System. PHED is defined as the extra amount of time spent in congested conditions defined by speed thresholds that are lower than a normal delay threshold. For this measure, the speed threshold is 20 mph or 60% of the posted speed limit, or whichever is greater. The FHWA requires that the data collected must occur during weekdays (Monday through Friday), with a required morning peak timeframe of 6:00am-10:00am, and a variable evening peak timeframe. This metric measures the number of hours of excessive traffic delay (per capita) each year.

The PHED measure currently only applies to metropolitan areas with one million or more in population. However, in 2022, urbanized areas of 200,000 or greater will also be subject to the PHED measure. For this metric, excess delay is defined as travel time at 20 mph or 60% of the posted speed limit, whichever is greater, measured in 15 minute intervals during key travel windows.

### Table 1a Traffic Congestion Measures: Target for Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Annual Hours per Person Cleveland Urbanized Area

| PHED<br>2017 Baseline      | 7.7 Hours per Person   |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| PHED 2020<br>2-Year Target | N/A                    |
| PHED 2022<br>4-Year Target | <10.0 Hours per Person |

Table 1b, and the graph that follows, show the progress made toward achieving the PHED target. Note the trend in the declining amount of delay during the period of analysis (2014-2019).

### Table 1b Traffic Congestion Measures: Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Annual Hours per Person Cleveland Urbanized Area Progress

#### 2014 - 2019

| Year        | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 2016 |      | 2018 | 2019 |
|-------------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Actual Data | 9.1  | 10.6 | 12.3      | 7.7  | 8.1  | 6.2  |
| Target      | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0      | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |



Mode share is a measure of the percentage by mode of all surface transportation occurring in the urbanized area. Modes of surface transportation include driving alone in a motorized vehicle (Single Occupancy Vehicle), car or van pooling, public transportation, commuter rail, walking, or bicycling, as well as travel that is avoided by telecommuting. Non-SOV travel, defined by the FHWA, applies to any travel occurring on modes other than driving alone in a motorized vehicle. An analysis of mode share includes a calculation of the percent of Non-SOV travel within the urbanized area. This metric, which is derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) data, illustrates the percentage of an urbanized area's traffic in which multiple people are in a vehicle. Higher levels of Non-SOV travel can reduce an area's traffic congestion by removing additional vehicles from the roadways, and also lowering the amount of mobile emissions.

Table 2a shows the baseline, two-year, and four-year targets for non-single occupancy vehicle travel (Non-SOV) in the Cleveland urbanized area. The data for this metric was derived from the American Community Survey Economic Characteristics table.

 Table 2a

 Traffic Congestion Measures: Target for Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel

 Percent of Total Travel Modes

| Percent of Non-SOV<br>Travel Baseline - 2016       | 17.9%   |
|--|---------|
| Percent of Non-SOV<br>Travel<br>2020 2-Year Target | ≥ 18.0% |

| Percent of Non-SOV |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| Travel             | ≥ 18.5% |
| 2022 4-Year Target |         |

Table 2b, and the graph that follows, show the progress made toward reaching the target for non-SOV travel. For the period 2012-2019, non-SOV travel hovers near the two-year target of 18.0 percent, showing slight improvement towards the four-year target of 18.5 percent or greater. Thus, the Cleveland urbanized area is currently meeting the PHED target and the 2 year non-SOV target. The Cleveland urbanized area is not currently meeting the 4 year non-SOV target of 18.5%.

### Table 2b Traffic Congestion Measures: Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (Non-SOV) Travel Percent of Non-SOV Travel Progress

| Non-SOV Travel - Cleveland Urbanized Area |                              |                     |                             |               |                      |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Year                                      | Percent Drive<br>Alone (SOV) | Percent Non-<br>SOV | 2-Year Target               | 4-Year Target | ACS 5YR Estimates    |  |  |
| 2012                                      | 82.00%                       | 18.00%              | <b>18.00%</b> 18.00% 18.50% |               |                      |  |  |
| 2013                                      | 82.20%                       | 17.80%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |
| 2014                                      | 82.40%                       | 17.60%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |
| 2015                                      | 82.30%                       | 17.70%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |
| 2016                                      | 82.10%                       | 17.90%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | DP03                 |  |  |
| 2017                                      | 81.80%                       | 18.20%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2016 5-Year DP03 |  |  |
| 2018                                      | 82.00%                       | 18.00%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2017 5-Year DP03 |  |  |
| 2019                                      | 81.90%                       | 18.10%              | 18.00%                      | 18.50%        | ACS 2018 5-Year DP03 |  |  |



Cleveland: Annual % of Non-Single Occupant Vehicle

Air quality emissions reduction analyses calculate the total reduction in three mobile source (i.e. vehicle-based) pollutants: Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx), and Particulate Matter having a diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers ( $PM_{2.5}$ ).

Table 3a shows the on-road baseline, two-year, and four-year quantitative emissions targets for VOC, NOx, and  $PM_{2.5}$ . The baseline data was derived from the CMAQ Pubic Access System and aggregated, by state and pollutant type for the years 2014 - 2017. The data for the two and four-year targets was derived from projects in the TIP with quantitative emissions benefits, for the years 2021 - 2024. Data is expressed in kilograms of pollutant per day. The 2014-2017 baseline data is for the AMATS area. The two-year and four-year statewide targets have been set by ODOT, and are supported by AMATS.

To determine the progress being made toward the established targets, the reduction attributed to every CMAQ-funded transportation project in a non-attainment or maintenance area is calculated for each applicable pollutant. The total statewide reduction for each pollutant is then summed up and compared to its respective target.

|                             | NOx    | VOC     | PM <sub>2.5</sub> |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| 2014-2017<br>AMATS Baseline | 18.850 | 125.061 | 5.903             |
| 2020 ODOT<br>2-Year Target  | 537    | 69      | 36                |
| 2022 ODOT<br>4-Year Target  | 537    | 69      | 36                |

Table 3a – Target for On-Road Mobile Source Emissions (kg/day)

Table 3b aggregates the emission reduction benefits for the CMAQ funded projects for the AMATS area for the period 2015-2019. These figures were provided by ODOT Central Office, and were derived from the FHWA CMAQ Public Access System.

Table 3bAMATS Area – CMAQ Funded Projects – Emissions Reduction Benefit2015-2019

|      | Total VOC Emissions Reduction |        |              | Total NO <sub>X</sub> Emissions Reduction |        |              | Total PM2.5 Emissions Reduction |        |              |
|------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------|---|--------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------------|
|      | Total                         |        |              | Total                                     |        |              | Total                           |        |              |
|      | Reduction                     | 5-Year | OH 2 and 4-  | Reduction                                 | 5-Year | OH 2 and 4-  | Reduction                       | 5-Year | OH 2 and 4-  |
| Year | (kg/day)                      | Avg.   | Year Targets | (kg/day)                                  | Avg.   | Year Targets | (kg/day)                        | Avg.   | Year Targets |
| 2015 | 5.417                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 19.512                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 1.170                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2016 | 2.187                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 1.485                                     | 31.869 | 537.000      | 0.038                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2017 | 7.265                         | 8.332  | 69.000       | 85.655                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 3.745                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2018 | 15.740                        | 8.332  | 69.000       | 26.300                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 0.739                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |
| 2019 | 11.053                        | 8.332  | 69.000       | 26.395                                    | 31.869 | 537.000      | 1.101                           | 1.359  | 36.000       |

Table 4 lists all of the CMAQ projects in the TIP with quantitative emissions benefits for the years 2021-2024. Additionally, each project includes a description on how AMATS anticipates these projects will contribute to the achievement of the PHED and Non-SOV targets.

| Project   | Project<br>Description  | Year of<br>Anticipated<br>CMAQ<br>Obligation | NOx<br>Benefit<br>(kg/<br>day | VOC<br>Benefit<br>(kg/<br>day) | PM <sub>2.5</sub><br>Benefit<br>(kg/<br>day) | PHED<br>Benefit                                     | Non-<br>SOV<br>Benefit             |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| AMATS FY 2021<br>Rideshare<br>PID: 100691               | Carpooling and Vanpooling,<br>Marketing   | 2021   | 5.4543                        | 2.9067                         | 0.4569                                       | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Reduces SOV<br>Travel              |
| AMATS FY 2021<br>Air Quality<br>Advocacy<br>PID: 100692 | Demand Management<br>Project – Public Education   | 2021   | 0.0832                        | 0.0443                         | 0.0069                                       | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Alternate<br>Modes   |
| Canton Rd/East<br>Market St<br>PID: 93433               | Roundabout, Intersection<br>Realignment   | 2021   | 0.1802                        | 0.1533                         | 0.0050                                       | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| PARTA CNG Bus<br>Replacement<br>PID: 102992             | Replace older diesel buses<br>with 2 CNG buses  | 2021   | 0.1310                        | 1.7450                         | 0.0430                                       | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Transit<br>Ridership |
| Tallmadge Rd<br>Interchange<br>PID: 98585               | Reconstruction of I-76 WB<br>off-ramp to relocation<br>Mogadore Rd intersection &<br>add turn lanes | 2021   | 1.2954                        | 1.8077                         | 0.0923                                       | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| Cleveland<br>Massillon Rd<br>PID: 103293                | Roundabout at Rothrock & restricting I-77 ramp to right-turn only                                   | 2021   | 0.3025                        | 0.4222                         | 0.0216                                       | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| Massillon Rd (SR<br>241) Ph 3/Boettler<br>PID: 103173   | Hybrid Roundabout   | 2021/2022                                    | 0.3094                        | 0.4318                         | 0.0220                                       | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| AMATS FY 2022<br>Rideshare<br>PID: 111431               | Carpooling/Vanpooling,<br>Marketing, Outreach   | 2022   | 5.4543                        | 2.9067                         | 0.4569                                       | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Reduces SOV<br>Travel              |
| AMATS FY 2022<br>Air Quality<br>Advocacy<br>PID: 111426 | Public Education, Outreach  | 2022   | 0.0832                        | 0.0443                         | 0.0069                                       | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Alternate<br>Modes   |

Table 4 – AMATS Area FY 2021-2024 CMAQ Projects and Estimated Emissions Benefits

| PARTA CNG Bus<br>Replacement<br>PID: 111777                       | Replace older diesel buses<br>with 2 CNG buses    | 2022      | 0.1740 | 2.1640 | 0.0480 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Transit<br>Ridership |
|---|---|-----------|--------|--------|--------|---|------------------------------------|
| Massillon Rd (SR<br>241) Ph 2/Corp<br>Woods Circle<br>PID: 103172 | Hybrid Roundabout                                 | 2022/2024 | 0.2710 | 0.2300 | 0.0080 | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| SR 91-13.45<br>Hudson<br>PID: 106445                              | TWLTL, Bike Lanes                                 | 2022      | 0.1300 | 0.1110 | 0.0040 | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | Encourages<br>Alternate<br>Modes   |
| AMATS FY 2023<br>Rideshare<br>PID: 111432                         | Carpooling/Vanpooling,<br>Marketing, Outreach     | 2023      | 5.4543 | 2.9067 | 0.4569 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Reduces SOV<br>Travel              |
| AMATS FY 2023<br>Air Quality<br>Advocacy<br>PID: 111428           | Public Education, Outreach                        | 2023      | 0.0832 | 0.0443 | 0.0069 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Alternate<br>Modes   |
| METRO RTA<br>CNG Bus<br>Replacement<br>PID: 112270                | Replace older diesel with 3<br>CNG buses          | 2023      | 0.1840 | 0.7700 | 0.0120 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Transit<br>Ridership |
| SR 43 Widening<br>Streetsboro<br>PID: 106416                      | TWLTL   | 2023      | 0.2370 | 0.2340 | 0.0080 | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay                  | N/A                                |
| AMATS FY 2024<br>Rideshare<br>PID: 111433                         | Carpooling/Vanpooling,<br>Marketing, Outreach     | 2024      | 5.4543 | 2.9067 | 0.4569 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Reduces SOV<br>Travel              |
| AMATS FY 2024<br>Air Quality<br>Advocacy<br>PID: 111429           | Public Education, Outreach                        | 2024      | 0.0832 | 0.0443 | 0.0069 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Alternate<br>Modes   |
| PARTA Clean<br>Diesel Bus<br>Replacement<br>PID: 112244           | Replace older diesel with 2<br>clean diesel buses | 2024      | 1.8470 | 0.3000 | 0.1010 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Transit<br>Ridership |
| METRO RTA<br>CNG Bus<br>Replacement<br>PID: 112245                | Replace older diesel with 3<br>CNG buses          | 2024      | 0.1840 | 0.7700 | 0.0120 | Removes<br>Multiple<br>Vehicles from<br>the Network | Encourages<br>Transit<br>Ridership |

| Valley View Rd /<br>Olde Eight Rd<br>PID: 112797 | 2024 | 0.0060 | 0.0070 | 0.00001 | Reduces<br>Congestion<br>and Delay | N/A |
|--|------|--------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----|
|--|------|--------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----|

### Cleveland-Akron-Lorain Air Quality Non-Attainment Area

Summit County and Portage County are part of the U.S. Census-designated eight-county Cleveland-Akron-Lorain Combined Statistical Area (CSA). This area includes: Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage, and Summit counties. Based on air quality readings, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) designated this area as marginal non-attainment for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, except for Ashtabula County which is a maintenance area. This area is designated as a maintenance area for the 2008 8-hour ozone standard.

USEPA also designated several of the counties in this area (including Summit and Portage) as maintenance for PM2.5 (particulate matter) under the 2006 standard. These areas include Cuyahoga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage, and Summit Counties, and Ashtabula Township in Ashtabula County.

Three Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) serve seven of these counties. The Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency (NOACA) serves Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, and Medina counties. AMATS serves Summit and Portage counties. The Erie Regional Planning Commission (ERPC) serves the City of Vermilion in Lorain County. Ashtabula County is not part of a Metropolitan Planning Organization.

The USDOT requires air quality conformity determinations every time a new TIP or Regional Transportation Plan is completed. This conformity analysis reflects the aggregate regional mobile emissions generated by vehicles using the transportation system recommended in the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan. Conformity is demonstrated when the forecasted regional emissions are below the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) budgets that have been established by Ohio EPA.

AMATS, NOACA and ERPC manage the transportation planning process in this non-attainment area, and coordinate on air quality issues. Consequently, AMATS has coordinated with ODOT, NOACA and ERPC in developing the Cleveland urbanized area traffic congestion (PHED and Non-SOV) targets shown below.

NOACA's air quality emissions targets are shown below for informational purposes. AMATS is supporting ODOT's statewide emissions targets as discussed above.

| CMAQ Traffic Congestion<br>Measures                            | Current     | ODOT 2 Yr.<br>Target | ODOT 4 Yr.<br>Target | Cleveland UZA<br>Recommended<br>2 Yr. Target | Cleveland UZA<br>Recommended<br>4 Yr. Target |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Cleveland UZA - Peak Hour<br>Excessive Delay (PHED) per Person | 7 hr 23 min | N / A                | <10 hrs / yr         | N / A  | <10 hrs / yr                                 |
| Cleveland UZA - Percent of Non-SOV<br>Travel                   | 17.90%      | 9<br>17.80%          | 17.80%               | 18.00%                                       | 18.50%                                       |

#### Cleveland Urbanized Area Traffic Congestion Targets

NOACA Area Air Quality Targets

| CMAQ On-Road Mobile<br>Source Emissions                              | Current<br>(Statewide)      | ODOT<br>2 Yr. Target | ODOT<br>4 Yr. Target | NOACA<br>Recommended<br>2 Yr. Target | NOACA<br>Recommended<br>4 Yr. Target |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Volatile Organic Compounds<br>Total Emission Reduction               | 5 yr avg -<br>85.90 kg/day  | 69 kg/day            | 69 kg/day            | 16.16 kg/day                         | 38.56 kg/day                         |
| Nitrous Oxide Total Emission<br>Reduction                            | 5 yr avg -<br>671.31 kg/day | 537 kg/day           | 537 kg/day           | 56.71 kg/day                         | 107.17 kg/day                        |
| Particulate Matter at 2.5<br>Micrometers Total Emission<br>Reduction | 5 yr avg -<br>44.97 kg/day  | 36 kg/day            | 36 kg/day            | 3.96 kg/day                          | 7.58 kg/day                          |

### FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CMAQ PROJECT FUNDING

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program supports two important goals of the U.S. Department of Transportation: improving air quality and relieving congestion. Reducing congestion is a key objective of federal surface transportation policy. The costs of congestion can be an obstacle to economic activity. In addition, congestion can hamper quality of life through diminished air quality, lost personal time, and other negative factors. Accordingly, the CMAQ Program includes federal funds programmatically allocated to each state for funding applicable projects.

A CMAQ project must meet three basic criteria: it must be a transportation project, it must generate an emissions reduction, and it must be in or benefit a nonattainment or maintenance area. Additionally, as with all federal-aid projects, CMAQ projects must be included in the MPO's current transportation plan and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or the current Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) in areas without an MPO. In nonattainment and maintenance areas, the project also must meet the conformity provisions contained in section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the transportation conformity regulations. Lastly, all CMAQ-funded projects need to complete National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) (NEPA) requirements and satisfy the basic eligibility requirements under titles 23 and 49 of the United States Code.

AMATS and ODOT each receive CMAQ funding and allocate it annually to fund applicable projects. In 2012, ODOT created of the Ohio Statewide Urban Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality CMAQ Program (OSUCC). The intent of the program is to more quickly advance eligible projects that improve air quality, reduce congestion, and eliminate delay/improve safety, in addition to utilizing statewide CMAQ funding in the year funds are allocated. OSUCC is administered as a subcommittee of the Ohio Association of Regional Councils (OARC) Executive Directors. OSUCC is charged with developing protocols for managing the program, along with project selection. The CMAQ Program provides approximately \$60 plus million annually, to Ohio's eight largest Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) with populations larger than 200,000.

OSUCC/AMATS opens the program for applications once every two years. The next project

solicitation will most likely occur in spring of 2021. Projects are selected on various criteria, only one of which is estimated emissions reduction benefits. Projects are not required to have quantifiable emissions reduction benefits; a quantitative assessment is sufficient. All projects awarded annually must be entered into the FHWA's CMAQ Public Access System (PAS). Data for the CMAQ Emissions Reduction performance measure for the region is taken from the quantified benefits included in the projects listed in the PAS that have been funded in the region. Table 3 above lists the quantified benefits included in the PAS for the AMATS area for recent years (2021 to 2024). Further information on the joint MPO/ODOT CMAQ project process can be found in the AMATS Funding Policy Guidelines.